



REHABILITATION STRUCTURE SURVEY REPORT

Wisconsin Department of Transportation
DT1696 4/2017

RECEIVED
12/12/2018
BUREAU OF STRUCTURES

- ☐ Grade Separation ☐ Stream Crossing ☒ Culvert
☐ Railroad ☐ Retaining Wall ☐ Noise Barrier
☐ Sign Structure ☐ Other: _____

For guidance see: <http://wisconsindot.gov/Pages/doing-bus/eng-consultants/cnslt-rsrcs/strct/survey.aspx>

Design Project ID 9110-09-30	Construction Project ID 9110-09-60	Highway (Project Name) STH 139																
Final Plan Due Date 12/1/2019	Preliminary Plan Due Date 12/1/2018	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Town <input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> City Fence																
PS&E Date 5/1/2021	Letting Date 8/10/2021	County Florence																
Structure Number C-19-1		Section 17	Town 39N	Range 15E														
Station 115+30	Latitude: 455140.00 Longitude: 883913.30	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO Structure Located on National Highway System																
For Survey and CADD Files Horizontal Coordinate System: WCCS FLORENCE Vertical Datum: NAD 83		Traffic Forecast Data <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Design Year</th> <th>Average Daily Traffic (ADT)</th> <th>Roadway Design Speed</th> <th>Functional Class</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Feature On STH 139</td> <td>Feature On 2041</td> <td>900</td> <td>60</td> <td>Rural Minor Art (06)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Feature Under Long Lake Outlet</td> <td>Feature Under</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Design Year	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	Roadway Design Speed	Functional Class	Feature On STH 139	Feature On 2041	900	60	Rural Minor Art (06)	Feature Under Long Lake Outlet	Feature Under			
Design Year	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	Roadway Design Speed	Functional Class															
Feature On STH 139	Feature On 2041	900	60	Rural Minor Art (06)														
Feature Under Long Lake Outlet	Feature Under																	
Region Contact: Jesse Jefferson (Area Code) Telephone Number(s): (715) 365-5739 Email: jesse.jefferson@dot.wi.gov		Consultant Contact: Phil Roberts (Area Code) Telephone Number(s): (920) 830-6178 Email: phil.roberts@omnni.com																

Work To Be Performed

Field Information Required Item Number (see Pages 2-4)

- ☐ A. Structural Repair 1-3, 22
- ☐ B. Overlay 1-3, 10-22, 26-28, 32, 34
- ☐ Concrete Overlay ☐ Asphalt Overlay
- ☐ Polymer Modified Asphalt Overlay ☐ Thin Bonded Polymer Overlay
- ☐ Other: _____
- ☐ C. New Bearings 3, 8, 9, 22
- ☐ D. New Railings 15-17, 20-23
- ☐ E. Curb and Sidewalk Repair 2, 3, 16, 22, 23
- ☐ F. Abutment Repair 2, 3, 12, 16
- ☐ G. Pier Repair 2, 3, 12, 16
- ☐ H. New Deck 1-6, 9, 10, 13-28, 32-34
- ☐ I. Widening 1-28, 30, 32-35
- ☐ J. Joint Repair 2, 3, 8, 16, 19, 22
- ☐ K. Surface Repair 2, 3, 22
- ☐ L. Raising Bridge 3, 6, 9, 16, 20-24
- ☐ M. Slope Stabilization 1-3, 30
- ☐ N. Scour Repair 1, 2 or 3, 16, 19, 21, 27, 29, 31-35
- ☐ O. Painting 16, 22, 24
- ☒ P. Other: Wingwall replacement

Field Information Required

If no structure number exists provide the following: Small County Map on which the location of proposed structure is shown in red and any highway relocation in green. In addition, provide Location Map of scale not less than 1" = 2000' showing the structure location and number.

- ☒ 1. Most recent inspection report, brief history of bridge construction date, and description of repairs with dates.
- ☒ 2. Outline deficient areas on existing structure plan or drawing.
- ☒ 3. Photographs of details requiring repairs or modifications, such as: bearings, x-frames, joints, etc. Photograph all deficient areas. Clearly label all photographs.
- ☐ 4. Provide proposed typical section for roadway and structure showing dimensions and cross slopes.
- ☐ 5. Survey beam seat or girder elevations at both sides of bridge at all substructure units.
- ☐ 6. Provide cross-section elevations at 10 foot intervals extending across the structure and a minimum of 100 feet beyond each end. Sections should be normal to centerline and show elevations at centerline roadway and gutter line. Take elevations along joints and at floor drains.
- ☐ 7. Show and identify starting stationing on bridge.
- ☐ 8. Record measurement, temperature of the structure, and date taken for each of the following:
 - (a) Joint opening measured normal to joint at centerline of roadway and both curb lines.
 - (b) Clearance between girder ends at piers.
 - (c) Distance from front face of abutment backwall to closest point of girder end measured parallel to girder.
 - (d) Temperature of structure determined by averaging top and under deck (if accessible) readings.
- ☐ 9. Fixed and expansion bearings - condition and orientation.
- ☐ 10. Number and width of proposed pours including construction staging sequence.
- ☐ 11. Location of existing construction joints in the deck.
- ☐ 12. Estimated Quantities:

Preparation, Decks, Type 1	Sq. Yd. _____	
Preparation, Decks, Type 2	Sq. Yd. _____	
Full Depth Deck Repair	Sq. Yd. _____	Galvanic Anodes? _____
Concrete Surface Repair Superstructure	Sq. Ft. _____	Galvanic Anodes? _____
Concrete Surface Repair Substructure	Sq. Ft. _____	Galvanic Anodes? _____
Curb Repair	LF. _____	Galvanic Anodes? _____

☒ 13. Sufficiency number: N/A (obtain from HSI Bridge Inventory System)

☐ 14. Appraisal and Condition Rating

	Deck Condition	Superstructure Condition	Substructure Condition	Load Capacity Appraisal	Structural EVAL Appraisal
Current					

☐ 15. Load Ratings

	Inventory	Operational
Current Calculated Date:		
After Completed by Bridge Designer		

- ☒ 16. Utilities on/near Structure. (WisDOT policy is to avoid placing utilities on the structure.)

☐ Yes ☒ No

Type	Owner and Contact Information	Size	Opening at Abutment	Weight	Pressure

- ☐ 17. Is existing bridge railing deficient?

☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes – Replacement Rail Type:

- ☐ 18. Drains to be:

☐ Raised ☐ Closed ☐ Downspouted ☐ New

- ☒ 19. Traffic maintained on bridge during work?

☒ Yes ☐ No If Yes – Include sketches

- ☒ 20. Will guard rail be attached?

☐ Yes ☒ No If Yes – Which corners?

- ☒ 21. Will work to be performed eliminate all deficiencies?

☒ Yes ☐ No If No – Explain:

- ☐ 22. Hazardous waste (asbestos) to be removed?

☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes – Explain:

- ☐ 23. Wing location(s) for surface drain anchors:

- ☐ 24. Painting?

☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes – Explain on Page 4

(all, part, railing, color system, containment, bid items)

- ☐ 25. Desired roadway width: (new deck / widening) _____ Ft.

Desired sidewalk clear width: Left: _____ Ft. Right: _____ Ft.

- ☐ 26. Maximum increase in grade line elevation _____ In.

- ☐ 27. Benchmark description to be shown

- ☐ 28. Desired final cross slopes on bridge _____ Ft./Ft.

- ☐ 29. Underwater Inspection Report including:

- Streambed Cross Section With Pier, Footing and Seal Elevations
- Pier Elevation Drawings
- Pier Layout
- Hydrographic Survey

- ☐ 30. Slope stabilization, provide:

Type: _____ Quantity: _____ CY.

Slope: _____ Ft./Ft. Fill: _____ CY.

- ☐ 31. Preliminary layout of grout bags or proposed scour repair.

C.I.P. Articulated Mats (for Scour) _____ CY.

Grout Bags (for Scour) _____ CY.

Heavy Riprap _____ CY.

Extra Heavy Riprap _____ CY.

- ☒ 32. Report submitted with Preliminary Plan requires **no** CADD file submittal (*See ESubmittal instructions*).
- ☐ 33. Report submitted for development of Preliminary Plan to structure design engineer requires CADD file (if available) submittal and Report submittal to Soils Engineer if project involves foundation modifications.
- ☐ 34. Coordinate with structure design engineer **before** going into the field if existing structure has no available plans, if staged construction is planned, or if there are adjoining/adjacent structures that will remain in place.
- ☐ 35. If project involves substructure widening coordinate with structure and/or hydraulic design engineer to determine if information on the separation and/or stream crossing SSR will be required.

Additional Information

Elaborate on other concerns such as: DNR, Local, Utility Conflicts, Aesthetics, Railing Type and Staged Construction.

Please be as detailed and specific as possible.

See drawing in C-19-0001_oth.pdf for description of wing replacement on one side only. Due to the amount of the apron removal required, the complication of preserving rebar, and the impact on the remaining wing we feel it will be more cost effective to replace the entire apron and both wings. A single wing or both wings will block nearly the entire opening so in either case the stream flow will need to be diverted during construction.