

REVIEW COMMENT FORM

Date:

Reviewer/Representing:

Project:
 2040-20-00/70
 RYAN RD, CITY OF OAK CREEK
 STH 100
 BRIDGE OVER CNW RR
 B-40-0530

Reviewer's Code

Original Comment
 Addressed Comment
 Partially addressed comment
 Unaddressed comment
 Additional Comment

Submittal:
 60% Submittal Package

Project Engineer:

Designer(s):

QC Checker:

*** Designer's Response Code:**
A = Agree Completely; will revise (no written response required)
RFS = Requires Further Study in next design phase (no written response required)
P = Agree Partially...Will revise to some degree (see written response)
D = Disagree...Will not revise (see written response)

Reviewer					Designer	
Topic/Issue	Comment number	Required? (Enter an 'R') Recommended (leave blank)	Location (Sheet number/ Page number)	Review Comments (Station, offset, special details, etc.)	* Response Code	Response (Answer & Explanation for Response Codes "P" or "D")
TS	1		p 6	Kurt F suggested adding an emulsified asphalt mow strip by guardrail	A	PDS asked maintenance who agrees with mow strip. Mow strip will be added to project
TS	2		p 6	Kurt F asked if C&G is proposed or existing	RFS	Depends if BOS needs to remove C&G for approach slab work; Approx 3 ft of C&G will be replaced at east abutment
STR	3		p 8	Scott R commented that excavation should be at 1.5:1 slope away from underdrain per structures manual	A	BOS to draft final detail with 1.5:1 slope
STR	4		p 8	Scott R commented slope paving shouldn't cover the beams and asked if slope paving will be bituminous asphalt	A	BOS to draft final detail
STR	5		p 8	Scott R commented that slurry should be placed under abutment to protect pilings	A	BOS to draft final detail
GN	6		p 17	Karen M noted safety issue at STH 31 and CTH G	RFS	PDS coordinating with Safety and Ops to determine safety mitigation measures at intersection; Discussions with safety section said overhead stop signs recently installed and crashes are down
GN	7		p 18	Mike L noted CP and BM should be shown as proposed	A	PDS updated plan sheet to show as proposed
P&P	8		p 20	Mike L requested BM to be shown in plan and profile sheets for consistency	A	PDS updated plan sheet to show BMs in P&P sheets
X-S	9		p 28	Kurt F noted to correctly identify hinge point for MGS rail on cross sections	P	Hinge point identified on PD sheets

[illegible]

[illegible]

<i>Reviewer</i>					<i>Designer</i>	
<i>Topic/Issue</i>	<i>Comment number</i>	<i>Required? (Enter an 'R') Recommended (leave blank)</i>	<i>Location (Sheet number/ Page number)</i>	<i>Review Comments (Station, offset, special details, etc.)</i>	<i>* Response Code</i>	<i>Response (Answer & Explanation for Response Codes "P" or "D")</i>

CEW Computerized EW
 X-S Cross Sections
 RBQ Road Builder Quantities
 EST Trns*PRT Proposal & Detail Cost Estimates
 PL Plan Letter
 ENV Environmental Commitments
 PSR Project Status Report
 P Proposal
 SP Special Provisions
 CTC Contract Time for Completion
 GOV Recommendation to Governor
 NR News Release
 USR Utility Status Report
 R/W Certificate of Right of Way
 SDD SDD's list
 DPP "D" Size Plan and Proposal Distribution Form
 PPW PS&E Planning Worksheet
 STW-P Stormwater/Ponds
 ESD Estimate Documentation Report