# REHABILITATION STRUCTURE SURVEY REPORT Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Wisconsin Department of Transportation DT1696 4/2017

RECEIVED 1/15/2019 BUREAU OF STRUCTURES

OF TRANS				BUREAU	JF 51F	RUCTURES	
☐ Grade Separation	⊠ Stream Crossing ☐ C	ulvert					
☐ Railroad ☐ Retaining Wall ☐ Noise Barrier							
☐ Sign Structure ☐ Other:							
For guidance see: http://wiscon	sindot.gov/Pages/doing-bus/eng-	consultants/cnslt-rs	rces/strct/survey.a	<u>ispx</u>			
Design Project ID 5730-00-30	Construction Project ID 5730-00-60	Highway (Project Name) STH 56					
Final Plan Due Date June 1, 2019	Preliminary Plan Due Date November 2018	☑ Town ☐ Village ☐ City Forest					
PS&E Date August 1, 2019	Letting Date November 12, 2019	County Richland					
Structure Number B-52-84		Section 23	Town T12N	Range R2W			
Station 262+20.61	Latitude: 43°29'43.09"N Longitude: 90°34'33.13"W	☐ YES ☒ NO Structure Located on National Highway System				ystem	
For Survey and CADD Files		Traffic Forecast Data					
Horizontal Coordinate System: Richland County Coordinates Vertical Datum: NAVD 88 (2012 adjusted)		Design Year	Average Daily Traffic (ADT)	Roadway Design Speed Functional		Functional Class	
Feature On STH 56	Feature On 2041	1300	55 MPH Mino		Minor Arterial		
Feature Under Upper Camp Creek	Feature Under						
Region Contact: Dan Kleinertz		Consultant Contact: Joshua Sweno					
(Area Code) Telephone Number(s): (608) 789-5709 Email: daniel.kleinertz@dot.wi.gov		(Area Code) Telephone Number(s): (608) 355-8852 Email: jsweno@msa-ps.com					
	Work	To Be Performe	d				
	Field Information Required						

erlay.......1–3, 10–22, 26–28, 32, 3 ☐ Concrete Overlay ☐ Asphalt Overlay ☐ Polymer Modified Asphalt Overlay ☐ Other: ☐ H. New Deck......1–6, 9, 10, 13–28, 32–34 ☐ M. Slope Stabilization......1–3, 30 ☑ P. Other: <u>Cleaning channel</u>

# **Field Information Required**

If no structure number exists provide the following: Small County Map on which the location of proposed structure is shown in red and any highway relocation in green. In addition, provide Location Map of scale not less than 1" = 2000' showing the structure location and number.

$\boxtimes$	1.	Most recent inspection report, brief history of bridge construction date, and description of repairs with dates.
$\boxtimes$	2.	Outline deficient areas on existing structure plan or drawing.
	3.	Photographs of details requiring repairs or modifications, such as: bearings, x-frames, joints, etc. Photograph all deficient areas. Clearly label all photographs.
	4.	Provide proposed typical section for roadway and structure showing dimensions and cross slopes.
	5.	Survey beam seat or girder elevations at both sides of bridge at all substructure units.
	6.	Provide cross-section elevations at 10 foot intervals extending across the structure and a minimum of 100 feet beyond each end. Sections should be normal to centerline and show elevations at centerline roadway and gutter line. Take elevations along joints and at floor drains.
	7.	Show and identify starting stationing on bridge.
	8.	Record measurement, temperature of the structure, and date taken for each of the following:  (a) Joint opening measured normal to joint at centerline of roadway and both curb lines.  (b) Clearance between girder ends at piers.  (c) Distance from front face of abutment backwall to closest point of girder end measured parallel to girder.  (d) Temperature of structure determined by averaging top and under deck (if accessible) readings.
	9.	Fixed and expansion bearings - condition and orientation.
⊠′	10.	Number and width of proposed pours including construction staging sequence.
⊠′	11.	Location of existing construction joints in the deck.

Preparation, Decks, Type 1	Sq. Yd. <u>8</u>	
Preparation, Decks, Type 2	Sq. Yd. <u>3</u>	
Full Depth Deck Repair	Sq. Yd. <u>1</u>	Galvanic Anodes?
Concrete Surface Repair Superstructure	Sq. Ft. <u>40</u>	Galvanic Anodes?
Concrete Surface Repair Substructure	Sq. Ft. <u>6</u>	Galvanic Anodes?
Curb Repair	LF. <u>0</u>	Galvanic Anodes?

# 

	Deck Condition	Superstructure Condition	Substructure Condition	Load Capacity Appraisal	Structural EVAL Appraisal
Current	5 - Fair	5 - Fair	8 - Very Good	5 - Legal Load Stress Not Exceeded	5 - Condition Adequate, No Repairs

## 

	Inventory	Operational
Current Calculated Date: 05/24/2013	HS22.4	HS37.4
After Completed by Bridge Designer	TBD	TBD

	Туре	Owner and Contact Information	Size	Opening at Abutment	Weight	Pressure
	· ypc		0.20	7 to de tinone	110.9	11000010
	•	dge railing deficient? No If Yes – Replacement Rail Type:				
$\boxtimes$	18. Drains to be: ☐ Raised	☐ Closed ☐ Downspouted ☐ New				
$\boxtimes$		ined on bridge during work? No If Yes – Include sketches				
$\boxtimes$	20. Will guard rail ⊠ Yes □ N	be attached? No If Yes – Which corners? All quadrants				
$\boxtimes$		e performed eliminate all deficiencies? No    If No – Explain:				
$\boxtimes$		aste (asbestos) to be removed? No If Yes – Explain:				
	23. Wing location	(s) for surface drain anchors:				
		No If Yes – Explain on Page 4 g, color system, containment, bid items)				
		way width: <i>(new deck / widening)</i> Ft. walk clear width: Left: Ft. Right: Ft.				
$\boxtimes$	26. Maximum inc	rease in grade line elevation 0.25 ln.				
$\boxtimes$	27. Benchmark d	escription to be shown				
$\boxtimes$	28. Desired final	cross slopes on bridge <u>0.06</u> Ft./Ft.				
	<ul> <li>29. Underwater Inspection Report including:</li> <li>Streambed Cross Section With Pier, Footing and Seal Elevations</li> <li>Pier Elevation Drawings</li> <li>Pier Layout</li> <li>Hydrographic Survey</li> </ul>					
	30. Slope stabilize Type: Slope:	ation, provide: Quantity: CY. _ Ft./Ft. Fill: CY.				
	•	CY.				

☑ 32. Report submitted with Preliminary Plan requires no CADD file submittal (See ESubmittal instructions).
 ☐ 33. Report submitted for development of Preliminary Plan to structure design engineer requires CADD file (if available) submittal and Report submittal to Soils Engineer if project involves foundation modifications.
 ☑ 34. Coordinate with structure design engineer before going into the field if existing structure has no available plans, if staged construction is planned, or if there are adjoining/adjacent structures that will remain in place.
 ☐ 35. If project involves substructure widening coordinate with structure and/or hydraulic design engineer to determine if information on the separation and/or stream crossing SSR will be required.

## **Additional Information**

Elaborate on other concerns such as: DNR, Local, Utility Conflicts, Aesthetics, Railing Type and Staged Construction.

Please be as detailed and specific as possible.

- 1. The last inspection date was March 5, 2018. The bridge was constructed in 1987. It is a single span concrete flat slab bridge with a span length of 42'. The North edge of deck was repaired in 2015. See Attachment A for the current Inspection Report.
- 2. See Attachment B for details of deficient areas.
- 3. See Attachment A and Attachment C for photos of areas requiring repairs.
- 10. STH 56 will be closed and detoured during construction. A longitudinal construction joint should not be needed for the polymer overlay and is not shown on the plans.
- 12. Deck preparation areas are based on coordination with the SW Region DOT and the SW Region Bridge Maintenance Engineer. See Attachment D for correspondence with the DOT regarding work on the structure.
- 17. The existing steel 'W' Rail will be replaced in kind, utilizing the existing rail posts on the deck.
- 18. There are no deck drains in the existing slab.
- 19. STH 56 will be closed and detoured during construction.
- 20. The guardrail will be replaced at all 4 quadrants of the bridge with MGS guardrail.
- 22. Hazardous waste (asbestos) was not found on the existing structure. See Attachment E for Asbestos Inspection Report.
- 26. Polymer Overlay: 1/4" thick, two layer system
  - -The two layer system will be applied to the entire bridge deck
- 27. A benchmark will not be shown on the plans. There will be no references to elevations on the plans.
- 28. The existing bridge deck has a superelevation of 6% down towards the left (north).

### Other:

Sediment has accumulated along the abutments, which has started to restrict the stream flow. The SW Region DOT requested that a provision be included with the bridge plans to remove the sediment build-up. This work will be covered under the bid item "Excavation for Structures Bridges B-52-84" and additional description for the item will be included in the Special Provisions.

#### DNR:

See Attachment F for DNR Initial Review comments.

#### **Utility Conflicts:**

No utility conflicts are anticipated.

#### Aesthetics:

No aesthetic treatments are anticipated.