### CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM

**State of Wisconsin** 

Date: 2/4/2019

- To: James Pavelski, PE, Grade Crossing Safety Engineer Rails and Harbors Section (RHS) - Room 701 HFSTB
- From: Paul Derksen SE Region Railroad Coordinator

### Subject: Railroad Project Submittal Package Canadian Pacific Railroad WisDOT Railroad Crossing # 390520H

Per FDM 17-20-10, (see Chapter 17-20-10 & 17-25-5), the following material is being submitted in order to proceed with railroad negotiations: (submit one RPSP per railroad crossing)

1.	<b>Design ID</b> 2783-05-00		Construction ID 2783-05-70
2.	Railroad Surface F 2783-05-50	Project ID	<b>Railroad Signal Project ID</b> 2783-05-51
3.	Project Title: Project Subtitle: Roadway: Municipality: County:	Calhoun Road CTH M to STH 190 Local Street City of Brookfield Waukesha County	
4.	LET Date PS&E Date Advanceable PS&	December 8, 2020 August 1, 2020 <b>E Date</b> NA	

### 5. Name & Phone Number of Project Manager WisDOT SE Region Project Manager:

City of Brookfield, Department of Public Works:

WisDOT SE Region Railroad Coordinator (RRC): Consultant (raSmith) Project Manager: Kathleen Kramer (262)548-8772

Jeff Chase (262) 787-3524 City Engineer Paul Derksen (262) 548-8770 Brad Severson (920) 843-5738 6. Detailed project overview narrative: (include a brief project scope overview and a more detailed narrative about the area near the RR crossing) The Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT), in conjunction with the City of Brookfield, proposes to reconstruct Calhoun Road from the existing 2-lane rural section to an urban 4-lane divided section. The existing at-grade crossing of Calhoun Road, north of Pheasant Drive, with the Canadian Pacific Railroad (CPRR) would be reconstructed to accommodate the widened roadway. The roadway will be widened to a typical section consisting of a 24-ft wide raised median (measured from inside edge of southbound lanes to the inside edge of northbound lanes) with curb and gutter (20-ft between face of curbs), an 11-ft travel lane, a 14-ft' outside lane, and curb and gutter on the outside. A 9-ft wide terrace and 6-ft wide sidewalk will be constructed along both sides of the roadway. The proposed project will re-align Pheasant Drive to improve the intersection angle at Calhoun Road. Existing railroad crossing gates will be replaced as part of this project and additional railroad safety features will be installed for the proposed wider section. The proposed drainage throughout the project will be curb and gutter with storm sewer.

## 7. The anticipated construction project schedule is as follows:

- Construction Start Date: March 2021
- Detour Start Date: (if applicable) N/A (See Construction staging narrative for details).
- Construction Completion Date: Fall 2021
- Railroad Project Completion Dates: (needed for OCR petition/hearing)
  - RR Signals: Between June 24, 2021 and September 2, 2021
  - RR Surface: Between June 24, 2021 and September 2, 2021

# 8. Construction staging narrative: (area near the RR crossing and information about any at-grade RR crossings on the detour)

Calhoun Road will be opened to local traffic throughout construction, however, the railroad construction activities will require short-term full closures to occur outside of the calendar school year (with both start and completion of railroad construction activities falling between June 14, 2021 and Thursday September 2, 2021). Additionally, there is a second CPRR crossing (WisDOT Crossing No. 390517A) located approximately 390' south of this crossing (WisDOT Crossing No. 390520H). The proposed activities at the south crossing will be similar to the work done for this crossing. Between the two crossings lies Pheasant Drive that dead ends at a cul-desac and only has access via Calhoun Road. In order to maintain access to Pheasant Drive throughout construction, each crossing will need to be constructed under two separate full closures of Calhoun Road. The anticipated railroad construction activities are expected to take one week per crossing.

9. Work performed by Railroad narrative: (include existing and proposed crossing length - to be verified with the RRC)

The existing railroad crossing to be replaced is approximately 44-ft wide. New railroad crossing panels will be required to accommodate the expanded 4-lane divided roadway. It is anticipated that the panels would need to be approximately 113.75-ft long to span the entire roadway width to beyond the proposed concrete sidewalk. New railroad gates and signals will be installed at the crossing. A new signal control cabinet will be located and installed with the railroad construction.

10. Railroad crossing work included in WisDOT construction project: (such as paving through crossing, provide detour, road closure traffic control, etc.) Roadway work will pave asphalt up to the railroad crossing panels. Railroad ditches will be regraded 45 - 145 feet from the proposed ROW to accommodate the proposed storm sewer system with the inlets in the southbound lanes draining to the west and the inlets in the northbound lanes draining to the varing signs will be placed along the sidewalks to notify pedestrians of the railroad crossing.

# 11. Is the crossing signed EXEMPT? □Yes ⊠No Should the crossing be signed EXEMPT? □Yes ⊠No

Click here to enter text.

12. Drainage impacts to railroad statement: (if none, please state so) The existing overland flow from the north starts at a roadway high point located approximately 260' north of the crossing and drains south to just north of the crossing then connects to the eastwest railroad ditches north of the tracks. The existing overland flow from the south starts at WisDOT Railroad Crossing No. 390517A, 390' south of this crossing, and drains north to the tracks and then connects to the east-west railroad ditches south of the tracks. The proposed drainage pattern follows the existing drainage patterns with minor alterations to the locations of the low and high points and ditches being replaced by curb and gutter with storm sewer. The addition of curb and gutter will include inlets installed in both the inside and outside lanes and north and south of the tracks resulting in 4 inlets per side. They will capture the majority of the water draining toward the roadway low point at the tracks. The inlets will outlet to the east-west railroad ditches and minor railroad ditch grading will be required. At this railroad crossing, the 10-year peak flow to the railroad right-of-way will increase from 13 cfs to 14 cfs. See Attachment #4 for the Railroad Crossing Drainage Exhibit. In addition, the current railroad ditches are in poor condition and holding water. While some minor railroad ditch grading improvements will be included with this project to ensure positive drainage from the proposed storm sewer outlets to the existing railroad ditch, the re-grading/cleaning out of the railroad ditches beyond the scope of this project would help to improve capacity and flow for the contributing drainage basins. There are no floodplain implications per Waukesha County, Wisconsin Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) 55133C0209G (West) and 55133C0228G (East) (Attachment #5).

# 13. Are there any overhead/underground highway appurtenances or utilities being installed within railroad right-of way as part of this project? (Review FDM 17-60-45) ☑Yes □No

Existing overhead utilities possibly in conflict are WE Energies electrical along the east side of Calhoun Road. Existing underground utilities possibly in conflict are AT&T telephone, WE Energies electrical and WE Energies 4" gas in an 8" casing on the west side of Calhoun Road and Charter Communications fiber optic, WE Energies 4" gas in an 8" casing along the east side. Rogers Telecom has an existing facility running across Calhoun Road about 5' north of the northern track. An existing 12" watermain in a 24" steel casing is not anticipated to be disturbed along the east side. Underground highway appurtenances will be installed within the railroad ROW consisting of proposed highway storm sewer. The purpose of this storm sewer is to collect as much water as possible prior to the railroad crossing and then divert the water to the railroad ditch. This will avoid installing storm sewer under the railroad tracks. Utility coordination is still in the early stages so the exact extent of utility work needed is yet to be determined. Utility work plans are expected to be received by Fall 2019.

14. Is railroad real estate needed? (If yes, a Railroad Real Estate Package (RRESP) will be required, in addition to this packet)

 $\boxtimes$ Yes  $\Box$ No

The Book of Roads – Brookfield Township established a 66' right-of-way for Calhoun Road in 1844. The Railroad Track Maps provided by the Canadian Pacific Railroad, dated 1918, show that the railroad acquired ownership of the Calhoun Road right-ofway at the crossing. A 66' highway easement was retained at the crossing for roadway purposes. Additional highway easement will be needed to complete the roadway widening (from a two-lane rural section to a four-lane urban section). Permanent limited easements will be required on both sides of the crossing to accommodate the storm sewer described in item #13. Temporary limited easements will also be required to complete grading operations. A separate RRESP has been submitted concurrent with this submittal.

15. Additional Comments: (exception to standards, unique design issues, environmental commitments, etc.) Vertical design features outside of FDM thresholds will be necessary to maintain the existing slopes on the existing railroad tracks. In order to maintain these slopes, the proposed vertical curves will be substandard, along with vertical grade breaks without vertical curves. Refer to Attachment #6 for further detail. If the Railroad would allow a reduction in the superelevation of the tracks at this crossing, the substandard vertical features could be improved. Would the Railroad consider any reduction in superelevation for the benefit of improved safety and a better ride at this crossing? The City of Brookfield intends for the improvents at this crossing to be incorporated in to a future Quiet Zone for approval by the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA). A

raised median measuring 24-ft wide (measured from inside edge of southbound lanes to the inside edge of northbound lanes) and extending 100+ft both north and south from the tracks will contribute to Quiet Zone approval. An 8" curb head is also being considered in the vicinity of the crossing to gain Quiet Zone points.

- 16. Railroad Crossing Report (DT-1589) and Photos (see <u>http://dotnet/railharb/docs/rcis-photo.pdf</u> for photo standards. Disregard the batch conversion steps. Convert photos to PDF two per page 8 ½ x 11) (Attachment # 1)
- 17. Plan (Attachment # 2a through i)
  - $\boxtimes$  a **Project Title sheet**
  - **b** Project Overview sheet showing railroad (if available)
  - C Typical Section sheet(s) near crossing (existing and proposed)
  - ☑ d Railroad Crossing Detail
  - ☑ e Roadway Plan & Profile sheet(s)
  - □ Railroad Plan & Profile sheet(s)
  - Detour Plan sheet(s) (required if RR crossing surface replacement is part of the project)
  - If Traffic Control Plan and/or Staging Plan sheet(s) near the railroad (if applicable)
  - g Plans for highway appurtenances (Storm sewer, culverts, lighting, traffic signals, ITS, etc.)
  - ☑ h Cross Sections
  - □ Plan view w/existing & proposed corner and quadrant vision triangles (if applicable)
  - i **Right-of-Way Plat** (draft or final) (if railroad property needed)
  - ☑ *j* Book of Roads Brookfield Township
  - 🛛 k Railroad Track Map
- 18. Crash History and Reports (Attachment # 3)

### 19. Additional Attachments

- 4 Railroad Crossing Drainage Exhibit
- 5 Waukesha County, Wisconsin Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) 55133C0209G (West) and 55133C0228G (East)
- 6 Calhoun Road DSR Section 4.3 at Railroad Crossings, Vertical Curve Features Beyond FDM Thresholds
- 7 Crossing Inventory

Attachment 1

# **Railroad Crossing Report, Form DT1589**

### **RAILROAD CROSSING REPORT**

DT1589 4/2011 (Replaces ED705)

1. Railroad Project ID		2	2, Operating Railroad			
2783-05-50 / 2783-05-51		(	Canadian Pacific (SOO Line)			
3. Companion Construction Project ID		4	4. Companion Hwy Constr. Letting Date 5. Engineering ID			
2783-05-70			December 202	20	2783-05-00	
6. Road Name		5	7. Official DOT/AAR (	Crossing Number	·	
Calhoun Road		:	390520H (Nor	th X-ing)		
8. Highway Number/Town Road/Street Name		ę	<ol> <li>Railroad Subdivision</li> </ol>	on and Milepost		
Calhoun Road			Watertown Sub/ MP 0098.380			
10. County			11. Town/City/Village of			
Waukesha			City of Brookfi	eld		
Attach sketch of crossing including track ce	enters, approa	ach grades ar	nd obstructions	s to view of app	proaching trains.	
EXISTING DEVICES AT CROSSING						
Provide information for both approaches Northbound/Eastbound			Southbound	/Westbound	Comments	
	YES	NO	YES	NO		
12. Stop Signs		$\square$		$\boxtimes$		
13. Cross Bucks	$\square$		$\square$		Reflective	

14. Wig Wag Signals		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
15. Flashing Light Signals	$\square$		$\boxtimes$		🗌 8" 🖾 12" 🗌 INC 🖾 LED
16. Cantilever Signals		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	□ 8" □ 12" □ INC □LED
17. Gates	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$		
18. Crossing Illuminated		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
19. Flagging		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
20. Bell			$\boxtimes$		□ M ⊠ E
21. Sidelights		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
22. Stop Bar	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	Distance From Crossing 18'/17'
23. Public Road Intersection		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
24. Humped Crossing Sign		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$	
25. Railroad Advance Warning Signs	$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$		218' NB / 307' SB
26. RXR Pavement Markings		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		268' NB / NA SB
27. Advisory Speed Signs		$\boxtimes$		$\square$	

#### **OTHER CROSSING INFORMATION**

28. Total No. of Tracks	29. No. of Main Lin	e Tracks	30. No	o. of Other Track	s 31. Angle of Crossing							
1	1		0	0			(84) LHF ( )			) RHF		
32. Total No. of Lanes	33. No. of Through Lanes 34.		34. No. of Parking Lanes		35. No. Exclusive Use Lanes	36. No Sidewa	alks	37. Sidewalk Width	38. Pavement Width	39. Curb	40. Roadway Width	
2	2		0			2	0		NA	34'	🗌 Y 🖾 N	34'
41. Crossing Surface Type Concrete Panels			42. Length of Existing Crossing 44'		43. Crossing Su Poor cond south side multiple pi	irface Con ition / p in nort eces m	anels a anels a h bounc nissing.	re worn ar d drive land	nd chipped e broken ir	I. Flange painto two piece	nel on s with	
Average Daily	6 a.m6p.m. Number	6p.m6a. Number	m.	Timetable Spe	eed	Maximum T Train Speed	ypical I				ADT	50. Year
44. Passenger Trains	1	1		65	MPH	10-65	MPH	47. Hig	hway ADT	(present)	16,400	(2017)
45. Freight Trains	10	10		65	MPH	10-65	MPH	48. Hig	hway ADT	(design)	18,900	(2041)
46. Switching Moves	0	0		NA	MPH	NA	MPH	49. Pos	sted Speed	Limit	35	

#### SIGHT DISTANCES

#### Stopping Sight Distances

Distances at which crossing warning					
devices first visible (WDV) [1] and					
vehicle stopping distances (VSD) from					
crossing based on speed [2]					
51. Approach	52. WDV	53. VSD			

<u> </u>		
51. Approach	52. WDV	53. VSD
North (4)	>1,000'	292
South (5)	>1,000'	287

60. Obstructions, Comments

(1) Heavy thick vegetation, ground surface, and building

structures obstruct views

(2) Signal house obstructs view

(3) Vegetation/Trees obstruct view

(4) Approaching grade approximately -3.00%

(5) Approaching grade approximately -1.50%

#### Quadrant Sight Distances View of trains from stopping distance

E4 Our durant	Sight Distance [3]			
54. Quadrant	55. Actual	56. Req'd		
Northeast (1)	<75'	672		
Northwest (1)	<35'	672		
Southwest (1)	<75'	680		
Southeast (1)	<50'	680		

#### **Clearing Sight Distances**

View of trains at 25 ft from nearest rail				
EZ Quedrant	Sight Distance [4]			
57. Quadrani	58. Actual	59. Req'd		
Northeast (2)	500'			
Northwest	1,000'			
Southwest (3)	400'			
Southeast	1,000'			

61. Diagram (Label Quadrants)

#### 

62. By	63. Title	64. Date
Jeffrey P. Francis	Principal, TerraTec Engineering	3/24/2017



[C] ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR DISTANCES ALONG HIGHWAY / RR TRACK DUE TO HIGHWAY APPROACH





Applies to right-angle single track crossings with vehicle speeds between 10 and 70 mph and train speeds between 10 and 120 mph. **Crossings that do not meet these criteria require special consideration. See:** 

•AASHTO (2001). A Policy On Geometric Design Of Highways And Streets. 4th edition. Pages 735-743. Washington, DC. •FHWA publication "Guidance on Traffic Control Devices at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings" for guidance on calculating clearing sight distance.

\* Crossings with a stop condition or where vehicle speeds are less than 10 mph are to be reviewed with the Grade Crossing Safety Engineer.

#### EXAMPLE

To evaluate an existing condition to determine if visual contact with a train is adequate to safely decide whether to STOP or PROCEED.

Given a 40 mph Posted Highway Speed on a 3% upgrade with an approaching 50 mph Train requires:

[A] 335' Distance Along The Highway

[B] 513' Distance Along The Track

[C] Apply Grade Adjustment Factors to both distances:

•Adjusted Distance Along The Highway = 335 x 0.965 = 323' (required [2] – see item 53)

•Adjusted Distance Along The Track = 513 x 0.97 = 498' (required [3] – see item 56)

### Figure 1

### **INSTRUCTIONS**

- 5. Enter the ID number the government agencies (DOT, local) are using for surveys, plans, etc. (preliminary engineering).
- 12. 20. Under each of the two approaches, indicate if the item exists. Under the "Comment" column, enter any pertinent information such as "too low," "poor condition," etc.
- 13. Also include reflectorization information.
- 15. 16. Also check off the lamp size and whether the lamps are incandescent (INC) or light emitting diodes (LED).
- 18. Also, under the "Comment" column, enter the distance from the crossing. NOTE: Crossing Illumination should be within 150 feet of the crossing before being included.
- 19. Also record "yes" in the approach where the flagger is normally located. Flaggers may select a favored approach due to geometrics or obstructions.
- 20. Also record whether bell is mechanical (M) or electronic (E).
- 21. 27. Under each of the approaches, indicate if the item exists and at what distance it is located from the crossing. Measure the distance along the roadway from the near side of the near rail to the closest point of the item to the crossing.
- 22. NOTE: Record intersection(s) entering within the vehicle safe stopping distance (as shown on Figure 1 of the nomograph), and describe the intersection traffic control under 63.
- 27. Also enter the posted advisory speed.
- 28. Enter the total number of tracks located between the Railroad Crossing Warning Devices.
- 31. Enter the most severe track angle in the crossing and check the appropriate box for left-hand-forward (LHF) or righthand-forward (RHF). "Angle" is measured between the roadway centerline and the track centerline in the quadrant common to both. Boxes would be blank for a 90-degree crossing angle.
- 32. Enter the total number of paved lanes (driving, parking, bypass, etc.) through the crossing.
- 33. Enter the number of "through" driving lanes.
- 34. Enter the number of lanes available for parking (either marked or unmarked) through the crossing.
- 35. Enter the number of "exclusive use" lanes pullout (bypass, stopping, etc.) through the crossing.
- 36. Enter the number of sidewalks.
- 37. Enter the width and location of sidewalk(s) distance from edge of pavement or face of curb to the inside edge of each sidewalk.
- 38. Enter the total pavement width between edges of pavement or between faces of curbs. Measure perpendicular to the roadway centerline.
- 39. Indicate if curb and gutter are constructed on the crossing approaches by checking the (Y) box "yes" or the (N) box "no."
- 40. Enter the total roadway width, between outside shoulder points, backs of curbs, or outside edges of sidewalks. Measure perpendicular to the roadway centerline.
- 41. Enter crossing surface type (rubber, concrete, flange and guard timber, etc.).
- 42. Enter the total length of crossing (width of roadway as defined in 38 as measured along the track centerline).
- 43. Record the assessment of the crossing surface condition (material not covering total roadway, timbers failing, etc.).

- 44. 46. Record the number of scheduled trains between the indicated hours, and record the timetable speed for each type or train. Obtain the information from the operating railroad.
- 51. Enter the crossing approach.
- 52. Enter the actual distance from the crossing at which the crossing warning devices are first visible.
- 53. Enter the required vehicle safe stopping distance, refer to discussion in Figure 1.
- 54. Enter the quadrant.
- 55. Enter the actual sight distance available at the vehicle safe stopping distance. Record obstructions in 60.
- 56. Enter the required sight distance, refer to discussion in Figure 1.
- 57. Enter the quadrant at a distance 25 feet from the crossing.
- 58. Enter the actual sight distance at a distance of 25 feet from the crossing.
- 59. To be calculated after review with Grade Crossing Safety Engineer, only if necessary to evaluate required clearing sight distance [4].
- 60. Indicate obstructions and any comments for each quadrant.
- 61. Show the roadway centerline, and label the crossing angle, the quadrants, and the north arrow.
- 62. Identify the person to be contacted for additional information or clarification.
- 63. Record the contact person's title.
- 64. Enter the date the information was obtained.
- NOTE: Train information must be secured from the operating railroad.





Photograph 1Crossing beyond Stopping Sight Distance at 1,000 feet from crossing (Facing North)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 2Southwest Quadrant Crossing at Stopping Sight Distance (Facing Northwest)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 3Southeast Quadrant Crossing at Stopping Sight Distance (Facing Northeast)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 4Southwest Quadrant Crossing at Clearing Sight Distance (Facing West)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 5Southeast Quadrant Crossing at Clearing Sight Distance (Facing East)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 6Track (Facing East)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 7Crossing Surface (Facing North)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 8Track (Facing West)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 9Signal Cabinet (Facing East)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 10Crossing beyond Stopping Sight Distance at 700 feet from crossing (Facing South)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 11Flashers & Gate (Facing North)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 12Flashers & Gate (Facing South)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 13Northwest Quadrant Crossing at Clearing Sight Distance (Facing West)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 14Northeast Quadrant Crossing at Clearing Sight Distance (Facing East)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 15Northwest Quadrant Crossing at Stopping Sight Distance (Facing Southwest)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 16Northeast Quadrant Crossing at Stopping Sight Distance (Facing Southeast)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 17Crossing at Stopping Sight Distance at 281 feet from crossing (Facing South)Date:March 24, 2017



Photograph 18Crossing at Stopping Sight Distance at 281 feet from crossing (Facing North)Date:March 24, 2017

Attachment 2a through i

**Roadway Plans** 

#### ORDER OF SHEETS Sect Sect

Section No.	1	Title
Section No.	2	Typical Sections and Details
Section No.	3	Estimate of Quantities
Section No.	3	Miscellaneous Quantities
Section No.	4	Right of Way Plat
Section No.	5	Plan and Profile
Section No.	6	Standard Detail Drawings
Section No.	7	Sign Plates
Section No.	8	Structure Plans
Section No.	9	Computer Earthwork Data
Section No.	9	Cross Sections

#### TOTAL SHEETS =



#### DESIGN DESIGNATION

A.A.D.T.	2021	=	16,900
A.A.D.T.	2041	=	18,900
D.H.V.	2041	=	1,750
D.D.		=	59/41
Т.		=	3.8
DESIGN SPEED		=	40 MPH
ESALS		=	1,100,000

BOLLARD

COONTT EINE		CAS
CORPORATE LIMITS	<u>///////</u>	GAS SANITARY SEWER
PROPERTY LINE		STORM SEWER
LIMITED EASEMENT		STORM SEWER
EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY		
PROPOSED OR NEW R/W LINE		
FENCE	<del></del>	TELEPHONE
GUARD RAIL		FIBER OPTIC
		CABLE TELEVISION
	~~~	FORCE MAIN
UNDER BOOK PROFILE		MANHOLE
(To be noted as such)		UTILITY PEDESTAL
(		FIBER OPTIC HAND H
MARSH AREA		POWER POLE
WOODED OR SHRUB AREA	Emminera	
STREAM OR WATER EDGE		RAILROAD
BUSH	දිය	HYDRANT
PINE TREE	(SIZE)	LIGHT POLE
TREE		RAILROAD SIGNAL
INEL		SIGN
TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL CABIN	NET 🛛	TRANSMISSION TOWE
TRAFFIC SIGNAL	4-0	VALVE
TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAST-ARM	<del>~~</del> 0	CURB STOP
TRAFFIC SIGNAL WITH LIGHT		EXISTING CULVERT
EXISTING PULL BOX	Φ	PROPOSED CULVERT (Box or Pipe)
	CORPORATE LIMITS PROPERTY LINE LIMITED EASEMENT EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY PROPOSED OR NEW R/W LINE FENCE GUARD RAIL SLOPE INTERCEPT ORIGINAL GROUND MARSH OR ROCK PROFILE (To be noted as such) MARSH AREA WOODED OR SHRUB AREA STREAM OR WATER EDGE BUSH PINE TREE TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL CABIN TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL CABIN TRAFFIC SIGNAL WITH LIGHT EXISTING PULL BOX	CORPORATE LIMITS CORPORATE LIMITS PROPERTY LINE LIMITED EASEMENT EXISTING RIGHT OF WAY PROPOSED OR NEW R/W LINE FENCE GUARD RAIL SLOPE INTERCEPT ORIGINAL GROUND MARSH OR ROCK PROFILE (To be noted as such) MARSH AREA WOODED OR SHRUB AREA STREAM OR WATER EDGE BUSH PINE TREE (SIZE) TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL CABINET TRAFFIC SIGNAL MAST-ARM TRAFFIC SIGNAL WITH LIGHT EXISTING PULL BOX

COMBUSTIBLE FLUIDS
UNDERGROUND UTILITIES GAS
SANITARY SEWER
STORM SEWER
WATER
ELECTRIC
TELEPHONE
FIBER OPTIC
CABLE TELEVISION
FORCE MAIN
MANHOLE
UTILITY PEDESTAL
FIBER OPTIC HAND HOLE
POWER POLE
TELEPHONE POLE
RAILROAD
HYDRANT
LIGHT POLE
RAILROAD SIGNAL
SIGN
TRANSMISSION TOWER
VALVE
CURB STOP
EXISTING CULVERT

-caution-
(SIZE) c
(SIZE) SAN
(SIZE) SS
(SIZE) w
—— E ——
— т ——
—— FO ——
TV
FM
<b>⊘</b> MH
д
Пии
 6
ø
<b></b>
র
*
<b>⊙</b> -X
₽
$\boxtimes$
@(TYPE)
ØCS
□ (SIZE, TYPE)

# **STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

PLAN OF PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT

# **CALHOUN ROAD**

CTH M TO STH 190

# LOCAL STREET WAUKESHA COUNTY



FILE NAME : K:\1172700\CIVIL3D\27830500\SHEETSPLAN\010101-TI.DWG

Θ

PLOT DATE : 11/15/2018 12:12 PM PLOT BY : WHITEFOOT, DANIEL PLOT NAME

END PROJECT

			28
STATE PROJECT	FE	DERAL PROJ	ECT
	PR	OJECT	CONTRACT
2783-05-70			
60% DI			· A I
NOVEN		), <b>2</b> 01	8
RELIMINARY STR	UCTURE PLAN	IS NOT I	NCLUDED
	ACC		
	CITY	of	BROOKFIELD
	(Printed Name)	(Signature	)
	(Date)	(Title of	Official)
	ORIGINAL F	PLANS PREP	ARED BY
	ra	Smi	th
	CREATIVIT	Y BEYOND ENGI	
	rasmith.	com	
T-7-N			
	(Date)	(SI	gnature)
	(Date)		gnature)
	(Date) STAT DEPARTMEN	E OF WISCOM	gnature) NSIN PORTATION
	(Date) STAT DEPARTMEN PREPARED BY	(S) E OF WISCON IT OF TRANSI	gnature) ISIN PORTATION
	(Date) STAT DEPARTMEN PREPARED BY Surveyor Decimes	(SI E OF WISCON IT OF TRANSI 	gnature) ISIN PORTATION th (NAV)
	(Date) STAT DEPARTMEN PREPARED BY Surveyor Designer Project Manager	(SI E OF WISCON T OF TRANSI 	gnature) ISIN PORTATION th (NAV) (BES/DGW) IN KRAMER
	(Date) STAT DEPARTMEN PREPARED BY Surveyor Designer Project Manager	(SI E OF WISCON IT OF TRANSI 	gnature) NSIN PORTATION th (NAV) (BES/DGW) N KRAMER
ITY ET.	(Date) STAT DEPARTMEN PREPARED BY Surveyor Designer Project Manager APPROVED FOR THE DEPAR	(SI E OF WISCON IT OF TRANSI 	gnature) NSIN PORTATION th (NAV) (BES/DGW) N KRAMER
ITY ET. DES.	(Date) STAT DEPARTMEN PREPARED BY Surveyor Designer Project Manager APPROVED FOR THE DEPAR DATE:	(SI E OF WISCON IT OF TRANSI raSmith KATHLEE	gnature) VSIN PORTATION th (NAV) (BES/DGW) IN KRAMER ature)





K:\1172700\CIVIL3D\27830500\SHEETSPLAN\020301-TS.DWG LAYOUT NAME - 01

WHITEFOOT, DANIEL PLOT NAME : PLOT DATE : 11/14/2018 11:52 AM PLOT BY :

PLOT SCALE :



LAYOUT NAME - 04

2



SS



ΡM, 1:23:50 2/4/2019 NB, CPRR.dwg, T PlanProf Xing K:\1172700\Civil3D\27830500\SheetsOther\RR

SS



SS



LAYOUT NAME - 025001-TC



LAYOUT NAME - 5

2

PLOT BY :



K:\1172700\CIVIL3D\27830500\SHEETSPLAN\022501-SS.DWG LAYOUT NAME - 6

2



FILE NAME :K:\1172700\CIVIL3D\27830500\SHEETSPLAN\090201A-XS.DWG

PLOT BY : WHITEFOOT, DANIEL PLOT NAME : PLOT DATE : 11/12/2018 3:34 PM

WISDOT/CADDS SHEET 49







FILE NAME :K:\1172700\CIVIL3D\27830500\SHEETSPLAN\090201A-XS.DWG

PLOT DATE : 11/8/2018 2:24 PM PLOT BY : WHITEFOOT, DANIEL PLOT NAME :











#### CONVENTIONAL ABBREVIATIONS

ACCESS RIGHTS	AR
ACRES	AC
AHEAD	AH
ALUMINUM	ALUM
AND OTHERS	ET AL
BACK	ВК
BLOCK	BLK
CENTERLINE	C/L
CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP	CSM
CONCRETE	CONC
COUNTY	со
COUNTY TRUNK HIGHWAY	СТН
DISTANCE	DIST
CORNER	COR
DOCUMENT NUMBER	DOC
EASEMENT	EASE
EXISTING	EX
GAS VALVE	GV
GRID NORTH	GN
HIGHWAY EASEMENT	HE
IDENTIFICATION	ID
LAND CONTRACT	LC
LEFT	LT
MONUMENT	MON
NATIONAL GEODETIC SURVEY	NGS
NUMBER	NO
OUTLOT	0L
PAGE	Р
POINT OF TANGENCY	PT
PERMANENT LIMITED	PLE
EASEMENT	
POINT OF BEGINNING	POB
POINT OF CURVATURE	PC
POINT OF COMPOUND CURVE	PCC

POINT OF INTERSEC PROPERTY LINE RECORDED AS REEL / IMAGE REFERENCE LINE REMAINING RESTICTIVE DEVELO EASEMENT RIGHT RIGHT OF WAY SECTION SEPTIC VENT SQUARE FEET STATE TRUNK HIGHW STATION TELEPHONE PEDESTA TELEPHONE PEDESTA	PI PL (100') R/I R/L RDE RT R/W SEC SEPV SF STH STA TP TLE	
TRANSPORTATION PR	OJECT	TPP
UNITED STATES HIG VOLUME	HWAY	USH V
CURVE DAT	ΓA	
LONG CHORD LONG CHORD BEARING RADIUS DEGREE OF CURVE CENTRAL ANGLE LENGTH OF CURVE TANGENT DIRECTION AHEAD DIRECTION BACK	LCH LCB R D Δ/DELTA L T DA DB	

R/W MONUMENT 
(TO BE SET)

NON-MONUMENTED O

FOUND IRON PIN IF (1-INCH UNLESS NOTED)

Δ

**⊘** ●

COMPENSABLE NON-COMPENSABLE

UTILITY NUMBER 40

#1-25

Ь

ø

Д

••••••

**\*\*\*\*** 

\*\*\*\*\*\*

R/W POINT

OFF-PREMISE SIGN

**.** 

X

CONVENTIONAL SYMBOLS

SECTION

CORNER

SYMBOL

SECTION

CORNER

SIGN

ELECTRIC POLE

TELEPHONE POLE

PEDESTAL (LABEL TYPE)

(TV, TEL, ELEC, ETC.)

MONUMENT

FOUND R/W POST

 $\sim$ 

\_\_\_\_\_P.L.

\_\_\_\_\_ W \_\_\_\_\_ (TYPE)

TO BE REMOVED

⊕

GEODETIC SURVEY MONUMENT

SIXTEENTH CORNER MONUMENT

ACCESS RESTRICTED BY ACQUISITION

ACCESS RESTRICTED (BY PREVIOUS

PROJECT OR CONTROL)

NO ACCESS (NEW HIGHWAY)

PARCEL NUMBER 25

PARALLEL OFFSETS

NO ACCESS (BY STATUTORY AUTHORITY)

SIGN

	NÓN	
	COMPENSABLE	COMPENSABLE
POWER POLE	4	<b>±</b>
TELEPHONE POLE	ø	#
TELEPHONE PEDES	tal 🏾	×
GUY ANCHOR	o	
ELECTRIC TOWER	$\boxtimes$	
GAS VALVE	0	GV

#### CONVENTIONAL UTILITY SYMBOLS

	WATER
	CAS
	GAS
_T	TELEPHONE
	OVERHEAD
	TRANSMISSION LINES
 —E——	ELECTRIC
-TV	CABLE TELEVISION
 -FO	FIBER OPTIC
 -SAN-	SANITARY SEWER
SS	STORM SEWER



TOTAL NET LENGTH OF CENTERLINE = 2.0 MI.

#### NOTES:

BRIDGE

SECTION LINE

OUARTER LINE

SIXTEENTH LINE

NEW R/W LINE

PROPERTY LINE

LOT, TIE & OTHER

MINOR LINES

SLOPE INTERCEPT

CORPORATE LIMITS

NEW R/W (FEE OR HE)

EASEMENT AREA

EASEMENT AREA (PERMANENT LIMITED OR

RESTRICTED DEVELOPMENT)

TRANSMISSION STRUCTURES

UNDERGROUND FACILITY (COMMUNICATIONS, ELECTRIC, ETC)

ATCHING VARIES BY OWNER) TEMPORARY LIMITED

NEW REFERENCE LINE

EXISTING R/W OR HE LINE

POSITIONS SHOWN ON THIS PLAT ARE WISCONSIN COUNTY COORDINATES, WAUKESHA COUNTY, NADB3 (2011) IN US SURVEY FEET. VALUES SHOWN ARE GRID COORDINATES, GRID BEARINGS, AND GRID DISTANCES. GRID DISTANCES MAY BE USED AS GROUND DISTANCES. RIGH-OF-WAY MONUMENTS ARE TYPE 2 AND WILL BE PLACED PRIOR TO THE COMPLETION OF THE PROJECT. FOR THE LATEST ACCESS/DRIVEWAY INFORMATION CONTACT THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF BROOKFIELD. RIGHT-OF-WAY BOUNDARIES ARE DEFINED WITH COURSES OF THE PERIMETER OF THE HIGHWAY LANDS REFERENCED TO THE U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY SYSTEM OR OTHER "SURVEYS OF PUBLIC RECORD".

FOR THE LATEST ACCESS/DRIVEWAY INFORMATION CONTACT THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF BROOKFIELD.

	R/W PROJEC	T NUMBER	SHEET	TOTAL SHEETS						
	FEDERAL PR	OJECT NUMBER	4.1	12						
	PLAT O	F RIGHT OF WAY REQU	IRED FOR	2						
	CALHOUN ROAD									
		AD W	. DRIVE	0						
	CONSTRUCT	ON NO. 2783-05-70	, IONEON .							
		r								
		ORIGINAL PLAT PRE	PARED BY							
		raSmith CREATIVITY BEYOND	5 W. Bluemound Road, Bro 262-781-1000 Fax 262-3 www.rasmithnational	okfield WI 53005 81-8466 .com						
	-1	ENGINEERING								
-0	AFI									
Dr	118									
11/8	5/10									
1										
		DATE:		/FYOR						
REVISION D	ATE	CITY OF BRO	OKFIELD							
		APPROVED FOR CITY	OF BROOK	FIELD:						
		DATE: (	(Signature)	E						
1		2.	783-05-00	- 41						

# SCHEDULE OF LANDS & INTERESTS REQUIRED

#### AREAS SHOWN IN THE TOTAL ACRES COLUMN MAY BE APPROXIMATE AND ARE DERIVED FROM TAX ROLLS OR OTHER AVAILABLE SOURCES AND MAY NOT INCLUDE LANDS OF THE OWNER WHICH ARE NOT CONTIGUOUS TO THE AREA TO BE ACQUIRED.

							R/	W REQUIRED	ACRES					3 \01/Ja
PARCEL NUMBER	SHEET NUMBER	OWNER(S)		INTEREST REQUIRED		TOTAL ACRES	NEW	EXISTING	TOTAL	TOTAL REMAINING ACRES	T.L.E. TEMP. ACRES	P.L.E. PERM. ACRES	PARCEL NUMBER	L
1	4.5	NORCAL 17280, LLC.		FEE, TLE		3.268	0.016	-	0.016	3.252	0.162	-	1	
	4.5	STEGGEMAN INVESTMENTS. 110	c.	FEE, ILE, PLE FFF. TIF		0.865	0.085	_	0.086	0.780	0.117 0.141	0.054 -	2	
4	4.5	JOHN G. HAROLD		FEE, TLE, PLE		0.869	0.085	-	0.085	0.784	0.123	0.007	4	
5	4.5	HONGFENG WANG & LU LI		FEE, TLE, PLE		0.869	0.076	-	0.076	0.793	0.101	0.022	5	
6	4.5	BEACON 17100. LLC.	ANDRAN	FEE, ILE TIF		1,125	0.028	-	0.028	1.125	0.089	-	6	
8	4.5	PAUL TREICHEL		FEE, TLE		0.960	0.014	-	0.014	0.946	0.057	-	8	
9	4.5	KIRK K. WEGNER	PSON	FEE, TLE		0.638	0.014		0.014	0.624	0.028	-	9	
10	4.5	NORMAN A. & LUANN CUMMIN	IGS	FEE. TLE. PLE		0.801	0.020	_	0.020	0.781	0.295	0.019	10	
12	4.5	NANCY KUTSCHENREUTER		FEE, TLE		1.134	0.030	-	0.030	1.104	0.141	-	12	
13	4.5	JEROME J. SCHELLINGER AND	JANE M. KALATA	FEE, TLE		1.305	0.030	-	0.030	1.275	0.078		13	
14	4.6	HELMUT & BARBARA TOLDT	51	FEE, TLE		0.586	0.017	_	0.017	0.572	0.103	-	14 15	
16	4.6	ELMER G. & MARION L. SCHLE	EIF	FEE, TLE, PLE		3.888	0.058	0.114	0.172	3.716	0.072	0.089	16	
17	4.6	PETERSON JOINT REVOCABLE	TRUST	FEE, PLE		2.074	0.067	0.125	0.192	1.882	-	0.555	17	
18	4.6	WILLIAM T. JR. & TRACY L. S	CHLEICHER	FEE. TLE. PLE		1.240	- 0 <b>.</b> 136	-	0.136	1.798	- 0 <b>.</b> 157	0.002	18 19	
20	4.6	WILLIAM T. JR. & TRACY L. S	CHLEICHER	FEE, TLE		0.726	0.010	-	0.010	0.716	0.074		20	
21	4.6	BPN PROPERTIES, LLC.		FEE, TLE		10.046	0.085	-	0.085	9.961	0.685	-	21	
22	4.6	TROY BECKER	NER	FEE, ILE FFF. TI F. PI F		1.831	0.029	- 0.016	0.045	1.787	0.262	-	22	
24	4.6	MARILYN E. ZEITZ		FEE, TLE		2.166	0.102	0.135	0.237	1.929	0.120	-	24	
25	4.6	MARILYN E. ZEITZ		FEE, TLE		1.318	0.113	0.163	0.276	1.042	0.206	-	25	
27	4.7	THE TREIBER GROUP, LLC.	(CANADIAN PACIFIC)	FEE, TLE, PLE		4 <b>.</b> 595	0.217(HE)	-	0.541(HE) 0.060	4.535	0.209	0.01	26	
28	4.7	BROOKFIELD CATV, INC.		FEE, TLE		0.863	0.035	-	0.035	0.828	0.069	-	28	
29	4.7 & 4.8	WILLOW BROOK COURT, LLC.   TESCH COURT INVESTMENT GE	RE LLC	FEE, TLE, PLE FFF TLF		6.000 1754	0.176	-	0.176	5.824	0.348	0.052	29 30	
31	4.7	CTR PARTNERSHIP, LP		TLE		3.216	-	-	-	3.216	0.108	-	31	
32	4.7	CENTER ICE, LLC.		TLE		9.664	-	-	-	9.664	0.219	-	32	
	4.8	WILLOW BROOK GREENS, LLC.		FEE, TLE, PLE		1.642 32.560	0.027	-		1.615	0.120 2.223	0.551	33 34	
35	4.9	WAUKESHA COUNTY		FEE, TLE, PLE		0.489	0.060	-	0.060	0.429	0.095	0.009	35	
36	4.9	RICHARD & KAREN SCHMIDT		FEE, TLE, PLE		0.565	0.022	-	0.022	0.543	0.084	0.004	36	
31	4.9	ROBERT L. SR & EVELYN A. Milwalikee gospel taberna		FEE, ILE FEF TIF PIF		0.619 9.475	0.022	0 780	0.022	0.597	0.084	- 0 413	37 38	
39	4.9	MATTHEW & HILA SCHUPPENE	R I	FEE, TLE		0.546	0.031	-	0.031	0.515	0.037	-	39	
40	4.9	THOMAS & MARY MAGNOR		FEE, TLE		0.582	0.025	-	0.025	0.557	0.025	-	40	
41	4.9	WEIGUO CUI & HONGMEI LI   YUCONG LI REVOCABLE TRUS"	г	FEE, ILE FFF TIF		0.603	0.038	-	0.038	0.565	0.019	-	41 42	
43	4.9	ARTHUR & TERRY GARDNER	'	FEE, TLE		0.493	0.031	-	0.031	0.462	0.091	-	43	
44	4.9	SUNIL K. & DIPTI G. KRISHNA	N	FEE, TLE		0.495	0.066	-	0.066	0.429	0.118	-	44	
45	4.9	JEFERY A & MARY KAY MERI	кт	FFF TIF PIF		0.655	0.074	-	0.074	0.581	0.061	0.005	45	
40	4.10	RICHARD S. & GENIENE DREF	AHL	FEE, TLE		0.667	0.021	-	0.021	0.646	0.057	-	47	
48	4.10	DENNIS A. STROM REVOCABLE		FEE, TLE, PLE		0.626	0.021	-	0.021	0.605	0.074	0.009	48	
49	4.10	FREDRIC L. III & TAMMY EHRE		FEE, ILE, FLE FEE. TLE		0.519	0.020		0.020	0.499	0.124	-	49 50	
51	4.10	ELLEN M. ROHR		FEE, TLE		0.468	0.003	-	0.003	0.465	0.064	-	51	
52	4.10	SCOTT L. & MICHELLE MUTCH	ELKNAUS	TLE		0.588	-	-	-	0.588	0.010	-	52	
53	4.10	DAVID J. & ANNE K. CIEPLUC	H I	ILE FEE. TLF		0.532	0.022	-	0.022	0.532	0.023	-	53 54	
55	4.10	ANTON J. & JOANN M. CIZEL		FEE, TLE		0.523	0.014	-	0.014	0.509	0.032	-	55	
														I
LVISION DATE:		DATE:		O N/A N/A	HWY: CALHO	UN ROAD		STATE R/W	PROJECT N	NUMBER: 2783	3-05-00		PLAT SI	HEET: 4.2
		GRID FA	CTOR: N/A		COUNTY: WA	AUKESHA		CONSTRUCT	ION PROJEC	T NUMBER: 2	783-05-70		PS&E SI	HEET:

FILE NAME:S/5166925/DWG/27830500/PLAT/SPP +1+10.DWG







BOOK OF ROADS ROADS CREATED IN THE BROOKFIELD TOWNSHIP (by the elected officials of waukesha county and the town of brookfield)									
PAGE NO.	ROAD NAME	DESCRIPTION / NOTES	YEAR ESTAB.	ESTAB. WIDTH					
17	BURLEIGH ROAD	N .124th ST. TO PILGRIM RD (PAGE 6, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1842	4 RODS 66.0'					
18	BURLEIGH ROAD	CALHOUN RD TO BROOKFIELD RD (PAGE 76, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1851	4 RODS 66.0'					
19	BURLEIGH ROAD	BURLEIGH RD TO WEST (PAGE 22, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1845	4 RODS 66.0'					
20	BURLEIGH ROAD	BURLEIGH RD TO WEST (PAGE 58, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1849	DISCON TINUED					
21	CALHOUN ROAD	GREENFIELD AVE TO BLUE MOUND RD (PAGE 13, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1844	4 RODS 66.0'					
22	CALHOUN ROAD	(BLUE MOUND RD TO LISBON RD) ((PAGE 13, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1844	4 RODS 66.0					
23	CALHOUN ROAD	SECTION CORNER 21 & 22 & 27 & 28 TO BLUE MOUND RD (PAGE 26 VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1845	4 RODS 66.0'					
24	CALHOUN ROAD	SECTION CORNER 21 & 22 & 27 & 28 TO BLUE MOUND RD (PAGE 26 VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1845	DISCON TINUED					
25	CAPITOL DRIVE	N. 124th ST TO SPRINGDALE RD (PAGE 7, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS) (AKA: PEWAUKEE & MILWAUKEE RD & MADISON RD)	1843	4 RODS 66.0'					
26	CAPITOL DRIVE (NO DOCUMENT)	N. 124th ST TO SPRINGDALE RD - S.T.H. "190" (CURRENT ALIGNMENT STATE PROJECT F06-1(39))	1963	WIDTH VARIES					
27	DAVIDSON ROAD	CALHOUN RD TO MARTIN DR (PAGE 10 VOLUME 2, TOWN RECORDS)	1866	DISCON TINUED					
28	DAVIDSON ROAD	EGAN RD TO GREENFIELD AVE (PAGE 75 VOLUME 2, TOWN RECORDS)	1877	3 RODS 49.50'					
29	DAVIDSON ROAD	EGAN RD TO GREENFIELD AVE (PAGE 78 VOLUME 2, TOWN RECORDS) (AWARD OF DAMAGES)	1877	AWARD					
30	DECHANT ROAD (DECK ANT ROAD)	BLUE MOUND RD TO GREENFIELD AVE (PAGE 36 VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1847	3 RODS 49.50'					
31	ELM GROVE ROAD	BLUE MOUND RD TO GREENFIELD AVE (PAGE 18, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1844	3 RODS 49.50'					
32	ELM GROVE ROAD	BLUE MOUND RD TO WATERTOWN PLANK RD (PAGE 72, VOLUME 1, TOWN RECORDS)	1850	4 RODS 66.0'					
* INDICA	* INDICATES PUBLIC STREET WIDTH 66.0 FEET PER STATE STATUTE CHAPTER 82.31 (1)  INDEX PAGE 2 OF 7  CAROOK OF POADS DWG								

# CALHOUN RD FROM BLUEMOUND RD TO LISBON RD

22

PAGE

# 9 TOWN OF BROOKFIELD

Copied from page 13, Vol. I, Town Records

Description of a highway in the town of Brookfield baounded as follows:

Commencing athe United States Road between D. Raymonds and J. W. Brackets and running due North on ssid line to the North side of Gerry Putneys land then in a North East direction through the Tamarack Swamp to the Section stake of twenty one and twenty two, twenty seven and Twenty eight, thence North on the Section line between twenty one and twenty two. Fifteen & Sixteen Nine and ten, three and four and intersed the North Madison Road on the North Town line.

We the undersigned Commissioners of highways for the

town of Brookfield after carefully viewing said route consider the same to be of public benefit do establish the same four

rods in width.

Brookfield March 9th, 1844

22

A. B. VanVleck Robert Curran

Commissioners of Highways

યંદ

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

Attachment 3

**Crash History & Reports** 

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING ACCIDENT/INCIDENT REPORT

OMB Approval No. 2130-0500

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION (FR/	FEDERAL	. RAILROAD	ADMINISTR.	ATION (	FRA)
--------------------------------------	---------	------------	------------	---------	------

Name Of								Alphab	etic Code	e RR Accident/In	cident No.
1. Reporting Railroad		C	hicago, Milv	wauke	e, St. Paul & Pacifi	c Rai	ilroad	1a. M	LW	1b. 423681	
2. Other Railroad Involved in Train	Accident/I	ncident						2a.		2b.	
3. Railroad Responsible for Track	Aaintenan	ce C	hicago, Milv	vauke	e, St. Paul & Pacific	c Rai	ilroad	<sup>3a.</sup> M	ILW	3b. 423681	
4. U.S. DOT-AAR Grade Crossing	ID No.	390	520H	5. Dat	e of Accident/Incident	09/	/17/82	6. Time	of Accide	ent/Incident 02:2:	5 PM
7. Nearest Railroad Station BROOKFIELD			8. Div	ision		9.	. County WAUK	KESHA		10. State Abbr. 5	Code 5   WI
11. City (if in a city) BROOK	FIELD		12. Hig	hway N	ame or No. CALH	OUN	N ROAD			✓ Public	Private
Highway	User Invo	lved	I			F	Rail Equip	oment Involved	b		
13. Type C. Truck-trailer F. Bus	5	J. Other Me	otor Vehicle	Code	17. Equipment	ina)	4. Car(s)	(moving)	8. C	Other (specify)	Code
A. Auto D. Pick-up truck G. Scl	nool Bus	K. Pedestri	an	Α	2. Train (units pus	hing)	6. Light l	oco(s) (movir	ng) B.T	Frain pushing- RCL	1 1
B. Truck E. Van H. Mo	irection	M. Other	(specity) hical)	Code	3. Train (standing)	) nit in <sup>-</sup>	7. Light l	oco(s) (stand	ing) C.1	Frain standing- RCL	
(est. mph at impact) <b>0</b> 1. N	orth 2. So	outh 3. Eas	t 4. West	1			main		1		
16. Position 1. Stalled on crossing 3. Moving over crossing Code 19. Circumstance 1. Rail equipment struck highway user Code 2. Stopped on Crossing 4. Trapped							Code				
20a. Was the highway user and/or	rail equipn	nent involve	d	Code	20b. Was there a haz	zardou	us materi	als release by	invay uot		Code
in the impact transporting haz	ardous ma	aterials?	4 Noithor	4	1 Highway I	lser	2 Rail	Fauinment	3 Both	4 Neither	
20c. State the name and quantity of	f the haza	rdous mater	rial released. if	anv	1. Trighway C	0.001	2.1101	Equipment	0. Dotti	4. Notation	
				,							
21. Temperature 22.	visibility (	single entry	1)	Code	23. Weather (single	e entry	у)				Code
(specify if minus) 60 °F 1.	Dawn 2.	Day 3. Du	sk 4. Dark	2	1. Clear 2. Cloud	dy 3.	Rain 4. I	Fog 5. Sleet	6. Snow	V	3
24. Type of Equipment	Work tro	in 7 Vard/9	A. Spec. MoW	/ Equip	25. Track Type Use	d by R	Rail		Code 2	26. Track Number o	r Name
(single entry) 2. Passenger train 5	. Single ca	ar 8. Light l	oco(s)	Code	Equipment Invol	lved		1		DOUBLE MAI	N
3. Commuter train 6	. Cut of ca	ars 9. Main./	inspect. car	1	1. Main 2. Yard 3. Siding 4. Industry 1 TRACK						
27. FRA Track 28. Number of	of	29. Number	r of 30. Con	sist Sp	eed (Recorded if avail	lable)	Code	31. Time Tab	le Directi	on	Code
4 Units	4	Cars	106 E.E	stimate	a ad <b>40</b> n	nph	E	1. North 2.	South 3.	. East 4. West	2
32. Type of 1. Gates 4	. Wig wags	3	7. Crossbucks	10. F	lagged by crew	3	3. Signal	ed Crossing	3	4. Whistle Ban	Code
Crossing 2. Cantilever FLS 5	Hwy. traf	fic signals	8. Stop signs	11. O	ther (specify)		Warni	ing		1. Yes	
Code(s) <b>03</b>				12.1		2	20 sec w	arn min (1);		2. INO 3. Unknown	
35. Location of Warning		C	ode 36. Cr	ossing	Warning Interconnecte	ed	Code	37. Crossin	ig Illumina	ated by Street	Code
1. Both Sides		I	wi	th High	way Signals	I		Lights c	or Special	Lights	
3. Opposite Side of Vehicle Approach	proach	]	l   1.	Yes 2	2. No 3. Unknown		2	1. Yes	2. No	3. Unknown	2
38. Driver's 39. Driver's Code	40. Drive	r Drove Beh	ind or in Front	of Trai	n Code 41. D	river					Code
Age Gender	and S	Struck or wa 1 Yes 2 N	Is Struck by Se	econd 1 vn	Frain   1	. Drov Stor	ve around	d or thru the ga then proceed	ate 4.St ed 5.O	topped on crossing	1
2. Female						<u>B. Did i</u>	not stop				4
42. Driver Passed Standing	Code	43. View o	f Track Obscu	red by	(primary obstruct	tion)	otation	7 Othou	(0000)	(f. 1)	Code
1. Yes 2. No 3. Unknown	2	2. Stan	ding railroad e	equipme	ent 4. Topography 6	6. vegi 6. High	hway Veh	nicles 8. Not 0	Obstructe	d	5
			44. Driver w	/as		Code	е	45. Was Driv	ver in the	Vehicle?	Code
Casualties to:	Killed	Injured	1. Killed	d 2. Inj	ured 3. Uninjured	3		1. Yes 2	2. No		1
46. Highway-Rail Crossing Users	0	0	47. Highwa (est. doi	y Vehic <i>llar dan</i>	le Property Damage	\$2.3	300	48. Total Nu (include d	mber of H driver)	lighway-Rail Crossi	ng Users 1
49. Railroad Employees	0	0	50. Total Nu	umber	of People on Train	φ <b>2</b> ,	500	51. Is a Rail	Equipme	nt Accident /	Code
52. Passengers on Train	0	0	(include	passe	ngers and crew)			1. Yes	Report Be 2. No	eing Filed	2
53a. Special Study Block		11			53b. Special Study E	Block					
54. Narrative Description											
		F0. 0'									
55. Typed Name and Litle		56. Signatu	re							57. Date	

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### HIGHWAY-RAIL GRADE CROSSING ACCIDENT/INCIDENT REPORT

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION (FRA)
---------------------------------------

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION (FRA) OMB Approval No. 2130-0500												
Name Of							Alphabetic Coc	le RR Accident/In	R Accident/Incident No.			
1. Reporting Railroad		C	<sup>C</sup> hicago, Mil	wauke	e, St. Paul & Pacifi	ic Railroad	<sup>1a.</sup> MILW	1b. 407381				
2. Other Railroad Involved in Train	Accident/I	ncident					2a.	2b.				
3. Railroad Responsible for Track I	3b. 407381											
4. U.S. DOT-AAR Grade Crossing ID No. 390520H 5. Date of Accident/Incident 11/18/81 6. Time of Accident/Incident 07:50 AM												
7. Nearest Railroad Station			8. Div	/ision		9. County	ł	10. State	Code			
BROOKFIELD WAUKESHA Abbr. 55												
11. City (if in a city) BROOK	FIELD		12. Hig	ghway N	lame or No. CALH	OUN RD		✓ Public	Private			
Highway	User Invo	olved				Rail Equi	ipment Involved					
13. Type C. Truck-trailer F. Bus	5	J. Other M	otor Vehicle	Code	17. Equipment	4. Car(s	) (moving) 8.	Other (specify)	Code			
A. Auto D. Pick-up truck G. Sc	A. Auto D. Pick-up truck G. School Bus K. Pedestrian 2. Train (units pushing) 6. Light loco(s) (moving) B. Train pushing-											
B. Truck E. Van H. Mo	3. Truck E. Van H. Motorcycle M. Other (specify) <sup>1</sup> 3. Train (standing) 7. Light loco(s) (standing) C. Train standing- RCL											
14. Vehicle Speed 15. D	cle Speed 15. Direction (geographical) Code 18. Position of Car Unit in Train											
(est. mpn at impact) 5 1. North 2. South 3. East 4. West 1 1 16. Position 1. Stalled on crossing 3. Moving over crossing Code 10. Circumstance 1. Poil equipment struck highway were												
10. Position       1. Stalled on crossing       3. Moving over crossing       Code       19. Circumstance       1. Rail equipment struck highway user       Code         2. Stopped on Crossing       4. Trapped       3       2. Rail equipment struck by highway user       Code												
20a. Was the highway user and/or	rail equipr	nent involve	ed	Code	20b. Was there a haz	zardous mater	rials release by		Code			
in the impact transporting haz	ardous ma	aterials?	1 Noithor	4	1 Highway I	User 2 Rai	Equipment 3 Both	4 Neither				
20c. State the name and quantity c	f the haza	rdous mater	rial released, i	fany	igi	2						
21 Tomporture	/ieik !!!	(single ant-	4	0-1	00 101	la ante d			0.1			
21. Temperature 22.	Dour		1)		23. vveather (singl	eentry)			1			
(specify if minus) 55 1 1.	Dawn 2.	Day 3. Du	ISK 4. Dark	2	1. Clear 2. Cloud	dy 3. Rain 4.	Fog 5. Sleet 6. Sno	W	-			
24. Type of Equipment	Work tra	in 7 Yard/9	A. Spec. MoV Switching	V Equip	25. Track Type Use	d by Rail	Code	26. Track Number of	r Name			
(single entry) 2. Passenger train 5	. Single ca	ar 8. Light I	oco(s)	Code	Equipment invo	lived	I					
3. Commuter train 6	. Cut of ca	ars 9. Main./	inspect. car	1	1. Main 2. Yar	rd 3. Siding	4. Industry 1	MAIN				
27. FRA Track 28. Number	of	29. Numbe	r of 30. Con	sist Spe	eed (Recorded if avail	<i>lable)</i> Code	31. Time Table Direc	tion	Code			
Class Locomot	ive 1	Cars	22 R.F	Recorde	d 55 r	moh E	1 North 2 South 3	R East 4 West	3			
32 Type of 1 Gates 4	4     Units     1     22     E. Estimated     55     mph     E     1. North 2. South 3. East 4. West     3       32     Type of 1. Gates     4. Wig wags     7. Crossbucks 10. Elagged by grow     23. Signaled Crossing     24. Hit in the construction     24. Hit in the construction											
Crossing 2. Cantilever FLS 5	Hwy. traf	fic signals	8. Stop signs	11. 0	ther (specify)	Warr	ning	1. Yes				
Warning 3. Standard FLS 6	Audible		9. Watchman	12. N	one	_		2. No	1			
Code(s) 03						20 sec v	varn min (1);	3. Unknown				
35. Location of Warning		C	Code 36. Cr	ossing ith High	Warning Interconnecte	ed Code	37. Crossing Illumir	nated by Street	Code			
2. Side of Vehicle Approach		1	1 "	urrign	way olgitals	3			1 2			
3. Opposite Side of Vehicle Ap	proach		<b>1</b> .	Yes 2	2. No 3. Unknown	5	1. Yes 2. No	3. Unknown	3			
38. Driver's 39. Driver's Code	40. Drive	r Drove Beh	ind or in Front	t of Trai	n Code 41. D	Driver			Code			
Age Gender	and S	Struck or wa	as Struck by S	econd T	rain   1	<ol> <li>Drove aroun</li> <li>Stoppod and</li> </ol>	d or thru the gate 4.5	Stopped on crossing	1			
2. Female					3	3. Did not stop			3			
42. Driver Passed Standing	Code	43. View o	of Track Obscu	ured by	(primary obstruc	tion)			Code			
Highway Vehicle	2	1. Perr	nanent Structu Iding railroad	ure equinmé	3. Passing Train 5 ant 4. Topography 6	5. Vegetation 6. Highway Ve	7. Other (spec hicles 8 Not Obstruct	c <i>ify)</i> ed				
I. TES Z. INU 3. UNKNOWN	5		44 D			O de						
Casualties to:	Killed	Injured	44. Driver v	vas d 2 loi	urod 2 Upipiurod	Code	45. vvas Driver in the	e venicie?	Code			
		-	I. KIIIE	u z. mj		3	1. Tes 2. NO		1			
46. Highway-Rail Crossing Users	0	0	47. Highwa	ly Vehic Illar dan	le Property Damage	\$500	48. Total Number of (include driver)	Highway-Rail Crossi	ng Users			
49. Railroad Employees	0	0	50 Total N	umber o	of People on Train	\$500	51. Is a Rail Equipme	ent Accident /	L Code			
52. Passengers on Train	0	0	(include	e passei	ngers and crew)		Incident Report B	eing Filed	2			
53h. Special Study Block												
54. Narrative Description												
55. Typed Name and Title		56. Signatu	ire					57. Date				

Attachment 4

# **Railroad Crossing Drainage Exhibit**

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

K:\1172700\CIVIL3D\27830500\SHEETSOTHER\RR XING DRAINAGE EXHIBIT - CPRR.DWG FILE NAME : LAYOUT NAME - RR Xing Drainage

PLOT DATE : 11/19/2018 1:33 PM

SIEBERT, LUKE PLOT BY :

PLOT NAME :

**Attachment 5** 

**FIRM Maps** 

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

8° 07' 30"

# **Attachment 6**

Calhoun Road DSR Section 4.3 at Railroad Crossings, Vertical Curve Features Beyond FDM Thresholds

![](_page_55_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE:	August 9, 2018
TO:	Kathy Kramer, P.E., WisDOT SE Region
FR:	Brad Severson, P.E., raSmith
CC:	Jeff Chase, P.E., City of Brookfield
RE:	Calhoun Road (County M to WIS 190) Local Street

Project ID 2783-05-00 Section 4.3 of the Design Study Report – CPRR crossings

#### Introduction

The preferred alternative for the Calhoun Road design will require substandard profile design elements near the two Canadian Pacific Railroad at-grade crossings. The City of Brookfield and raSmith would like to receive approval from WisDOT regarding the proposed design criteria. Vertical curve k-values are proposed that are below the minimum values for a 40 mph design speed, and profile grade breaks without vertical curves are also proposed that are above the maximum for a 40 mph design speed. This memorandum provides Section 4.3 (Design Criteria Outside of Desirable Standards) of the Design Study Report (DSR) for that segment of the project. There may be additional design elements that will also be documented in Section 4.3 of the DSR, but at this time, review of the criteria near the two railroad crossings is sought, as these are the only criteria expected to be beyond minimum (or maximum) limits.

The following is Section 4.3 of the DSR, discussing the design near the two railroad crossings, and the issues associated with providing a design that meets all Facilities Development Manual (FDM) criteria:

### Design Criteria Outside of Standards Requested for Approval

On Calhoun Road from approximately STA 237+50 to STA 242+00 there are proposed vertical design criteria outside of FDM standards for the design speed of 40 mph. This is due to the close proximity (approximately 385') and existing elevation difference (approximately 8.5') between two existing Canadian Pacific Railroad at-grade crossings. This is further complicated by an existing superelevation on the southern-most crossing of approximately 3.2% in the opposite direction of the existing profile. There is inadequate space between the two at-grade crossings to provide a profile design that meets FDM standards without changes to the railroads.

The proposed roadway design contains the following design criteria that are outside of FDM standards (**See Exhibit 4-1**. Note, southbound profile is not shown as it has approximately the same profile deficiencies. **See Attachment 1** – Plan and Profile Sheets for additional details.):

#### Northbound Direction of Travel

• Profile grade breaks of 1.7% are proposed at STA 237+66'NB' and STA 237+77'NB'. These grade breaks are located at the proposed edge of concrete panels of the southern superelevated crossing. These grade breaks exceed the maximum change in grade without a vertical curve of 0.8% for a design speed of 40 mph. This is an improvement over the existing condition, as the existing grade breaks at this railroad crossing are 4.3% and 4.7%.

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

- A crest vertical curve with a K value of 29.5 is proposed from STA 237+77'NB' to STA 239+37'NB'. This
  does not meet the minimum K value of 44 for a design speed of 40 mph. This curve does meet the minimum
  K value for a design speed of 35 mph (K<sub>crest</sub> = 29).
- A sag vertical curve with a K value of 28.9 is proposed from STA 240+52'NB' to 241+60'NB'. This curve does not meet the minimum K value of 64 for a design speed of 40 mph. This curve does meet the minimum K value for a design speed of 25 mph (K<sub>sag</sub> = 26).
- Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) does not meet the minimum 305' using a 24-inch object height for a design speed of 40 mph from approximately STA 236+60'NB' to STA 237+50'NB'. SSD does meet the minimum 250' using a 24-inch object height for a design speed of 35 mph at all locations.
- At a distance of 30' beyond the rails of the southern crossing, the profile will deviate 6.5 inches and 7.3 inches from the plane of the rail, which is greater than the 3-inch desirable deviation recommended in FDM 17-60-5.3. These profile deviations are an improvement over the existing condition, which are 12.7 inches and 17.7 inches from the plane of the rail.

#### Southbound Direction of Travel

- Profile grade breaks of 1.7% are proposed at STA 237+79'SB' and STA 237+90'SB'. These grade breaks are located at the proposed edge of concrete panels of the southern superelevated crossing. These grade breaks exceed the maximum change in grade without a vertical curve of 0.8% for a design speed of 40 mph. This is an improvement over the existing condition, as the existing grade breaks at this railroad crossing are 4.3% and 4.7%.
- A crest vertical curve with a K value of 29.9 is proposed from STA 237+90'SB' to 239+62'SB'. This does
  not meet the minimum K value of 44 for a design speed of 40 mph. This curve does meet the minimum K
  value for a design speed of 35 mph (K<sub>crest</sub> = 29).
- A sag vertical curve with a K value of 27.4 is proposed from STA 240+50'SB' to 241+63'SB'. This curve does not meet the minimum K value of 64 for a design speed of 40 mph. This curve does meet the minimum K value for a design speed of 25 mph (K<sub>sag</sub> = 26).
- Stopping Sight Distance (SSD) does not meet the minimum 305' using a 24-inch object height for a design speed of 40 mph from approximately STA 239+95'SB' to STA 240+85'SB'. SSD does meet the minimum 250' using a 24-inch object height for a design speed of 35 mph at all locations.
- At a distance of 30' beyond the rails of the southern crossing, the profile will deviate 5.6 inches and 6.5 inches from the plane of the rail, which is greater than the 3-inch desirable deviation recommended in FDM 17-60-5.3. These profile deviations are an improvement over the existing condition, which are 12.7 inches and 17.7 inches from the plane of the rail.

#### Mitigation of Design Criteria Outside of Standards

The following design elements are expected to mitigate the substandard profile design on Calhoun Road from STA 237+50 to STA 242+00:

- Intersection Sight Distance (ISD) will be improved for the Pheasant Drive intersection by raising the profile
  of Calhoun Road and grading the hill in the SE corner of the intersection. Desirable Intersection Sight
  Distance (ISD) is provided for all movements. This is an improvement over the existing condition, which
  provides minimum ISD but not desirable ISD for Movement B2 Right Turn from Minor Road.
- Both at-grade railroad crossings are anticipated to have lights and gates. Overhead cantilever signal arms with lights are also proposed to increase sight distance to the signals.
- Advance warning signs will be placed to alert approaching drivers to the presence of the railroad crossings.
- Stopping sight distance to the railroad signals and warning signs will be 875 feet or greater for all railroad crossing approaches. This exceeds the minimum SSD of 305 feet for a 40 mph design speed.
- At the southern railroad crossing, the proposed grade breaks of 1.7% in the northbound and southbound directions, respectively, will provide a significant improvement in ride quality and driver comfort compared to the existing grade breaks of 4.3% and 4.7%.
- From 2011 to 2015, the majority of crashes near the railroad crossings were rear end crashes related to queued vehicles waiting for trains to cross. The reconstruction of Calhoun Road to a 4-lane divided roadway

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

is expected to reduce queues at the railroad crossings by nearly half. This will place queue ends in an area where the crossing is more visible to approaching drivers, and the shorter queues will release faster, reducing the likelihood of rear end crashes.

#### Alternatives Considered to Meet Minimum Criteria

Design of a profile that meets all FDM vertical design standards would require modifications to the design of one or both railroads. A Railroad Crossing Alternatives Technical Memorandum was completed for these at-grade crossings to evaluate four potential railroad or roadway reconstruction alternatives that may help improve existing roadway deficiencies (**see Attachment 2**). These alternatives are summarized below:

**Railroad Crossing Alternatives** 

- Alternative #1 Raise Northern Crossing
- Alternative #2 Remove Super-elevation within the Southern Crossing
- Alternative #3 Remove the Southern Crossing by Double Tracking the Northern Crossing
- Alternative #4 Relocate Pheasant Drive's Western Access North of Northern Crossing

Of these alternatives, only Alternative #1 was considered feasible after considering potential construction costs, real estate impacts, environmental impacts, construction schedule, and probability of railroad approval. Therefore, only Alternative #1 was evaluated for potential improvement to existing roadway deficiencies.

A roadway profile was designed for the northbound direction of travel that would meet all FDM minimum standards by incorporating the Railroad Crossing Alternative #1 to raise the northern crossing (**See Exhibit 4-2**. Note, a southbound profile was not evaluated because it was assumed to be very similar to the northbound profile.). To meet the FDM minimum standards, the northern track would need to be raised approximately 7.7 feet. This would have numerous large impacts to two commercial properties, extensive railroad reconstruction, and increased wetland impacts. Retaining walls would be needed along the two commercial properties to avoid the need to relocate those businesses.

Alternative #1 of the Railroad Crossing Alternative Memo also only estimated approximately a 12-inch raise in the northern crossing to be considered probable/feasible. Raising the track only 12-inches does not result in design criteria that meets desirable standards, and therefore, has not been incorporated into the proposed roadway design. A 12-inch raise in the northern crossing was estimated to require approximately 1,200 feet of track reconstruction on each side of Calhoun Road. The over 7 feet of track raising needed to meet FDM vertical design standards could require as much as 9,000 feet of track reconstruction on each side of Calhoun Road. That extent of railroad work would also affect the at-grade railroad crossings at Brookfield Road and Pilgrim Road. Wetlands that are present along the northern tracks would also be affected by the railroad reconstruction. Wetlands were not delineated beyond the Calhoun Road construction limits, so exact wetland impacts could not be calculated.

Alternative #1 was estimated to cost approximately \$200,000 for a 12-inch track raise. Therefore, it is estimated that the over 7 foot raise needed to meet vertical design standards would cost \$1.4 million or more, with additional costs beyond that for the reconstruction of the at-grade railroad crossings at Brookfield Road and Pilgrim Road.

Providing profiles that meet FDM minimum standards from STA 237+50 to STA 242+00 would result in significant increases in construction costs, real estate impacts, and environmental impacts.

#### Acceptance of Design Criteria

See **Exhibit 4-3** for the City of Brookfield's acceptance of the proposed design criteria.

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Severson, Brad

Jeff Chase <chase@ci.brookfield.wi.us> Thursday, August 9, 2018 11:16 AM Severson, Brad Tom Grisa; Senso, Doug Calhoun Road Reconstruction I.D 2783-05-00 DSR Sec. 4.3

Brad,

From:

Sent:

Subject:

To: Cc:

This e-mail is in follow up to our recent conversations regarding the above referenced matter. The City of Brookfield is in agreement with the content of your memo dated February 16, 2018 regarding the proposed roadway profiles near the CPRR crossings. While these profiles do not meet all FDM minimum design standards we believe it will be a significant improvement to the existing condition and represents the practical limits of geometric design give the many existing constraints. Please proceed as proposed.

Jeffrey M.Chase P.E. City Engineer 2000 N. Calhoun Road (262) 787-3524 Attachment 7

**US DOT Crossing Inventory Form** 

# **U. S. DOT CROSSING INVENTORY FORM**

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION

Instructions for the initial reporting of the following types of new or previously unreported crossings: For public highway-rail grade crossings, complete the entire inventory Form. For private highway-rail grade crossings, complete the Header, Parts I and II, and the Submission Information section. For public pathway grade crossings (including pedestrian station grade crossings), complete the Header, Parts I and II, and the Submission Information section. For Private pathway grade crossings, complete the Header, Parts I and II, and the Submission Information section. For grade-separated highway-rail or pathway crossings (including pedestrian station crossings), complete the Header, Part I, and the Submission Information section. For changes to existing data, complete the Header, Part I Items 1-3, and the Submission Information section, in addition to the updated data fields. Note: For private crossings only, Part I Item 20 and Part III Item 2.K. are required unless otherwise noted. An asterisk * denotes an optional field.														
A. Revision Date		B. Reporting	Agency	C. Rea	son for Up	odate (Se	lect only	one)			D. DOT Crossing			
(MM/DD/YYYY) 01 / 09 / 2017		🗷 Railroad	it 🛛 🗷 Cha	nge in	□ New		Closed	No Train Traffic	Quiet Zono Undato	Inventory Number				
		🗆 State		Open	Date     Change	Change in Primary		Admin.	zone opdate	390520H				
Part I: Location and Classification Information														
1. Primary Operating SOO Line Railroad	<b>g Railro</b> d Comp	ad bany [SOO]			2. State         3. County           WISCONSIN         WAUKES					IA				
4. City / Municipality	/		5. Street CALH	<b>/Road Name</b> OUN RD	2 & Block Number 6. Highway Type & No.									
□ Near BROOK	FIELD		(Street/	Road Name)		* (Block Number) CITY ST								
7. Do Other Railroads Operate a Separate Track at Crossing?       Yes       No         If Yes, Specify RR       If Yes, Specify RR       If Yes, Specify RR														
9. Railroad Division	or Regio		10. Railroad	Subdivision	or Distric	t	11. Bra	nch or Line Name	<i>,</i>	12. RR Milepos	2. RR Milepost			
□ None EAST			□ None	WATERTO	OWN		🗆 Non	e CHICAGO-ST	Γ PAUL	(prefix)   (nnn	n.nnn)   (suffix)			
13. Line Segment		14. Nea	rest RR Timet	able	15. Pare	ent RR (	if applical	ole)	16. Crossir	ng Owner (if app	licable)			
* 98381MT	* Station * Station * Station CP													
17. Crossing Type	18. Cr	ossing Purpose	19. Crossi	ng Position	20. P	ublic Acc	ess	21. Type of Train			22. Average Passenger			
	🗶 Hig	shway	🗷 At Grad	le	(if Pri	ivate Cro	ssing)	🗷 Freight	🗆 Transi	isit Train Count Per Day				
Public Private	□ Pat	thway, Ped. Ition Ped		ler r	☐ Yes ☐ Intercity Passenger				ger  □ Snareq Use Transit  □ Less Than One □ Tourist/Other					
23. Type of Land Use	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					,				q other				
Open Space	🗆 Farı	n 🗷 Res	idential	Commer	cial	🗆 Indu	strial	Institutional	Recreation	onal 🗌 RF	R Yard			
24. Is there an Adjac	ent Cro	ssing with a Sep	oarate Numbe	er?	2	5. Quiet	Zone (Fi	RA provided)						
🗆 Yes 🗷 No 🛛 If	Yes, Pro	ovide Crossing N	umber		2	No [	] 24 Hr	Partial Chica	go Excused	Date Establis	hed			
26. HSR Corridor ID		27. Latit	ude in decim	al degrees		28	. Longitud	le in decimal degrees	5	29. La	t/Long Source			
	<b>M</b> N//	11/1/258/	std: nn nnn	43.00	69124	(14	CS81 ctd	-88	.125915	Act	ual 🗆 Estimated			
30.A. Railroad Use	*	(100004	<u></u>				31.A. 9	State Use *						
30.B. Railroad Use	*						31.B. 9	State Use *						
30.C. Railroad Use	*						31.C. 9	itate Use *						
30.D. Railroad Use	*						31.D. 9	State Use *						
32.A. Narrative (Ra	ilroad U	lse) *					32.B. I	Narrative (State Use)	*					
33. Emergency Notif	ication	Telephone No.	(posted)	34. Railro	ad Conta	<b>ct</b> (Telep	hone No.	)	35. State Cor	ntact (Telephone	e No.)			
800-716-9132				800-716	-9132				608-266-1168					
				F	Part II: F	Railroa	d Info	rmation						
1. Estimated Number	r of Dail	y Train Moveme	ents											
1.A. Total Day Thru	Trains	1.B. T	otal Night Thr	u Trains	1.C. Total	Switchin	g Trains	1.D. Total Transit	Trains	1.E. Check if Le	ess Than			
10		10	10 6 ANI)		0			0		How many tra	ins per week? 0			
2. Year of Train Coun	t Data (	YYYY)	3	Speed of Tr	ain at Cro	ssing								
2016			3	A. Maximun	n Timetabl	le Speed	(mph) <u>6</u>	onh) From 10	to 65					
4. Type and Count of	Tracks		3	b. Typical Sp	Jeeu nang	e over C	i Ussilig (fi	<i>ipiij</i> 110111 <u>10</u>						
Main 2 Siding 0 Yard 0 Transit 0 Industry 0														
5. Train Detection (Main Track only)														
Constant Warning Time Ontoin Detection AFO PTC DC Other None														
Yes No	6. Is Track Signaled?         7.A. Event Record           ☑ Yes<								> Yes X No					

A. Revision Date ( <i>MM/DD/YYYY</i> ) PAGE 2 D. Crossing Inventory Number (7 char.) 390520H																
Part III: Highway or Pathway Traffic Control Device Information																
1. Are there 2. Types of Passive Traffic Control Devices associated with the Crossing																
Signs or Signals?	2.A. Crossbu	ck	2.B. ST	OP Signs (R1	-1) 2.C.	C. YIELD Signs (R1-2)		2.D. Adva	nce Wa	rning S	igns (Check al	l that app	ly; include	; include count) 🛛 🖬 None		
🖬 Yes 🗌 No	Assemblies	count)	(count) 2	t) (count) 0						8 4	W10-11 W10-12					
2.E. Low Ground Cl (W10-5)	earance Sign	2.F. P	avement	Markings	rkings 2.G. Cha				elization 2.H. EXEMP			Sign 2.1. ENS Sign (I-13) Displayed				
Yes (count	Yes (count)     □ Stop Lines					nvelope		☐ All Approaches  ☐ Medi			□ Yes		Yes			
2.J. Other MUTCD S		2.K. Private Crossing				2.L.	2.L. LED Enhanced Signs (List types)									
Specify Type		Signs (if private)														
Specify Type		Со	unt		□ Yes □ No											
Specify Type Count																
3. Types of Train Activated Warning Devices at the Grade Crossing (specify count of each device for all that apply) 3. Gate Arms 3. Gate Configuration 3. Capitilevered (or Bridged) Elashing Light 3. Device Activated Elashing													Tabal Cause of			
3.A. Gate Arms (count)	3.B. Gate Co	ntiguratio	n	3.C. C Struc	antilevered tures <i>(coun</i>	t) (or Bria <u>(</u>	<i>ged)</i> Flashli	3.D (coi	. Niast i unt of n	viounted Flas nasts) 2		gnts		shing Light Pairs		
(county	🖬 2 Quad	🗆 Full	(Barrier)	Over	Traffic Lane	<u> </u>	🗆 In		□ Incandescent			LED				
Roadway 2	🗆 3 Quad	Resista	ince			0	_	□ Back Lights Inclu			hts Included	d 🛛 Side Lights				
Pedestrian 0	🗆 4 Quad	□ Meo	dian Gate	s Not C	Over Traffic	Lane 0	LE	D				Includ	ed			
3.F. Installation Dat	e of Current			3.G. Ways	ide Horn					3.H. F	lighway Traffi	c Signals (	Controllin	g	3.I. Bells	
Active Warning Devices: (MM/YYYY)										Cross	ing s 🖬 No				(count) 1	
												1				
3.J. Non-Train Active Warning       3.K. Other Flashing Lights or Warning Devices         □ Flagging/Flagman       □Manually Operated Signals       □ Watchman       □ Floodlighting       Image: None       Count       0       Specify type																
4.A. Does nearby H	wy 4.B. Hw	y Traffic S	Signal	4.C. Hwy	C. Hwy Traffic Signal Preemption 5. Highway Tr					raffic Pre-Signals 6. Highway Monito				orin	g Devices	
Intersection have	Interco	nnection	actod					🗆 Yes 💵	No	No (Check all				that apply)		
Traffic Signals!	□ For	Traffic Sig	nals	Simult	aneous			Storage Distance * Vess – Vehicle Presence Detection						ence Detection		
🗆 Yes 🛛 No	🗆 For	Warning S	Signs	Advan	ce			Stop Line Di	stance	*		🗷 None	2			
Part IV: Physical Characteristics																
1. Traffic Lanes Crossing Railroad       One-way Traffic       2. Is Roadway/Pathway       3. Does Track Run Down a Structure         Image: Comparison of the structure       Image: Comparison of the structure       Down a Structure									n a Street?	4. Is Crossing Illuminated? (Street lights within approx 50 feet from						
Number of Lanes	2		ded Traff	ic		Yes	🗆 No		🗆 Yes	X	No	nearest	rail) 🗆 Y	es	No No	
5. Crossing Surface	(on Main Trac 2 Asphalt	<i>ck, multipl</i> ∃ 3 Asph	<i>le types a</i> nalt and T	illowed) Ir imber 🗌	stallation [ 4 Concret	Date * <i>(M</i> e 🗌 5	M/YYYY) _ Concrete	/ and Rubber	□ 6	_ Wie Rubbe	dth * er □ 7 Me	tal	Length *	·		
□ 8 Unconsolidate	ed 🗌 9 Co	nposite	□ 10 C	)ther (specif	Γy)							-				
6. Intersecting Roadway within 500 feet?7. Smallest Crossing Angle8. Is Commercial									ial Power Available? *							
🗆 Yes  No	If Yes, Approx	imate Dist	tance <i>(fe</i>	et)			□ 0° – 2	9° 🗆 30°	– 59°	X	60° - 90°		🖬 Yes		□ No	
					Part V: P	ublic H	lighway	Informat	tion							
1. Highway System			2.	Functional	Classificatic	on of Road Iral 🕱 (	d at Crossir 1) Urban	ıg	3. Sv	Is Cross stem?	sing on State I	Highway	4.⊦ 1	. Highway Speed Limit I MPH		
🗌 (01) Inters	tate Highway	System		(1) Interstate (1) Of Major Collector					□ Yes 🖬 No □ Posted					ed 🗳 Statutory		
□ (02) Other	Nat Hwy Syst	em (NHS)		(2) Other F	reeways ar	nd Expres	sways	Callester	5.	Linear	Referencing S	g System (LRS Route ID) *				
(03) Feder	ederal Aid	2		(4) Minor	Arterial		(6) Wilhol (7) Local	Collector	6.	LRS Mi	lepost *					
7. Annual Average Year 2006 AA	age Daily Traffic (AADT) 8. Estimated Percent Trucks 9. Regu AADT 15200 4 % □ Yes							ularly Used by School Buses?			10. Emergency Services Route □ Yes  ☑ No					
Submission Information - This information is used for administrative purposes and is not available on the public website.																
Submitted by Phone Date Date																
Public reporting bu	rden for this ir	formatio	n collecti	on is estima	ted to aver	age 30 m	inutes per l	response, inc	luding	the tim	e for reviewir	ng instruct	ions, sear	chin	g existing data	
sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed and completing and reviewing the collection of information. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information unloss it																
displays a currently valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for information collection is 2130-0017. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any																
other aspect of this collection, including for reducing this burden to: Information Collection Officer, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE, MS-25																
washington, DC 20	590.															

# **U. S. DOT CROSSING INVENTORY FORM**

FORM FRA F 6180.71 (Rev. 3/15)