REHABILITATION STRUCTURE SURVEY REPORT

Wisconsin Department of Transportation DT1696 4/2017

☐ Grade Separation ☐ Stream Crossing ☐ Culvert								
☐ Railroad ☐	Retainin	g Wall 🔲 Noise Barrier						
☐ Sign Structure ☐ Other:								
For guidance see: http://wisconsindot.gov/Pages/doing-bus/eng-consultants/cnslt-rsrces/strct/survey.aspx								
Design Project ID 1050-01-11		Construction Project ID 1050-01-81	Highway (Project Name) Chippewa Falls - Abbotsford					
Final Plan Due Date June 1, 2021 Preliminary Plan Due Date July 1, 2019			☐ Town ☐ Village ☐ City Lafayette					
PS&E Date Letting Date August 1, 2021 February 2, 2022			County Chippewa					
Structure Number B-09-171			Section 14	Town T28N		Range R08		
Station 154"EB"+50.69		Latitude: 44°54'42"N Longitude: 91°18'29"W						
For Survey and CADD Files Horizontal Coordinate System: N/A (No Survey) Vertical Datum: N/A (No Survey)			Design Year	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	Roadway Design Speed Functional Class			
Feature On STH 29 EB			Feature On 2042	11,300	70		Principal Arterial	
Feature Under Stillson Creek			Feature Under					
Region Contact: Tyler Rongstad, P.E. (Area Code) Telephone Number(s): (715) 461-0372 Email: Tyler.Rongstad@dot.wi.gov			Consultant Contact: Sean Spromberg, P.E. (Area Code) Telephone Number(s): (715) 304-0451 Email: sspromberg@msa-ps.com					
		Work	To Be Performe	ed			nation Required (see Pages 2-4)	
☐ A. Structural Repair			1–3, 22					
⊠ B.	Overlay		1–3, 10–22, 26–28, 32, 34				-28, 32, 34	
□ Concrete Overlay			☐ Asphalt Overlay					
☐ Polymer Modified Asphalt Overlay ☐ Other:			☐ Thin Bonded Polymer Overlay					
□ C.		 ings			3 8 9 22			
F. Abutment Repair								
				2, 3, 12, 16				
	-				1–6, 9, 10, 13–28, 32–34			
		air						
☐ K. Surface Repair								
		' ridge				20–2	24	

☐ M. Slope Stabilization......1–3, 30

□ P. Other: _____

Field Information Required

If no structure number exists provide the following: Small County Map on which the location of proposed structure is shown in red and any highway relocation in green. In addition, provide Location Map of scale not less than 1" = 2000' showing the structure location and number.

\boxtimes	1.	1. Most recent inspection report, brief history of bridge construction date, and description of repairs with dates	3.
\boxtimes	2.	2. Outline deficient areas on existing structure plan or drawing.	
\boxtimes	3.	 Photographs of details requiring repairs or modifications, such as: bearings, x-frames, joints, etc. Photogra deficient areas. Clearly label all photographs. 	ph all
	4.	1. Provide proposed typical section for roadway and structure showing dimensions and cross slopes.	
	5.	5. Survey beam seat or girder elevations at both sides of bridge at all substructure units.	
	6.	6. Provide cross-section elevations at 10 foot intervals extending across the structure and a minimum of 100 to beyond each end. Sections should be normal to centerline and show elevations at centerline roadway and line. Take elevations along joints and at floor drains.	
	7.	7. Show and identify starting stationing on bridge.	
	8.	 3. Record measurement, temperature of the structure, and date taken for each of the following: (a) Joint opening measured normal to joint at centerline of roadway and both curb lines. (b) Clearance between girder ends at piers. (c) Distance from front face of abutment backwall to closest point of girder end measured parallel to girder (d) Temperature of structure determined by averaging top and under deck (if accessible) readings. 	
	9.	Fixed and expansion bearings - condition and orientation.	
⊠1	0.	Number and width of proposed pours including construction staging sequence.	
⊠1	1.	Location of existing construction joints in the deck.	
⊠1	12.	2. Estimated Quantities: Preparation, Decks, Type 1 Preparation, Decks, Type 2 Sq. Yd. <u>36</u> Sq. Yd. <u>14</u>	

Sq. Yd. <u>1</u>

LF.

Sq. Ft. _____

Sq. Ft. _____

Concrete Surface Repair Superstructure

Concrete Surface Repair Substructure

Curb Repair

Full Depth Deck Repair

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Deck Condition	Superstructure Condition	Substructure Condition	Load Capacity Appraisal	Structural EVAL Appraisal	
Current	6 - Satisfactory Condition	7 - Good Condition	6 - Satisfactory Condition	5 - Legal Load Stress Not Exceeded	6 - Condition Equal to Minimum Criteria	

Galvanic Anodes? _____

Galvanic Anodes? _____ Galvanic Anodes? _____

Galvanic Anodes? _____

	Inventory	Operational
Current Calculated Date: June 6, 2013	HS18	HS50
After Completed by Bridge Designer	TBD	TBD

	Туре	Owner and Contact Information	Size	Opening at Abutment	Weight	Pressure
\boxtimes	_	dge railing deficient? lo If Yes – Replacement Rail Type:				
\boxtimes	18. Drains to be: ☐ Raised	☐ Closed ☐ Downspouted ☐ New				
\boxtimes		ined on bridge during work? lo If Yes – Include sketches				
\boxtimes	20. Will guard rail ☐ Yes ☒ N	be attached? lo If Yes – Which corners?				
\boxtimes		e performed eliminate all deficiencies? lo If No – Explain: .				
\boxtimes		aste (asbestos) to be removed? lo If Yes – Explain:				
	23. Wing location	(s) for surface drain anchors:				
	□ Yes □ N	lo If Yes – Explain on Page 4 , color system, containment, bid items)				
		vay width: <i>(new deck / widening)</i> Ft. valk clear width: Left: Ft. Right: Ft.				
\boxtimes	26. Maximum incr	rease in grade line elevation 0.50 ln.				
\boxtimes	27. Benchmark de	escription to be shown				
\boxtimes	28. Desired final of	cross slopes on bridge <u>0.020</u> Ft./Ft.				
		g The state of the				
	30. Slope stabiliza Type: Slope:	•				
		CY.				

☑ 32. Report submitted with Preliminary Plan requires no CADD file submittal (See ESubmittal instructions).
 ☐ 33. Report submitted for development of Preliminary Plan to structure design engineer requires CADD file (if available) submittal and Report submittal to Soils Engineer if project involves foundation modifications.
 ☑ 34. Coordinate with structure design engineer before going into the field if existing structure has no available plans, if staged construction is planned, or if there are adjoining/adjacent structures that will remain in place.
 ☐ 35. If project involves substructure widening coordinate with structure and/or hydraulic design engineer to determine if information on the separation and/or stream crossing SSR will be required.

Additional Information

Elaborate on other concerns such as: DNR, Local, Utility Conflicts, Aesthetics, Railing Type and Staged Construction.

Please be as detailed and specific as possible.

- 1. The last inspection date was April 24, 2019. The bridge was constructed in 1993. The structure is a 2-span prestressed concrete girder bridge with 80'-0" span lengths. See Attachment A for the current inspection report.
- 2. See Attachment B for existing structure plans detailing deficient areas.
- 3. See Attatchment C for photos of details requiring repairs.
- 10. The work activities along STH 29 EB will be broken up into construction stages. Traffic will be controlled with lane closures using concrete barriers. See preliminary plans for construction staging details.
- 11. There are no construction joints in the existing deck.
- 12. Quantities for Preparation Decks Type 1 and Preparation Decks Type 2, and concrete deck repair are based on recent inspections and photographs. A small quantity of Full Depth Deck Repair is included in the plans to be implemented as needed and as directed by the field engineer.
- 17. Bridge railing is not within the scope of this rehabilitation project.
- 18. There is no existing or proposed drains on the structure.
- 19. The work activities along STH 29 EB will be broken out into construction stages. Traffic will be controlled with lane closures using concrete barriers. The order and number of construction stages are shown in the road plans.
- 22. There is no hazardous waste (asbestos) per the asbestos inspection completed on April 23, 2019.
- 26. Concrete Overlay: A minimum of 1" will be removed from the existing deck. The minimum concrete overlay thickness will be 1.5" and there will be no change to the roadway cross slope.
- 27. No benchmark description or elevation will be shown. There was no survey conducted for this project. All dimensions shown are based on the as-built bridge plans.

DNR:

Initial concurrence received January 7, 2019 and a revised concurrence received June 14, 2019. See Attachment D. There are no known Endangered Resource or suitable habitat that could be impacted by this project.

Utility Conflicts:

No conflicts anticipated.

Aesthetics:

No aesthetic treatments are anticipated.

Staged Construction:

The work activities along STH 29 EB will be broken out into construction stages. Traffic will be controlled with lane closures using concrete barriers.

Rehabilitation Scope: The rehabilitation scope for this project was provided by WisDOT NW Region. Repairs for this structure include a concrete overlay with deck repairs, concrete overlay on west approach slab, and cleaning and sealing of parapets.

Note: wing numbering convention was selected to match that used in the as-built structure drawings.