## Design Quality Index Report 9/10/2018

			0/10			
Contract ID	2017	0912002				
Project ID	5018				Federal ID wisc 2017450	
Region		Number of Factors Rated		16	Highway TWN RD	
Total Factor Score	99.4			Vec	Project Index 6.2	
Evaluation Date	09/10		Design Firm		Project Cost 350,612.00	
Inhouse Design			2 co.g		Representing	
Raters	Jeff N	Verten		,	WisDOT	
Remarks						
Ratings   Overall design was good. Only issues involved exisiting floor slab 1 = Major problems, not constructable without major plan or design changes						
removal, exisitng water depth,	and bo	prrow pit restoration quantities.			roblems, moderate design or plan changes	
			3 = Moderate	e problems, constructable	e, minor design or plan changes	
			4 = Moderate	e to minor construction p	roblems, minor to no design or plan changes	
				nstruction problems, no p		
				no construction problems	3	
Factor	Rate	<u>Comments</u>	/ = No const	ruction problems		
	<u>6.0</u>	No major problems. Would have be	een nice to hav	e extra TI E in hynass ro	adway area for stockniled topsoil	
Right of Way(adequate to construct)	0.0		een nice to nav	e extra TEE in bypass to		
Horizontal Alignment(fit)	7.0	No Problems, bypass roadway alig	nment allowed	adequate room for cons	tuction activites.	
Vertical Alignment	7.0	No problems.				
(fit and drainage)						
Earthwork	6.0	No major problems. Some EBS on	south approac	h roadway no fault of de	signer.	
Work Zone Traffic	7.0	No problems.				
Control Plans	7.0					
Erosion Control Plans	4.0			o plan and had to be ins	talled in stages and resulted in an ECIP ammendment. No	
Construction Staging	4.0	quantities included for borrow pit restoration. Staging the removal of the existing floor slab in the stream with turbidity barrier was an issue.				
	4.0					
Utility Coordination	7.0	No problems.				
Special Provisions	7.0	No problems.				
Consistency between plans and special	7.0	No problems.				
provisions						
Drainage	7.0	No problems.				
Quantities	6.0	Items for borrow pit restoration we	re missing.			
Construe at Time a	7.0	Approporiate time was given to as	ount for dook	ouring and advarga weat	has dava	
Contract Time	7.0	Approperiate time was given to acc	Journ for deck (	Junny and adverse weat	iici uays.	
Structures AVG.	6.4					
Plans accurate and complete	7.0	No problems.				

and complete

## Design Quality Index Report 9/10/2018

Soils information and Foundation Details	7.0	Piling drove to depth called out in the plan consistantly.
Utility information accurate && complete	7.0	No problems.
Special Provisions clear and concise	7.0	No problems.
Ease of assembly and construction	4.0	Removal of existing floor slab in the water was an issue.
Survey	4.0	Stream water depth was significantly deeper than the plan showed on the downstream side of the existing bridge which caused issues with turbididty barrier and the temp structure.
Soils	7.0	No problems.