State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

Water Resources Application for Project Permits

Page 1 of 3

Form 3500-053 (R 3/14)

Notice: Pursuant to chs. 30 and 31, Wis. Stats., ch. 281, Wis. Stats, and s. 283.33, Wis. Stats., this form is used to apply for coverage under the state construction site storm water runoff general permit, and to apply for a state or federal permit or certification for waterway and wetland projects or dam projects. This form and any required attachments constitute the permit application. Failure to complete and submit this application form may result in a fine and/or imprisonment or forfeiture under the provisions of applicable laws including s. 283.91, Wis. Stats. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Public Records Laws (ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.).

Use this form for (select all that apply):

Waterway General Permit	Storm water NOI - New land disturbing construction activity
Waterway Individual Permit	Storm water NOI - Renewal FIN #
🔀 Wetland General Permit	Work in waters of the U.S. (Army Corps of Engineers)
Wetland Individual Permit	Dam projects (DNR-ch. 31, Wis. Stats., or Army Corps of Engineers)
Read all instructions provided before completing. If a	additional space is needed, attach additional pages.

Section 1: Landowner Information				
Landowner Name (first and last name, org. or entity)	Authorized Representative			
Wisconsin DOT	Matt Dickenson			
Mailing Address	City		State	ZIP Code
1701 N. 4th St	Superior		WI	54880
Email Address	Phone Number (include area code	e) Alterr	nate Phor	ne Number
matthew.dickenson@dot.wi.gov	(715) 395-3022			
Section 2: Applicant Information 🛛 🗶 Select if same as I				
Applicant Name (first and last name, org. or entity)	Contact Person			
Mailing Address	City		State	ZIP Code
Email Address	Phone Number (include area code)	Alterr	ate Phor	ne Number
Section 3: Primary Project Contact 🛛 🕱 Select if same a	is landowner			
◯ Consultant ◯ Contractor ◯ Other – Specify:				
Name (Ind., Org. or Entity)	Contact Person (first and last nam	e)		
Mailing Address	City		State	ZIP Code
Email Address	Phone Number (include area code)	Alterr	l nate Phor	le Number
Section 4: Project or Site Location				
Project Name	County) City	Tov	wn 🔿 Village
USH 63, Hayward - Drummond (Project: 1560-02-01)	Sawyer c	of Hayv	vard	
Location Address/Description				
Along USH 63 from 1340' north of STH 27 to 1120' so				
Public Land Survey System (PLSS) - Provide the section, range	ÔE	ongitude i	n decimal	degrees, if available.
¼ of ¼ of Section, Township4	lN, Range_9_⊙wL	atitude		Longitude
If this site is not wholly contained in the quarter-quarter sect	ion, more description:			

See Attachment 2 for a Sawyer County Map illustrating the location of the project. Refer to Attachment 8 for plan sheets presenting additional detail.

Form 3500-053 (R 3/14)

Section 5: Pre-Application Resource Screening

Screening your project site for the presence of sensitive natural or cultural resources before applying for a permit can assist you in planning and designing your project to avoid or minimize impacts to these resources. Please identify any screening you have already completed and attach any supporting documentation to your application. If sensitive resources are identified during the permit review, it may result in delays in processing your application and/or project re-design.

Waterways: Provide the name(s) of closest waterbodies:

Namekagon River

Wetlands: Has the project site been assessed for the presence of wetlands? Yes ∩ No

If yes, select all sources of information used and attach supporting report or documentation:

- 🔀 Wisconsin Wetland Inventory
- Wetland Locator Tool http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wetlands/locating.html
- Wetland Delineation by consultant
- 🔀 NRCS Soils Map
- DNR Wetland Identification letter http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wetlands/identification.html
- DNR Wetland Confirmation letter http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wetlands/identification.html
- Army Corps of Engineers Concurrence letter
- Other: Wetland Delineation by WisDOT

Are wetlands proposed to be filled, excavated or disturbed during construction or as part of this project? () Yes () No

Endangered or Threatened Resources:

Has the presence of endangered or threatened resources been evaluated according to the protocols developed by the DNR Bureau of Natural Heritage Conservation	• Yes	() No
(BNHC)? dnr.wi.gov/topic/ERReview/		

If yes, select how evaluation was completed and attach supporting report or documentation:

DNR BNHC ER Review Letter

Certified ER Review Letter

Broad Incidental Take Permit/Authorization - specify (e.g. No/Low Impact Activities, Grassland and Savanna Management, etc.)

Other: Fish and Wildlife Official Species List, 02/29/2016

Section 6:	Project Information (attach additional sheets	as necessary)
Duration:	05/06/2019	07/26/2019
Duration.	Anticipated Project Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Anticipated Project End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Photos: P	rovide photographs of the "before" condition. S	ee Attachment 6, Appendix C for photos taken on 6/15/2017

Date of Photographs

Project Purpose and Need: Provide a one to two paragraph description of the proposed project, including land and water alterations and intended use(s) of the project.

See the cover letter submitted with this form for a statement of the project's purpose and need. The cover letter also lists all attachments submitted with this form.

Water Resources Application for Project Permits

Form 3500-053 (R 3/14)

Page 3 of 3

Section 7: Certification and Permission

Certification: I hereby certify that I am the owner or authorized representative of the owner of the property which is the subject of this Permit Application. I certify that the information contained in this form and attachments is true and accurate. I certify that the project will be in compliance with all permit conditions. I understand that failure to comply with any or all of the provisions of the permit may result in permit revocation and a fine and/or imprisonment or forfeiture under the provisions of applicable laws.

Permission: I hereby give the Department permission to enter and inspect the property at reasonable times, to evaluate this notice and application, and to determine compliance with any resulting permit coverage.

Mullucer

Date Signed

Signature of Landowner / Authorized Representative – For Stormwater applications, signature of landowner is required. Authorized representative is not sufficient.

Matt Dickenson Printed Name of Landowner / Authorized Representative WisDOT Project Manager

Title



Division of Transportation System Development Northwest Region – Superior Office 1701 North 4th Street Superior, WI 54880

Telephone: 715-392-7925 Facsimile (FAX): 715-392-7863

E-mail: nwr.dtsd@dot.wi.gov

Attachment 1: Description & Need

October 12, 2017

RE: WISDOT Project 1560-02-01/70, (Sawyer County) USH 63, Hayward –Drummond; STH 27 – Larsen Road

Project Description:

This miscellaneous reconstruction project involves replacing an existing concrete pavement with HMA pavement in order to maintain the safe and efficient movement of traffic on USH 63. This project will involve: crushing the existing concrete pavement and asphalt shoulders to be used as base, placing new HMA pavement, re-grading shoulders to a maximum of 5:1 slope, replacing select culverts, replacing select beam guard and the realignment of Hospital Road and Airport Road. All real estate and permits will be acquired before the start of construction. A total of 0.75 acres of wetland impacts are expected during construction and will be mitigated at an approved wetland bank site. This project is scheduled to be let on November 13, 2018 and will be constructed under detour during the summer of 2019.

Purpose & Need:

Existing concrete pavement exhibits longitudinal cracking, transverse cracking, and failing partial depth repairs and requires a new surface. A small portion of the culverts are in bad condition and require replacement.

A traffic safety analysis identified a significant crash pattern at the intersection of Hospital Road and USH 63. A possible contributing factor is the skew at the intersection.

Travis Jensen, E.I.T. Project Leader

Attachments:

Attachment 2: County Map Attachment 3: DNR Initial Concurrence Attachment 4: Section 106 Screening List Attachment 5: USFWS Threatened and Endangered Species List Attachment 6: Wetland Delineation Report Attachment 7: Typical Sections Attachment 8: Plan & Profile



State of Wisconsin DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES 810 W. Maple St. Spooner WI 54801

Scott Walker, Governor Cathy Stepp, Secretary Telephone 608-266-2621 Toll Free 1-888-936-7463 TTY Access via relay - 711



September 24, 2015

Kyle Bassett DOT NW Region – Superior 1701 N. 4th St. Superior WI 54880

Subject: DNR Initial Project Review

Project I.D. 1560-02-01 USH 63(STH 27 – Larsen Rd.) Sawyer County Sec. 22, T41N, R9W through Sec. 29, T42N, R8W

Dear Kyle:

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR) has received the information you provided for the proposed above-referenced project on August 14, 2015. According to your proposal, the purpose of this project is to crush the existing concrete pavement and as a base, overlay with HMA, replace or clean culvert pipes as necessary, sign replacement, intersection improvement at Hospital Road and Airport Road, and curb and gutter replacement.

Preliminary information has been reviewed by DNR staff for the project under the DNR/DOT (Wisconsin Department of Transportation) Cooperative Agreement. Initial comments on the project as proposed are included below, and assume that additional information will be provided that addresses all resource concerns identified. In addition to the project specific resource concerns highlighted below, it is DNR's expectation that the full range of DOT roadway standards will be applied throughout the design process.

A. Project-Specific Resource Concerns

Section 4(f) Requirement:

Public lands are present in the vicinity of this project. If there is potential for impacts to these lands, please begin coordination with us as soon as possible. *First and foremost, every effort should be taken to avoid impacts to these lands*.

There is a U.S. Dept. of Transportation "Section 4(f)" process for federally funded transportation projects that impact various types of public parks, wildlife refuges, and recreation areas. This requirement is coordinated by state and federal transportation departments. Please ensure the 4f process as described in DOT FDM Chapter 21-25-1 is followed.

Wetlands:

There is potential for wetland impacts to occur as a result of this project. Wetland impacts must be avoided and/or minimized to the greatest extent practicable. Unavoidable wetland losses must be compensated for in accordance with the DNR/DOT Cooperative Agreement and the DOT Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guideline. Per



the Cooperative Agreement, mitigation banking is the preferred compensation option, however DOT and DNR agree that other practicable and ecologically valuable project specific opportunities may be pursued on a case-by-case basis. DNR requests information regarding the amount and type of unavoidable wetland impacts.

Endangered Resources:

Based upon a review of the Natural Heritage Inventory (NHI) and other DNR records dated September 24, 2015, the following Endangered Resources are known to occur in the project area or its vicinity and could be impacted by this project.

Wood turtles (state threatened) are known to inhabit the Namekagon River and its riparian corridor. It is reasonable to assume that wood turtles may be present at or near the project site, primarily STA 570+00 through 578+00. If any disturbance will take place in this area (i.e. beam guard replacement), the following measures should be incorporated into the project to minimize the chance of impacting this species: If project construction will start in the spring, the perimeter of the area to be disturbed should be protected with properly installed silt fence prior to May 15 to discourage turtles from entering the work area. If the construction area cannot be silt-fenced by May 15, the construction start date should be delayed until Sept. 1 or later. The latter requirement can be waived as early as July 1 if the site is surveyed by a qualified biologist and no evidence of turtle nesting is found.

Invasive Species and Viral Hemorrhagic Septicemia (VHS):

Adequate precautions should be taken to prevent transporting or introducing invasive species via construction equipment, as provided under chapter NR 40 Wis. Adm. Code. Further information on species classified as Restricted or Prohibited under NR 40 can be found at: <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Invasives/classification.html</u>.

DNR will work with project managers to help identify specific problem areas across the project site and recommend preventive measures. The following Best Management Practices (BMPs) for rights-of-way provide a series of measures that will ensure reasonable precautions are taken throughout the stages of construction: http://www.wisconsinforestry.org/files/invasiveBMPs/TransportationRoW-BMPs.pdf.

Any equipment coming into contact with surface waters must be properly cleaned and disinfected to address the spread of invasive species and viruses. Special provisions must require contractors to implement the following measures before and after mobilizing in-water equipment to prevent the spread of VHS, Zebra Mussel, and other invasive species. Contractors should follow *STSP 107-055 Environmental Protection, Aquatic Exotic Species Control*, or protocol found here: http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/fishing/documents/vhs/disinfection_protocols.pdf.

Additional information on invasive species and infested waters can be found at: <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/invasives/AISByWaterbody.aspx</u>

B. Project Specific Construction Site Considerations

The following issues should be addressed in the Special Provisions, and the contractor will be required to outline their construction methods in the Erosion Control Implementation Plan (ECIP). An adequate ECIP for the project must be developed by the contractor and submitted to this office for review at least 14 days prior to the preconstruction conference. Erosion control and stormwater measures must adhere to the DNR/DOT Cooperative Agreement, Trans 401, and applicable federal laws.

3

Erosion Control and Storm Water Management:

- Erosion control devices should be specified on the construction plans. All disturbed bank areas should be adequately protected and restored as soon as feasible.
- If erosion mat is used along stream banks, DNR recommends that biodegradable non-netted mat be used (e.g. Class I Type A Urban, Class I Type B Urban, or Class II Type C). Long-term netted mats may cause animals to become entrapped while moving in and out of the stream. Avoid the use of fine mesh matting that is tied or bonded at the mesh intersection such that the openings in the mesh are fixed in size.
- If dewatering is required for any reason, the water must be pumped into a properly selected and sized dewatering basin before the clean/filtered water is allowed to enter any waterway or wetland. The basin must remove suspended solids and contaminants to the maximum extent practicable. A properly designed and constructed dewatering basin must take into consideration maximum pumping volume (gpm or cfs) and the sedimentation rate for soils to be encountered. Do not house any dewatering technique in a wetland.
- The contractor should restrict the removal of vegetative cover and exposure of bare ground to the minimum amounts necessary to complete construction. Restoration of disturbed soils should take place as soon as conditions permit. If sufficient vegetative cover will not be achieved because of late season construction, the site must be properly winterized.
- All temporary stock piles must be in an upland location and protected with erosion control measures (e.g. silt fence, rock filter-bag berm, etc.). Do not stockpile materials in wetlands, waterways, or floodplains.

This project may require a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE). For further details you will need to contact Bill Sande of the ACOE located in the Hayward office, at 715-934-2170. All local, state, and federal permits and/or approvals must be obtained prior to commencing construction activities.

The above comments represent the DNR's initial concerns for the proposed project and do not constitute final concurrence. Final concurrence will be granted after further review of refined project plans, and additional consultation if necessary. If any of the concerns or information provided in this letter requires further clarification, please contact this office at 715-635-4228, or email at shawn.haseleu@wi.gov.

Sincerely,

Ahave Haselen

Shawn Haseleu Environmental Analysis & Review Specialist

cc: Amy Adrihan, DOT NW Region – Superior Bill Sande, ACOE Pursuant to 36 CFR 800.3 (a)(1) WisDOT (Cultural Resources) has determined the proposed actions for these undertakings (projects) will have no potential to cause effects to historic properties. No further section 106 obligations are required. However, if the proposed actions for an undertaking (project) should change in any way that would involve ground disturbing activities, additional section 106 coordination is required for that undertaking (project).

County	Main ID	Notification Date	Project Put on Screening List fo	r Route	Title	Bridge ID
Rusk	8797-00-00	09/09/2009	Both Archaeology and History	CTH F (Town of Rusk)	CTH W - North Potato Lake Road	
Rusk	8797-00-01	01/29/2013	Both Archaeology and History	CTH F	Soft Maple Creek Bridge	b540117
Rusk	8798-00-01	02/04/2013	History Only	CTH D	Ten Mile Creek Bridge	b540118
Sawyer	1560-00-32	04/13/2015	Both Archaeology and History	USH 63	Florida Ave to North Junct STH 27	
Sawyer	1560-02-33	03/06/2015	Both Archaeology and History	USH 63	Hayward - Drummond STH 27 to Larsen	
Sawyer	1560-29-00	05/22/2007	Both Archaeology and History	USH 63	Namekegon River Bridge Rehabilitation	
Sawyer	1560-30-00	10/05/2011	History Only	USH 63	Spooner - hayward CTH M To Vermont	
Sawyer	1560-30-30	01/23/2007	Both Archaeology and History	USH 63	Spooner-hayward Rd CTH M-STH 27 S	
Sawyer	8140-25-01	10/01/2014		STH 27	Namekagon River Bridge Replacement	B-57-0058
Sawyer	8140-26-00	06/30/2008	Both Archaeology and History	STH 27	Hyward - Brule Road USH 63 - STH 77	
Sawyer	8145-25-01	01/30/2013	Both Archaeology and History	STH 27	Namekagon river Bridge Replacment	B57-0058
Sawyer	8150-20-00	09/08/2010	Both Archaeology and History	STH 27	Ladysmith - Ojibwa Brunet River to STH	
Sawyer	8170-01-32	01/03/2008	Both Archaeology and History	STH 70	Ojibwa-Oxbo Rd CTH GG-Flambeau Rvr	
Sawyer	8170-01-33	12/16/2013	Both Archaeology and History	STH 70	Ojibwa-Oxbo CTH W to CTH GG	
Sawyer	8170-08-31	01/03/2008	Both Archaeology and History	STH 27	Ojibwa-Stone Lake Road Radisson-CTH	B57-00430
Sawyer	8170-08-32	01/03/2008	Both Archaeology and History	STH 27	Ojibwa - Stone Lake Road CTH C - ST 2	B5700430
Sawyer	8448-00-00	08/19/2009	Both Archaeology and History	CTH EE	STH 70 - County Line	
Sawyer	8448-00-01	11/20/2012	Both Archaeology and History	CTH GG	Brunet River Bridge (Loretta-NCL)	
Sawyer	8449-00-00	08/25/2015	Both Archaeology and History	Harvest Lane	Thirty Three Creek Bridge P-57-0066	P-57-0066
Sawyer	8450-00-00	09/16/2009	History Only	City of Hayward, CTH	STH 27 to CTH K, Bike & Ped trail Syste	
Sawyer	8450-00-01	05/21/2010	Both Archaeology and History	City of Hayward, Variou	Bike & Ped System - Phase 2	
Sawyer	8450-00-02	10/02/2009	Both Archaeology and History	Hayward Area bike/ped		
Sawyer	8452-05-01	09/02/2009	History Only	СТН Т	Hard Rock Circle (S) - STH 27	
Sawyer	8456-00-00	01/29/2013	Both Archaeology and History	T Radisson Townline R	Kenyon Creek Bridge	b570084
Sawyer	8457-03-00	10/30/2007	History Only	Moose Lake Rd	W fork Chippewa River Bridge	
Sawyer	8461-00-00	01/13/2012	Both Archaeology and History	Village of Winter, Railro	CNW RR Depot Welcome Center	
Sawyer	8520-01-04	02/03/2014	History Only	STH 77	Hayward - Clam Lake (CTH K/Mosquito	
Sawyer	8520-08-00	01/06/2009	Both Archaeology and History	STH 77	GHOST LAKE - CLAM LAKE	
Sawyer	8520-16-00	10/02/2008	Both Archaeology and History	STH 77	Hayward - Clam Lake CTH K to Lower T	
Sawyer	8570-00-30	01/27/2011	Both Archaeology and History	STH 48	V Exeland, 2nd St Weirgor Creek bridge	
Sawyer	8590-01-04	02/11/2013	Both Archaeology and History	STH 40	Bruce Radisson Couderay River Bridge	
Sawyer	8590-01-05	10/03/2013	Both Archaeology and History	B57-72 (Emergency Re	STH 40 over Couderay River	
Sawyer	8590-01-06	09/29/2014	Both Archaeology and History	STH 40	Bruce-Radisson; Couderay River Bridge	B-57-0072
Sawyer	8773-00-00	05/07/2010	History Only	CTH E	Norwis Road - CTH K	
Sawyer	8780-00-00	04/19/2012	History Only	CTH S	CTH B - STH 77 (Moose Lake Rd to ST	
Sawyer	8782-00-00	12/14/2012	Both Archaeology and History	CTH F	STH 48-STH 27/70; Strand Rd-STH 27/	
St. Croix	1020-00-08	08/04/2009	Both Archaeology and History	IH 94	Hudson - Baldwin Carmichael Rd to US	
St. Croix	1020-00-32	03/19/2008	Both Archaeology and History	IH 94	Baldwin - Menomonie STH 128-Wilson	b5500200
St. Croix	1020-00-32	03/19/2008	Both Archaeology and History	IH 94	Baldwin - Menomonie STH 128-Wilson	b1700210
St. Croix	1020-00-32	03/19/2008	Both Archaeology and History	IH 94	Baldwin - Menomonie STH 128-Wilson	b1700200
St. Croix	1020-00-32	03/19/2008	Both Archaeology and History	IH 94	Baldwin - Menomonie STH 128-Wilson	B1700180
St. Croix	1020-00-32	03/19/2008	Both Archaeology and History	IH 94	Baldwin - Menomonie STH 128-Wilson	b5500220
St. Croix	1020-00-32	03/19/2008	Both Archaeology and History	IH 94	Baldwin - Menomonie STH 128-Wilson	b5500190



United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE Green Bay Ecological Services Field Office 2661 SCOTT TOWER DRIVE NEW FRANKEN, WI 54229 PHONE: (920)866-1717 FAX: (920)866-1710



Consultation Code: 03E17000-2016-SLI-0402 Event Code: 03E17000-2016-E-00384 Project Name: 1560-02-01 February 29, 2016

Subject: List of threatened and endangered species that may occur in your proposed project location, and/or may be affected by your proposed project

To Whom It May Concern:

The attached species list identifies any federally threatened, endangered, proposed and candidate species that may occur within the boundary of your proposed project or may be affected by your proposed project. The list also includes designated critical habitat if present within your proposed project area or affected by your project. This list is provided to you as the initial step of the consultation process required under section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act, also referred to as Section 7 Consultation.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 requires that actions authorized, funded, or carried out by Federal agencies not jeopardize federally threatened or endangered species or adversely modify designated critical habitat. To fulfill this mandate, Federal agencies (or their designated non-federal representative) must consult with the Service if they determine their project "may affect" listed species or critical habitat.

Under 50 CFR 402.12(e) (the regulations that implement Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act) the accuracy of this species list should be verified after 90 days. This verification can be completed formally or informally. You may verify the list by visiting the ECOS-IPaC website <u>http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/</u> at regular intervals during project planning and implementation and completing the same process you used to receive the attached list. As an alternative, you may contact this Ecological Services Field Office for updates.

Please use the species list provided and visit the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Region 3 Section 7 Technical Assistance website at -<u>http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/section7/s7process/index.html</u>. This website contains step-by-step instructions which will help you determine if your project will have an adverse effect on listed species and will help lead you through the Section 7 process. For all **wind energy projects** and **projects that include installing towers that use guy wires or are over 200 feet in height** (*e.g.*, **communication towers**), please contact this field office directly for assistance, even if no federally listed plants, animals or critical habitat are present within your proposed project or may be affected by your proposed project.

Guidance for minimizing impacts to migratory birds for projects including communications towers (e.g., cellular, digital television, radio, and emergency broadcast) can be found at: http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/towers.htm; http://www.towerkill.com; and

http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/CurrentBirdIssues/Hazards/towers/comtow.html.

Although no longer protected under the Endangered Species Act, be aware that bald eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668 *et seq.*) and Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 *et seq*), as are golden eagles. Projects affecting these species may require measures to avoid harming eagles or may require a permit. If your project is near an eagle nest or winter roost area, see our Eagle Permits website at <u>http://www.fws.gov/midwest/midwestbird/EaglePermits/index.html</u> to help you determine if you can avoid impacting eagles or if a permit may be necessary.

We appreciate your concern for threatened and endangered species. The Service encourages Federal agencies to include conservation of threatened and endangered species into their project planning to further the purposes of the Act. Please include the Consultation Tracking Number in the header of this letter with any request for consultation or correspondence about your project that you submit to our office.

Attachment



Project name: 1560-02-01

Official Species List

Provided by:

Green Bay Ecological Services Field Office 2661 SCOTT TOWER DRIVE NEW FRANKEN, WI 54229 (920) 866-1717

Consultation Code: 03E17000-2016-SLI-0402 **Event Code:** 03E17000-2016-E-00384

Project Type: TRANSPORTATION

Project Name: 1560-02-01 Project Description: USH 63, STH 27 - Larsen Rd

Please Note: The FWS office may have modified the Project Name and/or Project Description, so it may be different from what was submitted in your previous request. If the Consultation Code matches, the FWS considers this to be the same project. Contact the office in the 'Provided by' section of your previous Official Species list if you have any questions or concerns.



Project name: 1560-02-01

Project Location Map:



Project Coordinates: The coordinates are too numerous to display here.

Project Counties: Sawyer, WI



Project name: 1560-02-01

Endangered Species Act Species List

There are a total of 3 threatened or endangered species on your species list. Species on this list should be considered in an effects analysis for your project and could include species that exist in another geographic area. For example, certain fish may appear on the species list because a project could affect downstream species. Critical habitats listed under the **Has Critical Habitat** column may or may not lie within your project area. See the **Critical habitats within your project area** section further below for critical habitat that lies within your project. Please contact the designated FWS office if you have questions.

Mammals	Status	Has Critical Habitat	Condition(s)
Canada Lynx (Lynx canadensis)	Threatened	Final designated	
Population: Contiguous U.S. DPS			
Gray wolf (Canis lupus)	Endangered		
Population: U.S.A.: All of AL, AR, CA, CO,			
CT, DE, FL, GA, IA, IN, IL, KS, KY, LA, MA,			
MD, ME, MI, MO, MS, NC, ND, NE, NH, NJ,			
NV, NY, OH, OK, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX,			
VA, VT, WI, and WV; and portions of AZ, NM,			
OR, UT, and WA. Mexico.			
Northern long-eared Bat (<i>Myotis</i> septentrionalis)	Threatened		



Project name: 1560-02-01

Critical habitats that lie within your project area

There are no critical habitats within your project area.

http://ecos.fws.gov/ipac, 02/29/2016 09:02 AM

Wetland Delineation Report

Project ID# 1560-02-01/70

Hayward – Drummond STH 27 to Larsen Rd. USH 63 Sawyer County



Prepared by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation Northwest Region Daniel Fuller 1701 N 4th Street Superior, WI 54880 October 2017

Contents

Wetland Delineation Report

(0	Project Summary	1
(0	Delineators	1
(0	Equipment	1
(0	Pre-delineation Resources	1
(0	Delineation Methods	2
		 Vegetation 	
		 Hydrology 	
		 Soils 	
(0	Delineation	4
		 Wetland Descriptions 	
(0	Wetland Impacts	6
(0	Wetland Mitigation	7

Appendix A (Tables and Figures)

- Project Location Map
- Wisconsin Wetland Inventory Map
- o NRCS Hydric Soils Map
- Project Impact Location Map
- Wetland Impact Tracking Form (WITF)
- Appendix B (Monitoring Forms)
 - o Monitoring Forms 1-16
 - O Intersection realignment wetlands

Appendix C (Photos)

- Photo1: Wetland Plot 1
- Photo 2: Upland Plot 1
- o Photo3: Wetland Plot 2
- Photo 4: Upland Plot 2
- Photo 5: Wetland Plot 3
- Photo 6: Upland Plot 3
- o Photo 7: Wetland Plot 4
- o Photo 8: Upland Plot 4
- o Photo 9: Wetland Plot 5
- Photo 10: Upland Plot 5
- o Photo 11: Wetland Plot 6
- Photo 12: Upland Plot 6
- Photo 13: Wetland Plot 7
- o Photo 14: Upland Plot 7
- Photo 15: Wetland Plot 8
- Photo 16: Wetland Plot 9

Project Summary

The Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) has proposed a resurfacing project along with an intersection realignment between Hayward and Drummond in Sawyer County. As part of the resurfacing project. The intersection of USH 63, Hospital rd. and Airport will be realigned.

There are unavoidable wetland impacts associated with this project. The area surrounding construction has been delineated according to the <u>US Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetland</u> <u>Delineation Manual</u> and the <u>US Army Corps of Engineers 2012 Northcentral and Northeast</u> <u>Supplement</u> and the impacted wetland type and acreage have been determined based on three criteria—vegetation, hydrology, and soils.

Wetland Delineators

The delineation for project 1560-02-01/70 was conducted on 6/15/2017 by Dave Runquist and Daniel Fuller. Mr. Runquist was an intern with WisDOT and attended the University of Wisconsin-Superior. He graduated with a BS majoring in biology with a plant emphasis and a minor in Earth sciences in May 17. He attended the basic and advanced wetland delineation training in July 2015. Mr. Fuller is currently an intern with the WisDOT and is attending the University of Wisconsin – Superior, majoring in broad field science and biology education. He will graduate with a B.S. in May 2018. In 2013, Mr. Fuller graduated from UW-Superior with a B.S. in biology and continued his education at Royal Botanic Garden in Edinburgh, receiving a M.S. in biodiversity and taxonomy of plants. He attended the basic and advanced wetland delineation training in June 2017.

Equipment

In order to conduct the delineation, several pieces of field equipment were used, including:

- Trimble Geo XH Global Positioning System Unit 6000 Series
- Munsell® Soil Color Chart 2010 edition
- -20 inch WSA soil boring tool
- Field identification books:
 - "Wetland Plants and Plant Communities of Minnesota and Wisconsin" 2nd Ed.
 - "Wildflowers of Wisconsin and the Great Lakes Region; A Comprehensive Field Guide" 2nd Ed.
 - "A Great Lakes Wetland Flora" 3rd Ed.

In the office, software programs were used, including:

-GPS Pathfinder Office Software version 4.10

- -Microstation V8i 2010 Edition
- Microsoft Office Series 2007

Pre-Delineation Resources

United States Agriculture Department- Natural Resource Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey

Hydric soil map

Department of Natural Resources
 -Surface Water Data Viewer
 WI Wetland Inventory Map

Delineation Methods

Wetlands are delineated by examining an area for the presence of wetland indicators. There are three categories of indicators used to determine if an area is a wetland: vegetation, hydrology, and soils. Samples and observations of these wetland indicators are necessary for proper delineation. First, a site walk of the project area is completed in order to identify areas that may fit the wetland criteria. Second, transects are set up perpendicular to the proposed wetland boundary and data plots are taken. Data plots are usually taken in reference to obvious changes in topography and/or vegetation. At each of the data plots, criteria for hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and hydrology is checked for.

A list of the most prevalent plant species is made and then compared to the *National List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands*, published by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in order to determine the likelihood of that species occurring in a wetland by defining their wetland indicator status.

Soil samples are collected using a soil probe or shovel to collect the first 20 inches of soil. Examination of the sample is then conducted for evidence of saturation, as well as other soil indicators listed in the <u>US Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual</u>. This manual is used as a reference guide to compare our methods, observations, and data with proper delineation techniques and information.

Field observation of the soils, vegetation, and the general area are used determine the presence of hydrology indicators.

After soil samples, lists of vegetation, and on-site hydrology observations are made and recorded, in conjunction with using reference materials and on site observations, wetland areas are confirmed. After verifying the wetland area, the wetland boundary is delineated between upland and wetland plots.

The resurfacing and intersection realignment will permanently impact nine wetland areas. The area surrounding construction has been delineated and the impacted wetland type and acreage have been determined.

Vegetation:

- It is stated in the <u>US Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual</u> that "hydrophytic species, due to morphological, physiological, and/or reproductive adaptation(s), have the ability to grow, effectively compete, reproduce, and/or persist in anaerobic soil conditions". These species are labeled FAC, FACW, and/or OBL. Accordingly, if an area is dominated by ≥50% of these species, it meets the wetland vegetation requirement.
- Through species identification, the impacted wetlands were all determined to have hydrophytic dominance in proportions ≥50%. Dominant species include Slender

willow (Salix petiolaris), Red-osier dogwood (Cornus alba), Lake sedge (Carex lacustris), Quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides), Speckled alder (Alnus incana), Woodland horsetail (Equisetum sylvaticum), and Barren strawberry (Waldsteinia fragarioides), Meadow sweet (Spirea alba), Reed canary grass (Phalaris arundinacea), Canada Bluejoint (Calamagrostis canadensis), Hummock sedge (Carex stricta), Tamarack (Larix laricina), Red maple (Acer rubrum), Winterberry (Ilex verticillata), Bunchberry (Cornus canadensis), and Low-bush blueberry (Vaccinium angustifolium). The hydrophytic vegetation present at these plots is similar to common species found among Wet Meadow (M), Shrub Scrub (SS), and Riparian Forest (RPF) wetland environments. The species found in these plots are listed in the vegetation section of the Routine Wetland Delineation Forms located in Appendix B.

Hydrology:

- Paragraph 55 of the <u>US Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetland Delineation</u> <u>Manual</u> states, "an area has wetland hydrology if it is inundated or saturated to the surface continuously for at least 5% of the growing season in most years (50% probability of recurrence)." The growing season for this definition is determined based on the number of frost-free days for a certain area.
- Hydrology of the impacted wetland areas was determined using several indicators. Primary indicators of observed were Surface water (A1), High water table (A2), and Saturation (A3). Secondary indicators observed were Moss trim line (B16), Geomorphic position (D2), Microtopographic relief (D4), and FAC-neutral test (D5).

Soils:

- According to the U.S.D.A Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) a hydric soil is, "A soil that is saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part."
- The Soil Survey and the Hydric Soils List for Sawyer County were obtained from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). The soil type listed for the areas impacted by this project is muck and sandy soils. This soil is listed on the USDA Hydric Soils List as soils that are very poorly drained to moderately well drained.

1. Wetlands 1,2,5-9

<u>Seelyeville and Markey soils</u>: Soils are listed on the NRCS Web Soil Survey as having a very poorly drained drainage class, with frequent ponding. Depth to water table is about 0 inches.

Map unit symbol: 407A

Wetland type: Wet Meadow (M), Shrub Scrub (SS), Riparian Forest (RPF)

2. Wetland 3 & 4

<u>Lenroot loamy sand</u>: Soils are listed on the NRCS Web Soil Survey as having a moderately well drained drainage class, with no frequency to ponding or flooding. Depth to the water table is about 24 inches. <u>Map unit symbol</u>: 711A

Wetland type: Wet Meadow (M) & Riparian Forest (RPF)

• Soil samples were taken at all data plots to 20 inches or to an unavoidable resistance. Hydric soil indicators present throughout this project were Sandy mucky mineral (S1), Redox dark surface (F6), Coast prairie redox (A16) Dark surface (S7) were the hydric soil indicators present. Full soil profiles are included in the Routine Wetland Delineation Forms in Appendix B.

Delineation

Nine different wetlands have been determined to be within the limits of the project. The delineation of the wetlands included the establishment of six upland monitoring sites as well as nine wetland sites. All sites will be affected by the proposed project. Below is the summary for the wetlands that will be impacted.

- Wetland 1- Wet Meadow (M) (Monitoring Forms 1-2)
 - <u>Monitoring form 1 (Wetland 1)</u>: This wetland lies on the east side of USH 63 and north of Airport rd. and is associated with a Wet Meadow (M) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area was Slender willow (*Salix petiolaris*), Red-osier dogwood (*Cornus alba*), and Lake sedge (*Carex lacustris*); non-dominant species include Quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), Meadow sweet (*Spirea alba*), Blue-flagged iris (*Iris versicolor*), and Bristly-dew berry (*Rubus hispidus*). At this site, sandy soil was found. The soils were completely saturated and the hydric soil indicators found were Sandy mucky mineral (S1), Redox dark surface (F6), and Coast prairie redox (A16). 1 ³/₄ inches of surface water was found at this site.
 - <u>Monitoring form 2 (Upland 1)</u>: The upland portion of this site was dominated by Poverty oats grass (*Danthonia spicata*) and red fescue (*Festuca rubra*). Soils were not obtained due to refusal at the surface.
- Wetland 2- Shrub Scrub (SS) (Monitoring Forms 3-4)
 - <u>Monitoring form 3 (Wetland 2)</u>: This wetland lies on the east side of USH 63 and north of Airport rd. and is associated with a Shrub Scrub (SS) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area were Slender willow (*Salix petiolaris*) and Lake sedge (*Carex lacustris*); non-dominant species include Speckled alder (*Alnus incana*) and Hummock sedge (*Carex stricta*). At this site, sandy soil was found. The soils were completely saturated and the hydric soil indicator found was Sandy mucky mineral (S1). Ten inches of surface water was found at this site.
 - <u>Monitoring form 4 (Upland 2)</u>: The upland portion of this site was dominated by Quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), Paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*), Bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*), and Bristly dewberry (*Rubus hispidus*). Soils were not obtained due to refusal at the surface.
- Wetland 3- Riparian Forest (RPF) (Monitoring Forms 5-6)

- <u>Monitoring form 5 (Wetland 3)</u>: This wetland lies on the north and south sides of Airport rd. and is associated with a Riparian Forest (RPF) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area were Quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), Speckled alder (*Alnus incana*), Woodland horsetail (*Equisetum sylvaticum*), and Barren strawberry (*Waldsteinia fragarioides*); non-dominant species include Red maple (*Acer rubrum*), Boxelder (*Acer negundo*), Meadow sweet (*Spirea alba*). Beaked hazel (*Corylus cornuta*), Canada Bluejoint (*Calamagrostis canadensis*), Quill sedge (*Carex tenera*), Velvet-leaf blueberry (*Vaccinium myrtilloides*), Bristly-dew berry (*Rubus hispidus*), Common sow thistle (*Sonchus oleraceus*), and Early-meadow rue (*Thalictrum dioicum*). At this site, sandy soil was found. The soils were saturated at a depth of 2 ½ inches. No hydric soil indicator was found here.
- <u>Monitoring form 6 (Upland 3)</u>: The upland portion of this site was dominated by Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) and Sheep sorrel (*Rumex acetosella*). Soils were not obtained due to refusal at the surface.
- Wetland 4- Wet Meadow (M) (Monitoring Forms 7-8)
 - <u>Monitoring form 7 (Wetland 4)</u>: This wetland lies on the south side of Airport rd. and is associated with a Wet Meadow (M) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area were Meadow sweet (*Spirea alba*), Speckled alder (*Alnus incana*), and Reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*); non-dominant species include Sensitive fern (*Onoclea sensibilis*), Raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*), Lake sedge (*Carex lacustris*), and Canada Bluejoint (*Calamagrostis canadensis*). At this site, sandy soil was found. The soils were saturated at a depth of 5 inches and the hydric soil indicator found was Sandy mucky mineral (S1).
 - <u>Monitoring form 8 (Upland 4)</u>: The upland portion of this site was dominated by Red maple (*Acer rubrum*), Jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*), Quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), Bush honeysuckle (*Diervilla lonicera*), Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), and Bracken fern (*Pteridium aquilinum*). Soils were not obtained due to refusal at the surface
- Wetland 5- Wet Meadow (M) (Monitoring Forms 9-10)
 - <u>Monitoring form 9 (Wetland 5)</u>: This wetland lies on the south side of Airport rd. and is associated with a Wet Meadow (M) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area was Reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), Lake sedge (*Carex lacustris*), and Canada Bluejoint (*Calamagrostis canadensis*); there were no non-dominant species at this site. At this site, sandy soil was found. The soils were completely saturated and the hydric soil indicator found was Sandy mucky mineral (S1).
 - <u>Monitoring form 10 (Upland 5)</u>: The upland portion of this site was dominated by Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*). Soils were not obtained due to refusal at the surface
- Wetland 6- Wet Meadow (M) (Monitoring Forms 11-12)
 - <u>Monitoring form 11 (Wetland 6)</u>: This wetland lies on the north side of USH 63 and on the north side of Hospital rd. and is associated with a Wet Meadow (M) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area were Hummock sedge (*Carex stricta*) and Lake sedge (*Carex lacustris*); non-dominant species include Canada goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis*). At this site, sandy soil was found. The

soils were completely saturated and the hydric soil indicator found was Dark surface (S7).

- <u>Monitoring form 12 (Upland 6)</u>: The upland portion of this site was dominated by Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) and Smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*). Soils were not obtained due to refusal at the surface
- Wetland 7- Shrub Scrub (SS) (Monitoring Forms 13-14)
 - <u>Monitoring form 13 (Wetland 7)</u>: This wetland lies on the north side of USH 63 and on the north side of Hospital rd. and is associated with a shrub scrub (SS) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area were Slender willow (*Salix petiolaris*), Lake sedge (*Carex lacustris*), and Hummock sedge (*Carex stricta*); non-dominant species include Meadowsweet (*Spirea alba*), Slender willow (*Salix petiolaris*), Field horsetail (*Equisetum arvense*), and Flat-leaf bladderwort (*Utricularia intermedia*). No soil was obtained due to 16" of surface water.
 - <u>Monitoring form 14 (Upland 7)</u>: The upland portion of this site was dominated by Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*). Soils were not obtained due to refusal at the surface.
- Wetland 8- Wet Meadow (M) (Monitoring Form 15)
 - <u>Monitoring form 15 (Wetland 8):</u> This wetland lies on the north side of USH 63 and on the south side of Hospital rd. and is associated with a Wet Meadow (M) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area were Hummock sedge (*Carex stricta*); non-dominant species include Lake sedge (*Carex lacustris*), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), Purple-stemmed aster (*Symphyotrichum puniceum*). At this site, clay soil was found. The soils were saturated at a depth of 11 inches and the hydric soil indicator found was Redox dark surface (F6). No surface water was found at this site.
- Wetland 9- Riparian Forest (RPF) (Monitoring Form 16)
 - <u>Monitoring form 16 (Wetland 9):</u> This wetland lies on the south side of Hospital rd. and is associated with a Riparian Forest (RPF) wetland type. Dominant hydrophytic species in this area were Tamarack (*Larix laricina*), Red maple (*Acer rubrum*), Winterberry (*Ilex verticillata*), Bunchberry (*Cornus canadensis*), and Low-bush blueberry (*Vaccinium angustifolium*); non-dominant species include Quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), Paper birch (*Betula papyrifera*), Beaked hazel (*Corylus cornuta*), Speckled alder (*Alnus incana*), Cinnamon fern (*Osmundastrum cinnamomeum*), Canada Bluejoint (*Calamagrostis canadensis*), Stalked-grained sedge (*Carex stipata*), Quill sedge (*Carex tenera*), Canada mayflower (*Maianthemum canadense*), and Red maple (*Acer rubrum*). At this site, sandy soils were found. The soils were completely saturate. No hydric soil was found.

Wetland Impacts

The cumulative **permanent** wetland impacts for the USH 63 project in Sawyer County are 0.750 acres. The impacted acreage consists of:

- 0.120 acres of Shrub Scrub (SS) from the resurfacing and realignment on USH 63.
- 0.490 acres of Riparian Forest (RPF) from the resurfacing and realignment on USH 63.

• 0.140 acres of Wet Meadow (M) from the resurfacing and realignment on USH 63. The permanent losses will be mitigated according to and at a ratio consistent with the

Wisconsin DOT Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guideline (2002 revision). Delineation monitoring forms demonstrating wetland criteria in each sampling area can be found in Appendix B of this report. Photos of the delineated areas for these projects are located in Appendix C (Photos 1-16).

Wetland Mitigation

According to the NRCS, "mitigation is compensation through wetland restoration, enhancement, or creation for functions and values that are lost on a converted wetland". The total permanent wetland impact for the USH 63 project located in Sawyer County is 0.750 acres. The permanent losses will be mitigated by debiting them to the WisDOT Eitenmiller Wetland Mitigation Bank Site in Rusk County at a ratio consistent with the Wisconsin DOT Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guideline (2002 revision). The 0.120 acres of Shrub Scrub (SS) wetland will be mitigated at a 1:1.2 compensation ratio to Shallow Marsh (SM) totaling 0.145 acres; the 0.490 acres of Riparian Forest (RPF) wetland will be mitigated at a 1:1.5 compensation ratio to Shallow Marsh (SM) totaling 0.745 acres; the 0.140 acres of Wet Meadow (M) wetland will be mitigated at a 1:1 compensation ratio to Wet Meadow (M) totaling 0.140 acres. A Wetland Impact Tracking Form (WITF) is included at the end of Appendix A, summarizing the wetland losses and mitigation plans.

Appendix A

Tables and Figures







USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



USDA

Map Unit Legend

Sawyer County, Wisconsin (WI113)						
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI			
383B	Mahtomedi loamy sand, 0 to 6 percent slopes	7.3	11.6%			
383C	Mahtomedi loamy sand, 6 to 12 percent slopes	1.0	1.6%			
407A	Seelyeville and Markey soils, 0 to 1 percent slopes	20.0	31.9%			
771A	Lenroot loamy sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	24.6	39.3%			
3446A	Newson muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes	9.8	15.6%			
Totals for Area of Interest		62.7	100.0%			

1560-02-01

USH 63 Hayward - Drummond STH 27 to Larson Road Sawyer County Plots

🍰 Wetland Boundary

Wetland 6 - Wet Meadow

Patricia

Wetland 5- Wet Meadow

Wetland 7 - Shrub Scrub

Wet-Plot 7 9

Wet Plot-6.9

Up Plot 6 O

Wet Plot 5

Wetland 8 - Wet Meadow

Wet Plot 8 9 Up Plot 8

Wetland 1 - Wet Meadow Up Plot 1 Wet Plot 1.

Wetland 2 - Shrub Scrub

Wet Plot 2

Wetland 3 - RPF Wet Plot 3

W-Hospital-Rd

Up Plot 2 O

Wetland 4 - Wet Meadow Up Plot 4 Wet Plot 4

Up Plot 3

Wetland 2 - Shrub Scrub

Wetland 3 - RPF

Wetland 9 - RPF

Wet Plot 9 O

Wetland 9 - RPF



@ 2017 Google

Wetland Impact Map





N:\PDS\C3D\15600201\SHEETSPLAN\15600201_PP-HOSPITAL RD.DWG LAYOUT NAME - AIRPORT RD

PLOT DATE : 9/21/2017 11:25 AM JENSEN, TRAVIS G PLOT BY :

Wisconsin Department of Transportation



Division of Transportation System Development Northwest Region

WETLAND IMPACT TRACKING FORM **This form must be filled out for all projects.**

Return This Completed Form to:		I (J	
Amy Adrihan	Please Complete All	Project Design I.I	D. #:	1560-02-01
Environmental Coordinator	Information Highlighted In	Project Construc	tion I.D. #:	1560-02-70
WisDOT - NW Region	Yellow	Hwy/ Project Title :	Hayward	d - Drummond
1701 N. 4th St			STH 27 - Larse	n Rd
Superior, WI 54880	WisDOT Regional	County :	Saw	yer
Phone: (715)-392-7972	Environmental Coordinator	Construction Yea	ar :	2019
amy.adrihan@dot.wi.gov	(REC) Will Complete Sections	Date this form is	completed:	09/21/2017
	Highlighted In Green	Date this form is	approved:	10/3/2017
This Form Prepared by:	Travis Jensen	715-395-3025	travis.	jensen@dot.wi.gov
	NAME	PHONE		EMAIL
This Form Approved by:	Amy Adrihan	715-392-7972	amy.adrihan@dot.wi.gov	
	NAME	PHONE		EMAIL

Is a discharge of dredged or fill material into wetlands anticipated?

 NO Set Source Construction is required (RETURN FORM TO REC). YES Set Source Construction of Constructio									
Wetland Delineation/	Davi	id Runquist							
Determination completed by:		NAME							
Determination completed by:	Basic and Advanced Wetland D	Delineation Cou	ırse, UW-La	Crosse					
	QUALIF	TICATIONS							
Describe methods used to avoid	and minimize impacts to wetlands:	WETLAN	D IMPACT SUMM	T / REPLAC IARY	EMENT				
Wetland impacts were discussed and cons	idered during alternatives analysis. Wetlands	Туре	Area	Туре	Area				
will be protected by silt fence to prevent d	isturbance beyond what is required.	Impacted	Impacted	Mitigated	Mitigated				
		AB	-	AB	-				
		BOG	-	BOG	-				
		DM	-	DM	-				
		М	0.14	М	0.14				
		RPE	-	RPE	-				
		RPF	0.49	RPF	-				
		SM	-	SM	0.88				
Was professional discretion No		SS	0.12	SS	-				
		WS	-	WS	-				
105	Describe discretionary	AB(D)	-	TOTAL	1.02				
ratio?	rationale below:	DM(D)	-						
		M(D)	-						
		RPE(D)	-						
		RPF(D)	-						
		SM(D)	-						
		SS(D)	-						
		WS(D) TOTAL	- 0.75						
		IUIAL	0.75	l					

Available at: \\SUPRTOPFLPPI01\N3public\TSS\Env\Wetlands\Accounting\TrackingForms



Division of Transportation System Development Northwest Region

WETLAND IMPACT TRACKING FORM - PAGE 2 DETAILED TABLE OF WETLAND IMPACTS

Directions to complete Page 2:

- 1. One location may be made up of several different wetland types. List each type of wetland impacted from each location on the project corridor separately in the table below.
- 2. The Environmental Coordinator will enter the appropriate ratio and bank information.
- 3. Use Department of Transportation Wetland Classification System: http://roadwaystandards.dot.wi.gov/standards/fdm/24-05-010att.pdf#fd24-5a10.2
- 4. Total areas should be reported to the nearest 0.01 acre. Any impacts less than 0.01 acre should be rounded up to 0.01 acre.

						DOT REC will provide this		
				-			information.	
	Wetland ID	Impact Location	Lat/Long	Туре	Area	Debit	Туре	Area
Point #		(project station)		Impacted	Impacted	Ratio	Mitigated	Mitigated
1	XX7 (1 1 1	HOSPITAL RD	Lat: 46.026685	DDE	0.020	1 500	GM	0.045
1	Wetland 1	1005+33 - 1006+25	Long: -94.464713	RPF	0.030	1.500	SM	0.045
		HOSPITAL RD	Lat: 46.026491	DDE	0.410	1 500	C) (0.615
2	Wetland 2	1005+33 - 1008+84	Long: -91.463964	RPF	0.410	1.500	SM	0.615
2	Western 1.2	HOSPITAL RD	Lat: 46.026285	м	0.070	1 000	м	0.070
3	Wetland 3	1008+84 - 1009+24	Long: -91.463672	М	0.070	1.000	М	0.070
4	XX7. (1	AIRPORT RD	Lat: 46.026604	DDE	0.020	1 500	C) (0.020
4	Wetland 4	2004+68 - 2006+25	Long: -91.460788	RPF	0.020	1.500	SM	0.030
5	W/ (1	AIRPORT RD	Lat: 46.026631	DDE	0.020	1 500	C) (0.020
5	Wetland 5	2004+68 - 2005+80	Long: -91.460980	RPF	0.020	1.500	SM	0.030
6	Wetland	AIRPORT RD	Lat: 46.026489	DDE	0.010	1 500	C) (0.015
6	Wetland 6	2006+19 - 2006+64	Long: -91.460902	RPF	0.010	1.500	SM	0.015
7	\mathbf{X}_{i}	AIRPORT RD	Lat: 46.026509	00	0.040	1 200	C) (0.049
7	Wetland 7	2006+46 - 2007+24	Long: -91.461290	SS	0.040	1.200	SM	0.048
0	Wetlend 9	AIRPORT RD	Lat: 46.026846		0.020	1 200	CM	0.000
8	Wetland 8	2007+42 - 2008+33	Long: -91.461732	SS	0.080	1.200	SM	0.096
0	WetlendO	AIRPORT RD	Lat: 46.026892	м	0.070	1 000	м	0.070
9	Wetland 9	2007+43 - 2008+55	Long: -91.461846	М	0.070	1.000	М	0.070
			Lat:					0.000
			Long:					0.000
			Lat:					0.000
			Long:					0.000
			Lat:					0.000
			Long:					0.000
			Lat: Long:					0.000
			Long:					0.000
								0.000
			Long: Lat:					0.000
								0.000
			Long: Lat:					0.000
			Lat: Long:					0.000
			Long.					0.000

Is there potential for onsite mitigation? If unknown, check with the REC.

YES NO Where is it located? (T/R, station, map) List bank site to be used. (**Determined by REC**)

Eitenmiller Wetland Mitigation Bank Site

Please attach another sheet if the space provided is not adequate for all impacts or to add any additional comments.

Appendix B

Monitoring Forms
Project/Site: 1560-02-0	1				City/County: Sawye	er			Sampling Date:	06/1	5/2017
Applicant/Owner: W	isDOT						State:	WI	Sampling Poir	nt: <u>\</u>	Wet 1
Investigator(s): Dave Ru	nvestigator(s): Dave Runquist Section, Township, Range: T14N R9W S14										
Landform (hillside, terrac	e, etc.):	Toeslope		Local r	relief (concave, conve	ex, no	one): <u>Concav</u>	/e	Slop	be %:	0-1
Subregion (LRR or MLRA	.): <u>LRR K</u>	(, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'37.68"N	Long:	: <u>91°</u> :	27'41.81"W		Datum:	WCC	S-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name: 407A Seelyeville and Markey soils NWI classification: T3/S3K											
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)											
Are Vegetation, S	Soil	, or Hydrologysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X						No			
Are Vegetation, S	Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally problema	tic? (If neede	ed, exp	olain any ans	swers in	Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINI	DINGS –	Attach site	map	showing sam	pling point loca	tion	s, transec	cts, im	portant featu	ures,	, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation	Present?	Yes	х	No	Is the Sampled A	Area					
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes	Х	No	within a Wetland	1?	Yes	Х	No		
Wetland Hydrology Pres	sent?	Yes	Х	No	If yes, optional We	etlanc	d Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain alter	native proc	edures here or i	in a se	eparate report.)							

Wetland Hydrology Indicat	ors:							Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)							Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
X Surface Water (A1)				Water-	Stained Leaves (I	B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)		
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)						Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)				Marl D	eposits (B15)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)				Hydrog	gen Sulfide Odor ((C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Oxidize	ed Rhizospheres of	on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)				Preser	nce of Reduced Ire	on (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Recent	t Iron Reduction ir	n Tilled Soils	s (C6)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)				Thin M	luck Surface (C7)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Im	agery	(B7)	Other ((Explain in Remar	·ks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Cor	icave S	Surface	e (B8)	_				X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:										
Surface Water Present?	Yes	Х	No		Depth (inches):	1.75				
Water Table Present?	Yes	Х	No		Depth (inches):	0				
Saturation Present?	Yes	Х	No		Depth (inches):	0	Wetlar	nd Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
(includes capillary fringe)										
Describe Recorded Data (str	eam g	auge,	monitor	ng well,	aerial photos, pre	evious inspe	ctions), if	available:		
Remarks:										
Remarks:										

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5. 6.				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 100 x 1 = 100
1. Salix petiolaris	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species 90 x 2 = 180
2. Populus tremuloides	10	No	FAC	FAC species 10 x 3 = 30
3. Cornus alba	50	Yes	FACW	FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4. Spiraea alba	10	No	FACW	UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 200 (A) 310 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.55
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	95	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex lacustris	95	Yes	OBL	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
2. Iris versicolor	5	No	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Rubus hispidus	5	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				
9				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10 11				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12		=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	105			of size, and woody plants less than 3.20 it tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:30') 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

SOIL

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to doc	ument t	he indica	ator or co	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-5	10yr 2/2	100					Mucky Sand			
5-13	10yr 2/1	88	7.5yr 4/6	2	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations		
		·	10yr 5/6	3	С	M		Prominent redox concentrations		
			10yr 4/6	3	С	PL		Prominent redox concentrations		
			10yr 6/8	2	С	М		Prominent redox concentrations		
			10yr 3/2	2	D	Μ				
13-19	10yr 2/2	100					Mucky Sand			
		·								
		·								
	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	NS=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains.		PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric Soil								or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :		
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo		ce (S8) (I	LRR R,		uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)		
	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B	,				rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)		
Black Hi			Thin Dark Surf					ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)		
	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S			-		e Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)		
	Layers (A5)	<i></i>	Loamy Mucky			R K, L)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)			
	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		F2)			nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)		
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri					nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)		
	lucky Mineral (S1)		X Redox Dark Su	`	,			rent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 145)		
	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark				Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)			
	edox (S5)		Redox Depres		8)		Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)			
	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	. ,			Other (E	xplain in Remarks)		
Dark Su	rface (S7)		Red Parent Ma	ateriai (F	21) (IVILI	KA 145)				
			etland hydrology mu	ust be pi	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.			
	Layer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No		
Remarks: This data she	eet is revised from N	lorthcentra	I and Northeast Re	gional S	upplemei	nt Versio	n 2.0 to include the NF	RCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,		
Version 8.0,	2016.									

Project/Site: 1560-0	02-01		City/Cour	nty: Sawyer		San	npling Date:	06/15/2017
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT				State:	WI S	ampling Poin	it: Up 1
Investigator(s): Dav	e Runquist			Section, Towns	ship, Range: <u>T</u>	14N R9W S	614	
Landform (hillside, te	rrace, etc.):	Shoulder	Local relief (cond	cave, convex, i	none): <u>Convex</u>		Slop	e %: <u>0-1</u>
Subregion (LRR or N	ILRA): LRR H	K, MLRA 90A Lat:	46°01'37.70"N	Long: 9'	1°21'41.76"W		Datum:	WCCS-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name:	407A Seelyv	ille & Markey Soils			NWI classific	cation: No	ne	
Are climatic / hydrolo	gic conditions	on the site typical for	this time of year?	Yes X	No ((If no, expla	in in Remark	.s.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal	Circumstances	" present?	Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, e	explain any ans	wers in Rer	narks.)	
SUMMARY OF I	FINDINGS -	Attach site map	showing sampling po	oint locatio	ns, transect	ts, impoi	tant featu	res, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	ires here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is req	uired; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	s (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C	C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, r	nonitoring well aerial photos, previous inspectic	and the second
		ns), ir avaliable:
		ns), ir avaliable:
Remarks:		ns), ir avaliadie:

Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
4 5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				OBL species x 1 =
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3				FACU species 50 x 4 = 200
4				UPL species 100 x 5 = 500
5				Column Totals: 150 (A) 700 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.67$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Danthonia spicata	80	Yes	UPL	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Bromus inermis	20	No	UPL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Festuca rubra	40	Yes	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Elymus repens	10	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
				_
9.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	150	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe t	o the dep	th needed to doc	cument t	he indica	tor or co	confirm the absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	ox Featur				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture Remarks	
		<u> </u>						
		<u> </u>						
		<u> </u>						
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM=	=Reduced Matrix,	MS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	2Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil I							Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histosol			Polyvalue Bel	ow Surfa	ce (S8) (I	_RR R,	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)	
	ipedon (A2)	-			()(Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)	
Black His			Thin Dark Sur	,) (LRR R	MLRA 1		()
	n Sulfide (A4)	-	High Chroma				Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)	-,
	Layers (A5)	-	Loamy Mucky			-	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
	Below Dark Surface	(A11) -	Loamy Gleyed			, =/	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, I	R)
	rk Surface (A12)	(, (, i, i)) <u>-</u>	Depleted Matr		12)		Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 14	
	ucky Mineral (S1)	-	Redox Dark S		6)		Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA	
	eyed Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark				Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	143)
	edox (S5)	-	Redox Depres				Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149	D)
	Matrix (S6)	-	Marl (F10) (LF		0)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	в)
Dark Sur	. ,	-		. ,	24) /MI E	A 145)		
	lace (57)	-	Red Parent M	iateriai (F	21) (IVILF	(A 145)		
³ Indiantara of	budrophytic vogototi		tional budrology m		rocont ur	loop dist	turbed or problematic	
		on and we	tiand hydrology m	iust be pi	resent, ur	liess dist	sturbed or problematic.	
	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X	-
Remarks:							•	
	et is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Re	egional S	upplemer	nt Versior	on 2.0 to include the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,	,
Version 8.0, 2	2016.			-				
Unable to dig	due to refusal at sur	face						

Project/Site: 1560-02-01			City/County: Sawyer	•	Sampling Date: 06/15/2017			
Applicant/Owner: WisI	ООТ			State: WI	Sampling Point: Wet			
Investigator(s): Dave Rund	luist		Section, To	wnship, Range: <u>T41N R</u>	9W S14			
Landform (hillside, terrace,	etc.): Toeslope	Local r	elief (concave, conve	ex, none): <u>Concave</u>	Slope %: 0-1			
Subregion (LRR or MLRA):	LRR K, MLRA 90A	Lat: 46°01'36.42"N	Long:	91°27'41.85"W	Datum: WCCS-Sawyer			
Soil Map Unit Name: 4074	Seelyeville and Markey	/ Soils		NWI classification:	: <u>T3/S3K</u>			
Are climatic / hydrologic cor	nditions on the site typica	al for this time of year?	Yes X	No (If no,	explain in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation, Soi	I, or Hydrology	significantly disturb	ed? Are "Norn	nal Circumstances" pres	sent? Yes X No			
Are Vegetation, Soi	I, or Hydrology	naturally problemat	ic? (If needed	d, explain any answers i	n Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.								
Hydrophytic Vegetation Pr	esent? Yes	X No	Is the Sampled A	rea				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	X No	within a Wetland	? Yes X	No			
Wetland Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes	X No	If yes, optional We	etland Site ID:				

Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)

Wetland Hydrology Indicat	ors:						Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)							Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
X Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9)						Drainage Patterns (B10)				
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13)						Moss Trim Lines (B16)				
Saturation (A3)			N	Marl Deposits (B15)			Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)			H	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C	21)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)			C	Oxidized Rhizospheres or	n Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)			P	Presence of Reduced Iron	n (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			R	Recent Iron Reduction in	Tilled Soils	s (C6)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Iron Deposits (B5)			Т	Thin Muck Surface (C7)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Ae	rial Im	agery	(B7) C	Other (Explain in Remark	s)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Con	icave S	Surface	e (B8)				X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Field Observations:										
Surface Water Present?	Yes	Х	No	Depth (inches):	10					
Water Table Present?	Yes	Х	No	Depth (inches):	0					
Saturation Present?	Yes	Х	No	Depth (inches):	0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
(includes capillary fringe)	-		_							
Describe Recorded Data (str	eam g	auge,	monitoring	g well, aerial photos, prev	vious inspe	ctions), if a	available:			
Remarks:										

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
3. 4.				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 90 x 1 = 90
1. Salix petiolaris	90	Yes	FACW	FACW species 100 x 2 = 200
2. Alnus incana	10	No	FACW	FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3.				FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 190 (A) 290 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.53
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	100 :	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex lacustris	75	Yes	OBL	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
2. Carex stricta	15	No	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3.				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				1 Indiastors of hydric coil and watland hydrology must
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8		. <u> </u>		Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10 11				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	90 :	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				
1				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				
3.				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to doc	ument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the	absence o	f indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featu	res						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Text	ure		Remarks	
0-5	10yr 2/2	100					Mucky	Sand			
		<u> </u>									
								·			
		·									
		<u> </u>									
		·						·			
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Depl	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	∕IS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	² L	_ocation: P	L=Pore Lining,	M=Matrix	ζ.
Hydric Soil									or Problematic		
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surfa	ce (S8) (I	.RR R,		2 cm Mu	ick (A10) (LRR	K, L, ML	RA 149B)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 149B	5)				Coast P	rairie Redox (A	16) (LRR	K, L, R)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (LRR R,	MLRA 1	149B)	5 cm Mu	icky Peat or Pe	at (S3) (L	.RR K, L, R)
Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)	•	High Chroma	Sands (S	511) (LRF	R K, L)	_	Polyvalu	e Below Surfac	e (S8) (Ll	RR K, L)
Stratified	l Layers (A5)	•	Loamy Mucky	Mineral	(F1) (LR	R K, L)	_	Thin Dar	rk Surface (S9)	(LRR K,	L)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed	Matrix ((F2)			Iron-Mar	nganese Masse	s (F12) (L	LRR K, L, R)
	ark Surface (A12)	•	Depleted Matri						nt Floodplain So		
	lucky Mineral (S1)	-	Redox Dark Su		-6)				ent Material (F2		
	leyed Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark						allow Dark Surf		-
	edox (S5)	-	Redox Depres						podic (TA6) (M I		
	Matrix (S6)	-	Marl (F10) (LR					Other (E	xplain in Rema	rks)	
Dark Su	rface (S7)	-	Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	21) (MLF	A 145)					
		-				-					
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and we	etland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, ur	less dist	urbed or pr	oblematic.			
	Layer (if observed):										
Type:											
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric	Soil Prese	nt? Yes	s X	No
							Tiyano			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Remarks:	not is revised from N	ortheoptro	l and Northaast Ro	aional S	upplomor		n 2.0 to incl	ludo tho NE	CS Field India	ators of U	vdria Saila
Version 8.0,	eet is revised from N 2016	onncenna	I and Northeast Re	gioriai S	uppiemei						yune Sons,
	2010.										
>5" too wet											
Soil sample	obtained from soil pro	obe. Unab	le to get full soil pro	ofile with	a soil pit						
1											

Project/Site: 1560-		City/Co	ounty: Sawyer	:	Sampling Date:	06/15/2017			
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT					State:	WI	Sampling Point:	Up 2
Investigator(s): Dave Runquist Section, Township, Range: T41N R9W S14									
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): Convex Slope %							%: <u>0-3</u>		
Subregion (LRR or M	/ILRA): LRR	K, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'35.95"N	Long:	91°27'40.18"W		Datum:	WCCS-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name	: 771A Lenroo	t Loamy Sand				NWI classifi	ication:	T3S3k	
Are climatic / hydrole	ogic conditions	on the site typica	al for t	his time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, e	xplain in Remarks	5.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		significantly disturbed?	Are "Norm	al Circumstance	s" prese	nt? Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally problematic?	(If needed	, explain any ans	swers in	Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS -	Attach site	map	showing sampling	point locati	ions, transec	ts, im	portant featur	res, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes NoX If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:							
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X								
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X								
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)										

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10) High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16) Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8) Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3) Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
High Water Table (A2)Aquatic Fauna (B13)Moss Trim Lines (B16)Saturation (A3)Marl Deposits (B15)Dry-Season Water Table (C2)Water Marks (B1)Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)Crayfish Burrows (C8)Sediment Deposits (B2)Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)Drift Deposits (B3)Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)Algal Mat or Crust (B4)Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)Geomorphic Position (D2)Iron Deposits (B5)Thin Muck Surface (C7)Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Saturation (A3)Marl Deposits (B15)Dry-Season Water Table (C2)Water Marks (B1)Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)Crayfish Burrows (C8)Sediment Deposits (B2)Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)Drift Deposits (B3)Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)Algal Mat or Crust (B4)Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)Geomorphic Position (D2)Iron Deposits (B5)Thin Muck Surface (C7)Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8) Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Sediment Deposits (B2)Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)Drift Deposits (B3)Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)Algal Mat or Crust (B4)Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)Geomorphic Position (D2)Iron Deposits (B5)Thin Muck Surface (C7)Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2) Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Saturation Present? Yes No X Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:

Tree Stratum (Plot size:30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test	worksheet:			
. Populus tremuloides	25	Yes	FAC	Number of Domir	ant Spacias			
2. Betula papyrifera	60	Yes	FACU	That Are OBL, FA		:	3	(A)
8. Pinus banksiana	10	No	FACU	Total Number of	Dominant			
4. Picea glauca	5	No	FACU	Species Across A			6	(B)
5. Prunus virginiana	5	No	FACU	Percent of Domin	ant Species			_
6. Acer rubrum	10	No	FAC	That Are OBL, FA		: 5	50.0%	(A/E
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:				
	115	=Total Cover		Total % Cov	ver of:	Mu	Itiply by:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			OBL species	0	x 1 =	0	
1. Betula papyrifera	20	Yes	FACU	FACW species	45	x 2 =	90	
2. Populus tremuloides	20	Yes	FAC	FAC species	60	x 3 =	180	
3. Prunus virginiana	5	No	FACU	FACU species	199	x 4 =	796	
1. Alnus incana	5	No	FACW	UPL species	30	x 5 =	150	
5. Acer rubrum	5	No	FAC	Column Totals:	334	(A)	1216	(E
6. Pinus strobus	2	No	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.64				
7.	_			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:				
	57	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Tes			petation	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominand		-	, ,	
. Pteridium aquilinum	75	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalenc				
2. Rubus hispidus	20	Yes	FACW	4 - Morpholog			ovide sur	porti
3. Hieracium spp.	15	No	UPL		marks or on a			
1. Diervilla lonicera	15	No	UPL	Problematic I	Hvdrophytic \	/egetatio	on ¹ (Expla	ain)
5. Vaccinium myrtilloides	10	No	FACW			•		,
5. Fragaria virginiana	10	No	FACU	 ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. 				
7. Equisetum sylvaticum	10	No	FACW	Definitions of Ve				
3. Galium triflorum	5	No	FACU		-			
 Maianthemum canadense 	2	No	FACU	Tree – Woody pla diameter at breas	```	,		heiah
10								-
11				Sapling/shrub – and greater than				ЪВН
12.				and greater than		2011 (1	iii) tali.	
	162	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbac of size, and wood				ardles
Noody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	102				iy plants less	unan 3.2	20 11 1411.	
Noody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			Woody vines – A height.	All woody vine	es greate	er than 3.	28 ft
2 <u>.</u>				neight.				
				Hydrophytic				
3.				Vegetation	Vee	Na	V	
4				Present?	Yes	NO_	X	
		=Total Cover						

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe t	o the dep	oth needed to doc	ument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence of	indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	ox Featur					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
		<u> </u>		·					
				.					
				·					
				·					
				·					
¹ Type: C=Co	ncentration, D=Deple	etion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, I	MS=Mas	ked Sanc	Grains.	² Location: PL	.=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil I			·					r Problematic Hydric Soils	s ³ :
Histosol (Polyvalue Bel	ow Surfa	ce (S8) (I	LRR R,		k (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 1	
	ipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 1498			,		airie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L	
Black His			Thin Dark Sur	<i>'</i>) (LRR R.	MLRA 1		ky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR	
	n Sulfide (A4)	-	High Chroma					Below Surface (S8) (LRR I	
	Layers (A5)	-	Loamy Mucky			-		Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	() ()
	Below Dark Surface	(A11) -	Loamy Gleyed			· · ·, ∟/		ganese Masses (F12) (LRR	KIR)
	rk Surface (A12)		Depleted Matr		12)			Floodplain Soils (F19) (ML	
	ucky Mineral (S1)	-	Redox Dark S		6)			nt Material (F21) (outside N	
	leyed Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Dark					llow Dark Surface (F22)	//LIXA 143)
		-							5 140P)
	edox (S5)	-	Redox Depres Marl (F10) (LF		0)			odic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 14	. 5, 149D)
	Matrix (S6)	-						plain in Remarks)	
Dark Sur	race (57)	-	Red Parent M	ateriai (F	21) (IVILF	(A 145)			
31 11 1									
		on and we	etland hydrology m	lust be pi	resent, ur	iless dist	urbed or problematic.		
	ayer (if observed):								
Туре:									
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Presen	t? Yes No	<u>X</u>
Remarks:									
	et is revised from No	orthcentral	and Northeast Re	gional S	upplemer	nt Versior	n 2.0 to include the NR	CS Field Indicators of Hydric	c Soils,
Version 8.0, 2				0				,	,
Unable to dig	due to refusal at sur	face							

roject/Site: 1560-02-01					City/County: Sawyer Sampling Date: 06/15/2						
Applicant/Owner: V	WisDOT					State:	WI Sa	Impling Poir	nt: Wet 3		
Investigator(s): Dave R	≀unquist				Section, Township, Range: T41N R9W S14						
Landform (hillside, terra	ice, etc.):	Toeslope		Local r	Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope %: 0-3						
Subregion (LRR or MLR	₹A): <u>LRR K</u>	, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'36.20"N	Long:	91°27'38.98"W		Datum:	WCCS-Sawyer		
Soil Map Unit Name: 771A Lenroot Loamy Sand NWI classification: T3K											
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)											
Are Vegetation,	Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrologysignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No						No				
Are Vegetation,	Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally problema	tic? (If needed	d, explain any ans	swers in Rem	ıarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.											
Hydrophytic Vegetatior	n Present?	Yes	Х	No	Is the Sampled Ar	rea					
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes		No X	within a Wetland?	? Yes	No	Х			
Wetland Hydrology Pre	esent?	Yes	Х	No	If yes, optional We	tland Site ID:					
Remarks: (Explain alte	ernative proc	edures here or	in a s	eparate report.)							

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) Surface Soil Cracks (B6) Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
Surface Water (A1) Water-Stained Leaves (B9) Drainage Patterns (B10)
X High Water Table (A2) Aquatic Fauna (B13) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
X Saturation (A3) Marl Deposits (B15) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) X Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks) Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8) X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:
Surface Water Present? Yes No X Depth (inches):
Water Table Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): 9.5
Saturation Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): 2.5 Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:
Remarks:

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:				
1. Populus tremuloides	60	Yes	FAC	Number of Dominant Species				
2. Acer rubrum	10	No	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:4 (A				
3				Total Number of Dominant				
4				Species Across All Strata: 5 (B				
5				Percent of Dominant Species				
δ				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A				
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:				
	70	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			OBL species <u>15</u> x 1 = <u>15</u>				
Acer negundo	5	No	FAC	FACW species 115 x 2 = 230				
2. Populus tremuloides	50	Yes	FAC	FAC species 145 x 3 = 435				
3. <u>Spiraea alba</u>	20	No	FACW	FACU species 24 x 4 = 96				
4. Alnus incana	40	Yes	FACW	UPL species 80 x 5 = 400				
5. Corylus cornuta	2	No	FACU	Column Totals: 379 (A) 1176				
5.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.10				
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:				
	117	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%				
. Calamagrostis canadensis	15	No	OBL	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹				
2. Carex tenera	20	No	FAC	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide suppor				
3. Vaccinium myrtilloides	10	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)				
ł. Rubus hispidus	15	No	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)				
5. Equisetum sylvaticum	30	Yes	FACW	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology mus				
5. Waldsteinia fragarioides	80	Yes	UPL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.				
7. Sonchus oleraceus	2	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:				
3. Thalictrum dioicum	20	No	FACU	Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in				
).				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of heig				
10.				Sanling/abrub Waady plants loss than 2 in DPH				
1.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.				
12.								
	192	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardle of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.				
Noody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')							
1.	<u>,</u>			Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 f height.				
 2.								
3.				Hydrophytic				
				Vegetation Present? Yes X No				
4.		=Total Cover						

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to doc	ument t	he indica	ator or c	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)			
Depth	Matrix			x Featu							
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks			
0-8	10yr 2/2	100					Sandy				
8-16	10yr 2/2	87	5yr 5/8	7	С	М	Sandy	Prominent redox concentrations			
			5yr 3/3	3	С	М		Distinct redox concentrations			
			10yr 4/6	3	С	М		Prominent redox concentrations			
					·						
					·						
	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RN	Reduced Matrix, N	/IS=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains.					
Hydric Soil I				o (
	Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R,							ion: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. tors for Problematic Hydric Soils³: cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) bast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) blyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) hin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) on-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) edmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)			
	Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B)										
Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA											
	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma			-					
	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky			R K, L)					
	Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		(F2)						
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri								
	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	-6)		Red Par	ent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14			
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depres	sions (F	8)						
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	R K, L)							
Dark Sur	face (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	21) (MLF	RA 145)					
³ Indicators of	f hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, u	nless dis	turbed or problematic.				
Restrictive L Type:	_ayer (if observed):										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes No X			
							.,				
		orthcentra	al and Northeast Re	gional S	uppleme	nt Versio	n 2.0 to include the NF	CS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,			
Version 8.0, 2	2016.										
>16" too wet											

Project/Site: 1560-02-01 City/County: Sawyer							ling Date:	06/15/2017	
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT				State:	WI San	npling Point	Up 3	
Investigator(s): Dave Runquist Section, Township, Range: T41N R9W S14									
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Shoulder Local relief (concave, convex, none): Convex Slope %: 0-3									
Subregion (LRR or ML	RA): <u>LRR K</u>	, MLRA 90A Lat	46°01'35.71"N	Long: 91°27	7'39.51"W		Datum:	WCCS-Sawyer	
Soil Map Unit Name:	771A Lenroot	Loam Sand		<u> </u>	WI classific	ation: T3K			
Are climatic / hydrologi	c conditions o	on the site typical fo	r this time of year?	Yes X	No (I	lf no, explain	in Remarks	s.)	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Cire	cumstances	" present?	Yes X	No	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed, expla	ain any ansv	vers in Rema	arks.)		
SUMMARY OF FI	NDINGS –	Attach site ma	p showing sampling p	oint locations,	, transect	s, importa	ant featui	res, etc.	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes Yes	No X No X No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? If yes, optional Wetland Si	Yes	NoX
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	res here or in a	separate report.)			

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	tors:				Secondary Indicators (mini	imum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimun	n of one is requir	ed; check all	that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B	36)
Surface Water (A1)		Water-	Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B1	0)
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatio	c Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16))
Saturation (A3)		Marl De	eposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Tak	ble (C2)
Water Marks (B1)		Hydrog	en Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)	1	Oxidize	ed Rhizospheres on Living R	Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on A	Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)		Presen	ice of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed P	lants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Recent	Iron Reduction in Tilled Soi			
Iron Deposits (B5)		Thin M	uck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on A	erial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relie	ef (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Cor	ncave Surface (B	8)			FAC-Neutral Test (D5))
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Wetlar	nd Hydrology Present?	Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)						
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	ream gauge, mo	nitoring well,	aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if	available:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ream gauge, moi	nitoring well,	aerial photos, previous insp	ections), if	available:	

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1				Number of Dominant Species
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
7		. <u></u>		Prevalence Index worksheet:
	:	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species x 1 =
1				FACW species 20 x 2 = 40
2				FAC species <u>10</u> x 3 = <u>30</u>
3				FACU species <u>145</u> x 4 = <u>580</u>
4				UPL species <u>5</u> x 5 = <u>25</u>
5				Column Totals: <u>180</u> (A) <u>675</u> (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =3.75
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Poa pratensis	80	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Phalaris arundinacea	20	No	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Rumex acetosella	25	Yes	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Lotus corniculatus	15	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Barbarea vulgaris	10	No	FAC	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6. Leucanthemum vulgare	5	No	UPL	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Plantago major	5	No	FACU	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Matricaria discoidea	20	No	FACU	Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	180 :	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				I hulman hudia
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes No X
	:	=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featu	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
					·			
					·			
					·			
	·				· <u> </u>			
				_				
					·			
					·			
	oncentration, D=Depl	etion RM	-Reduced Matrix	AS-Mas	ked Sand	Grains	² Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil				10-11183		oranis.		or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo		000 (58) (uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B		ice (30) (i			rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
				,				
	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf					ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	en Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma			-		le Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	d Layers (A5)	(Loamy Mucky			κ κ, ι)		rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		(F2)			nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri		-0)			nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S					rent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 145
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark					allow Dark Surface (F22)
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depres		8)			podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
	I Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR				Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
Dark Su	rface (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	-21) (MLF	RA 145)		
_								
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetati	ion and w	vetland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):							
Type:								
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes No X
	·						-	
Remarks:	eet is revised from N	arthcontr	al and Northeast Re	aional S	unnleme	nt Version	2 0 to include the NE	RCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
Version 8.0,		Juicentia		gioriai O	uppleme			
,								
Unable to dig	g due to refusal at su	rface						

Project/Site: 1560-02	2-01				City/Cou	inty: Sawyer				Sampling Dat	e: 06	/15/2017
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT							State:	WI	Sampling P	oint:	Wet 4
Investigator(s): Dave	Runquist					Section, Tov	wnship,	Range: T	'41N R	9W S23		
Landform (hillside, ter	race, etc.)	: Footslope		Loca	al relief (con	icave, conve	x, none): <u>Conve</u> x	(S	lope %	5: <u>0-3</u>
Subregion (LRR or ML	LRA): <u>LF</u>	R K, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'35.01"N		Long:	91°27'	43.40"W		Datun	1: <u>wc</u>	CCS-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name:	771A Ler	root Loamy Sand					N	NI classif	ication:	T3/S3K		
Are climatic / hydrolog	gic conditic	ons on the site typica	al for	this time of year?	r	Yes X	N	o	(If no, e	explain in Rem	arks.)	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		significantly dist	urbed?	Are "Norm	nal Circu	umstance	s" pres	ent? Yes	X N	o
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally probler	natic?	(If needed	l, explai	in any ans	wers ir	n Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF F	INDING	S – Attach site	map	showing sar	mpling p	oint locati	ions,	transec	ts, im	nportant fea	atures	s, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetati	ion Presen	nt? Yes	x	No	Is the	Sampled Ar	rea		_		_	
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes	Х	No	within	a Wetland?	?	Yes	Х	No		
Wetland Hydrology F	Present?	Yes	Х	No	lf yes,	optional Wet	tland Si	ite ID:				
Remarks: (Explain a	alternative p	procedures here or i	in a s	eparate report.)								

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
X Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 2		
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 8		
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 5	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)			
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ections), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.		·		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)
3		·		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5 6		·		Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')		•		OBL species 40 x 1 = 40
1. Spiraea alba	25	Yes	FACW	FACW species 135 x 2 = 270
2. Alnus incana	10	Yes	FACW	FAC species 25 x 3 = 75
3.				FACU species $0 x 4 = 0$
4.				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5.				Column Totals: 200 (A) 385 (B)
6.		•		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.93$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	35	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phalaris arundinacea	90	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
2. Onoclea sensibilis	10	No	FACW	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Rubus idaeus	25	No	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Carex lacustris	25	No	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Calamagrostis canadensis	15	No	OBL	
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9		·		diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10 11				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
We also Visa Obstance (Distained 201	165	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:30') 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2		<u></u>		
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	arate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to doc	ument t	he indica	ator or c	onfirm the absence o	of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featur	es				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0-5	10yr 2/2	100					Mucky Sand		
5-9.5	10yr 2/2	100					Sandy		
9.5-19	10yr 4/2	70	7.5yr 5/6	25	С	М	Sandy	Prominent redox concentration	s
		<u> </u>	10yr 3/6	5	С	М		Prominent redox concentration	s
		· ·							
		· ·							
		.							
		· ·							
		· ·							
		· ·							
1		<u> </u>							
	ncentration, D=Dep	oletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	NS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.		PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil I			Polyvalue Belo	w Surfo	aa (S9) (I			or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histosol	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B		ce (36) (i	LKK K,		uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)	
Black His			Thin Dark Surf			MIRA		ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L,	R)
	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S					ue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)	•••
	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky			-		rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)	
	Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed			. ,		nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L,	R)
	rk Surface (A12)	· · ·	Depleted Matri		,			nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 14	
X Sandy M	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark Su	urface (F	6)		Red Pa	rent Material (F21) (outside MLRA	145)
Sandy G	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	Surface	e (F7)		Very Sh	allow Dark Surface (F22)	
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depres	sions (F	8)		Mesic S	podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 14	9B)
	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR				Other (E	Explain in Remarks)	
Dark Sur	face (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	21) (MLF	RA 145)			
3									
			etland hydrology mi	ust be pr	resent, ur	nless dis	turbed or problematic.		
Type:	.ayer (if observed):								
Depth (in	iches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No	_
Remarks:									
Version 8.0, 2		orthcentra	I and Northeast Re	gional S	upplemei	nt Versio	n 2.0 to include the NI	RCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils	5,
v croioir 0.0, 1	2010.								
>19" too wet									

Project/Site: 1560-0	02-01		City/Co	unty: Sawyer		S	ampling Date:	06/15/201
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT				State:	WI	Sampling Point	: <u>Up 4</u>
Investigator(s): Dave	e Runquist			Section, Tow	nship, Range: T	41N R9V	V S23	
Landform (hillside, te	rrace, etc.):	Summit	Local relief (co	ncave, convex	, none): <u>None</u>		Slope	e %: <u>0-3</u>
Subregion (LRR or M	LRA): LRR I	K, MLRA 90A L	at: 46°01'35.03"N	Long:	91°27'43.50"W		Datum:	WCCS-Sawye
Soil Map Unit Name:	771A Lenroo	t Loamy Sand			NWI classifi	ication:	Г3/S3K	
Are climatic / hydrolo	gic conditions	on the site typical	for this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, ex	plain in Remarks	s.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Norma	al Circumstance	s" presen	t? Yes <u>X</u>	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed,	explain any ans	swers in F	Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS -	Attach site m	nap showing sampling p	point location	ons, transec	ts, imp	ortant featur	res, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures	here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots	(C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C	C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mon	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspectio	ons), if available:
Remarks:		

Sampling Point: Up 4

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Acer rubrum	15	Yes	FAC	
2. Pinus banksiana	10	Yes	FACU	Number of Dominant SpeciesThat Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:2(A)
 Populus tremuloides 4. 	20	Yes	FAC	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 33.3% (A/B
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	45	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1. Alnus incana	2	No	FACW	FACW species 29 x 2 = 58
2. <u>Diervilla lonicera</u>	40	Yes	UPL	FAC species 45 x 3 = 135
3				FACU species <u>137</u> x 4 = <u>548</u>
4				UPL species 55 x 5 = 275
5				Column Totals: 266 (A) 1016 (B
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.82
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	42	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Poa pratensis	80	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Pteridium aquilinum	40	Yes	FACU	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supportin
3. Rubus hispidus	25	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Lotus corniculatus	5	No	FACU	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Comptonia peregrina	15	No	UPL	
6. Fragaria virginiana	2	No	FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7. Equisetum arvense		No	FAC	Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8. Onoclea sensibilis	2	No	FACW	
9.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height
10 11				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	179	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardles of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30' 1.	_)			Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft i height.
2.				Hydrophytic
<u></u>				Vegetation
3.				
				Present? Yes No X

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featu	res		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture Remarks
	·						
	·						
	·						
	·						
							· · · · · · · · · · · · _ /
	·						
	oncentration, D=Depl	etion RM	-Reduced Matrix	AS-Mas	ked Sand	Grains	² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil				10-11183		i Orains.	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo	W Surfe	000 (58) (2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
			MLRA 149B		ace (30) (i		
	pipedon (A2)			<i>,</i>			Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf				
	en Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma			-	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	d Layers (A5)	<i></i>	Loamy Mucky			κκ, L)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		(F2)		Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L,
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri				Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 14
	/lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S				Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark				Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depres				Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 14
	d Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR				Other (Explain in Remarks)
Dark Su	Irface (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	=21) (MLF	RA 145)	
³ Indicators o	of hydrophytic vegetati	ion and w	vetland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, ur	iless distu	urbed or problematic.
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):						
Type:							
Depth (i	nches):						Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X
	,						
Remarks:	eet is revised from N	arthcontr	al and Northeast Re	aional S	Suppleme	nt Version	n 2.0 to include the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils
Version 8.0,		Juicentia		gional C	puppierrier		
,							
Unable to di	g due to refusal at su	rface					

Project/Site: 1560-02	2-01				City/County: Sa	awyer	,		Sampling Date:	06/15	/2017
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT						State:	WI	Sampling Poin	it: W	Vet 5
Investigator(s): Dave	Runquist				Section	n, Tov	wnship, Range: T	41N R9	∂W S23		
Landform (hillside, ter	race, etc.):	Toeslope		Loca	al relief (concave, o	conve	x, none): <u>Concav</u>	/e	Slop	e %:	0-3
Subregion (LRR or ML	_RA): <u>LRR</u>	K, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'35.72"	L	.ong:	91°27'44.28"		Datum:	WCCS-	-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name:	771A Lenro	ot Loamy Sand					NWI classifi	ication:	None		
Are climatic / hydrolog	jic conditions	on the site typica	al for	this time of year?	Yes	Х	No	(If no, e	explain in Remark	<s.)< td=""><td></td></s.)<>	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		significantly dist	urbed? Are	"Norm	nal Circumstance	s" prese	ent? Yes X	_ No _	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally probler	natic? (If ne	eedec	d, explain any ans	swers in	Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS -	- Attach site	map	showing sa	mpling point le	ocat	ions, transec	ts, im:	portant featu	ires, (etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetati	ion Present?	Yes	х	No	Is the Sampl	ed A	rea				
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes	Х	No	within a Wet	land?	? Yes	Х	No		
Wetland Hydrology F	'resent?	Yes	X	No	If yes, optiona	al We	etland Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain a	alternative pro	cedures here or	in a s	eparate report.)							

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)		
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil	s (C6)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	3)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 8				
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
(includes capillary fringe)					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	itoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ctions), if a	available:		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:3(A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species <u>55</u> x 1 = <u>55</u>
1				FACW species 50 x 2 = 100
2.				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3				FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 105 (A) 155 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.48
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Phalaris arundinacea	50	Yes	FACW	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^1$
2. Carex lacustris	25	Yes	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Calamagrostis canadensis	30	Yes	OBL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4.				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
11 12.				
	105	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30') 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4.				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	cription: (Describe	to the de	-			ator or co	onfirm the absence of indic	ators.)
Depth	Matrix			x Featu				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10yr 2/2	100					Mucky Sand	
2-26	10yr 2/1	95	10yr 5/1	5	D	М	Loamy/Clayey	
	10912/1		10910/1				Loamy/oldycy	
					. <u> </u>			
		·			·		·	
		·			·			
					·			
		·			·		·	
17		lation DN		10 Maa			² l anations DL Davi	Lining M. Mateix
Hydric Soil	oncentration, D=Dep	Netion, RIV	ERECUCED Matrix, I	vis=ivias	sked Sand	d Grains.	² Location: PL=Pore	blematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo		000 (58) (0) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	oipedon (A2)		MLRA 149E		ice (30) (i			edox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Sur	·		MIRA		eat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	en Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma					w Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	d Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky			-		ace (S9) (LRR K, L)
	d Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed			ιτι, Ε)		e Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	ark Surface (A12)	0 (/ (1 1)	Depleted Matr		(• _)			dplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	lucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S		-6)			terial (F21) (outside MLRA 145)
	Bleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark	`	,			vark Surface (F22)
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depres		. ,			TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR				Other (Explain	
Dark Su	rface (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	21) (MLF	RA 145)		
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegeta	tion and w	etland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, u	nless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):	1						
Type:								
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes X No
Remarks:								
	eet is revised from N	lorthcentra	al and Northeast Re	aional S	uppleme	nt Versio	n 2.0 to include the NRCS Fie	eld Indicators of Hvdric Soils.
Version 8.0,				J				, , ,
1								

Project/Site: 1560-0	2-01		City/Cou	unty: Sawyer		Sa	mpling Date:	06/15/2	2017
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT				State:	WI S	Sampling Point	: Up	5 כ
Investigator(s): Dave	e Runquist			Section, Tov	vnship, Range: <u>T</u>	41N R9W	S23		
Landform (hillside, ter	rrace, etc.):	Shoulder	Local relief (cor	ncave, conve	k, none): <u>Convex</u>		Slope	e %: _ (J-1
Subregion (LRR or M	LRA): LRR k	K, MLRA 90A L	at: 46°01'35.83"N	Long:	91°27'44.61"W		Datum:	WCCS-S	awyer
Soil Map Unit Name:	407A Seelye	ville and markey s	oils		NWI classifi	cation: No	one		
Are climatic / hydrolog	gic conditions (on the site typical	for this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, expl	ain in Remarks	s.)	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Norm	al Circumstances	s" present?	Yes X	No	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed	, explain any ans	wers in Re	emarks.)		
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS -	Attach site m	ap showing sampling p	oint locati	ons, transec	ts, impo	ortant featu	res, e	tc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No _X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	res here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requir	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	8)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): Wetla	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	if available:
Remarks:		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
/		Species	Status	Dominance rest worksheet.
1				Number of Dominant Species
2				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
5				Percent of Dominant Species
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
	:	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2.				FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
				FACU species 50 x 4 = 200
				UPL species $5 \times 5 = 25$
				Column Totals: 55 (A) 225 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.09
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Poa pratensis	45	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Hieracium aurantiacum	5	No	UPL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Cirsium arvense	5	No	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				1 ndiastara of hudsia acit and wetland hudsalary must
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
				diameter at breast height (DDH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb - All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	55	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separ	rate sheet.)			
	,			

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featu	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
					·			
					·			
					·			
	·				· <u> </u>			
				_				
					·			
					·			
	oncentration, D=Depl	etion RM	-Reduced Matrix	AS-Mas	ked Sand	Grains	² Location: P	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil				10-11/103		oranis.		or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo		000 (58) (uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B		ice (30) (i			rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
				,				
	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf					ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	en Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma			-		e Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	d Layers (A5)	(Loamy Mucky			κ κ, ι)		rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		(F2)			nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri		-0)			nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S					rent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 145
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark					allow Dark Surface (F22)
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depres		8)			podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
	I Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR				Other (E	xplain in Remarks)
Dark Su	rface (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	-21) (MLF	RA 145)		
_								
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetati	ion and w	vetland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):							
Type:								
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes No X
	·						-	
Remarks:	eet is revised from N	arthcontr	al and Northeast Re	aional S	unnleme	ot Version	2 0 to include the NE	RCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
Version 8.0,		Juicentia		gioriai O	uppleme			
,								
Unable to dig	g due to refusal at su	rface						

Project/Site: 1560-02	2-01		City/County: Sawyer Sampling Date: 06/15/2017								
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT						State: V	VI Sa	mpling Poir	nt: <u> </u>	Wet 6
Investigator(s): Dave	Runquist				Section,	, Tov	wnship, Range: <u>T41</u>	N R9W S	14		
Landform (hillside, ter	race, etc.):	Toeslope		Local	l relief (concave, co	onve	x, none): <u>Concave</u>		Slop)e %:	0-1
Subregion (LRR or ML	_RA): <u>LRR</u>	K, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'37.08"N	Lo	ong:	90°27'44.58"W		Datum:	WCC	S-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name:	407A Seely	eville and Markey	soil				NWI classificat	ion: <u>T5/S</u>	33K		
Are climatic / hydrolog	jic conditions	on the site typica	I for	this time of year?	Yes	Х	No (If r	no, explaii	n in Remarl	ks.)	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		significantly distu	rbed? Are "N	Norm	nal Circumstances" p	present?	Yes X	No	
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally problem	atic? (If nee	eded	l, explain any answe	rs in Rem	arks.)		
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS -	- Attach site r	nap	showing san	npling point lo	cati	ions, transects,	, import	ant featu	ures,	, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetati	ion Present?	Yes	х	No	Is the Sample	ed Ar	ea				
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes	Х	No	within a Wetla	and?	? Yes_X	<u>No</u>			
Wetland Hydrology F	'resent?	Yes	Х	No	If yes, optional	l Wet	tland Site ID:				
Remarks: (Explain a	Iternative pro	cedures here or i	nas	eparate report.)							

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	ed; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)		
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	oots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil	s (C6)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8	3)		X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 5				
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):0	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
(includes capillary fringe)					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe)					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor					

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	% Cover	Species?	Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1				Number of Dominant Species
2.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant
4				Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
4 5				
				Percent of Dominant Species
6.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 100 x 1 = 100
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3.				FACU species 2 x 4 = 8
4				UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
				Column Totals: 102 (A) 108 (B)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.06
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex stricta	60	Yes	OBL	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
2. Carex lacustris	40	Yes	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Solidago canadensis	2	No	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Sanling/obrub Woody plants loss than 2 in DPH
11.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12.				
·	102	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	102			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				Ludrank, tia
3				Hydrophytic Vegetation
4				Present? Yes X No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

(inches) Color (moist) % Type Loc ² Texture Remarks 0-10 10yr 2/1 100 Sandy	Depth	Matrix			ox Featur			onfirm the absence o	
10-14 10yr 4/2 100 Sandy 14-25 10yr 2/1 87 7.5yr 3/3 3 C M Mucky Loam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Loam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Loam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Loam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Loam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 2/1 87 7.5yr 3/3 3 C M Mucky Loam/Clay 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Loam/Clay Mucky Loam/Clay 10 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Loam/Clay Mucky Loam/Clay 10 10 10 M Mucky Loam/Clay Mucky Loam/Clay Mucky Loam/Clay 14/25 Mucky Loam/Clay Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A10) (LR K, L, R, K, L)	(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
14-25 10yr 2/1 87 7.5yr 3/3 3 C M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 10 10 Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 10 10 Mucky Heal Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 11 10 Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR R, L) Coast Praire Redox (A16) (LRR	0-10	10yr 2/1	100					Sandy	
14-25 10yr 2/1 87 7.5yr 3/3 3 C M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Distinct redox concentrations 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 10yr 4/2 10 D M Mucky Leam/Clay Mucky Leam/Clay 11 10 M Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LR R R, L) Thistic Expretic Redox (A10) (LR R K, L) Polyvalue Below Sur	10-14	10yr 4/2	100					Sandy	
intermediate intermediate	14.25			7 5yr 2/2	2		N/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. ² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils [*] : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Sandy Mucky Sirface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (Outside MLRA 1449, 145, 145) Sirped Matrix (S6) Mad (F10) (LRR K, L) Stripped Matrix (S6) Redox Depressions (F8) Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (Outside MLRA 1449, 145, 145) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:	14-20	10y1 2/1	07			·			Distinct redox concentrations
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Type:				10yr 4/2	10	D	M		
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Type:		<u></u>							
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Type:									
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) Type:									
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes X No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Log Yes X No								·	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes X No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Log Yes X No								·	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes X No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Log Yes X No		<u></u>							
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14 Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Polymature Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Polymatic Soil Present? Yes X No Depth (inches): Uppet (inches)									
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes X No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Log Yes X No									
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes X No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Log Yes X No		·						· ·	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ : Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149E) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes X No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Log Yes X No	4								
Histosol (A1) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L, R) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 144 Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Yes_X No <			letion, RM	Reduced Matrix, N	√S=Mas	ked Sand	d Grains		-
Histic Epipedon (A2) MLRA 149B) Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E) Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 144 Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 1445) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Yes X No Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:	•			Polyvalue Belo	ow Surfa	ice (S8) ('			•
Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, MLRA 149B) 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14 Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) * Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) * Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:		()					,		
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14 Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Present? Yes X No Type:									
Stratified Layers (A5) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14 Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:									
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14 Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:									
Thick Dark Surface (A12) Depleted Matrix (F3) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149E Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14 Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type:			e (A11)				,,		
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Red Parent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14 Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No									
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Festrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Yes X No									
Sandy Redox (S5) Redox Depressions (F8) Mesic Spodic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B) Stripped Matrix (S6) Marl (F10) (LRR K, L) Other (Explain in Remarks) X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) 3Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No									
X Dark Surface (S7) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 145) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present? Yes									
³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hydric Soil Present?	Stripped					Other (E	xplain in Remarks)		
Restrictive Layer (if observed):	X Dark Su	urface (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	⁻ 21) (MLF	RA 145)		
Restrictive Layer (if observed):	0								
Type:				etland hydrology m	ust be pr	resent, ur	nless dis	turbed or problematic.	
Depth (inches): Yes X No		Layer (if observed):							
Remarks:		inches):						Hydric Soil Presei	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No
This data sheet is revised from Northcentral and Northeast Regional Supplement Version 2.0 to include the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,									
		2010.							
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									
Version 8.0, 2016.									

Project/Site: 1560	-02-01		City/Co	ounty: Sawyer		S	ampling Date:	06/19/2017
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT				State:	WI	Sampling Point	:: Up 6
Investigator(s): Da	ve Runquist			Section, Town	nship, Range: T	14N R9V	V S14	
Landform (hillside, t	terrace, etc.):	Shoulder	Local relief (co	oncave, convex,	, none): <u>Convex</u>	[Slope	e %: <u>0-1</u>
Subregion (LRR or	MLRA): LRR H	K, MLRA 90A Lat	: 46°01'36.82"N	Long:	91°27'46.13"W		Datum:	WCCS-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name	e: 407A Seelyv	ille & Markey Soil			NWI classifi	cation: 1	None	
Are climatic / hydro	logic conditions	on the site typical fo	r this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, ex	plain in Remarks	s.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Norma	al Circumstance	s" presen	t? Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed,	explain any ans	wers in F	Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF	FINDINGS -	Attach site ma	p showing sampling	point locatio	ons, transec	ts, imp	ortant featu	res, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes NoX If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X					
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)							

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requir	red; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)	
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	bots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6) Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	7) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	38)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X
(includes capillary fringe)		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	onitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	ctions), if available:
Remarks:		

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 0 x 1 = 0
1				FACW species 2 x 2 = 4
2.				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3.				FACU species 65 x 4 = 260
4.				UPL species 35 x 5 = 175
5.				Column Totals: 102 (A) 439 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.30$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Poa pratensis	65	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Bromus inermis	25	Yes	UPL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Centaurea stoebe	10	No	UPL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Phalaris arundinacea	2	No	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree Weetherlands 2 in (7.0 err) er mens in
9.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless
	102	=Total Cover		of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in
1				height.
2				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes <u>No X</u>
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Featu	res						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks			
					·						
					·						
					·						
	·				· <u> </u>						
				_							
					·						
					·						
	oncentration, D=Depl	etion RN	-Reduced Matrix	AS-Mas	ked Sand	Grains	² Location: P	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.			
Hydric Soil				10-11/103		orains.		or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :			
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo		000 (58) (uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)			
	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149B		ice (30) (i			rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)			
				,							
	istic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf					ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)			
	en Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma			-		ue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)			
	d Layers (A5)	(Loamy Mucky			Κ Ν, L)		rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)			
	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		(F2)			nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)			
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matri		-0)			nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)			
	Aucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S					rent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 14			
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark					allow Dark Surface (F22)			
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depres		8)			podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)			
	I Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR				Other (E	Explain in Remarks)			
Dark Su	rface (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	-21) (MLF	RA 145)					
_											
³ Indicators o	f hydrophytic vegetati	ion and w	vetland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic.				
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):										
Type:											
Depth (ii	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	nt? Yes No X			
Domorkov	·						-				
Remarks:	eet is revised from N	ortheontr	al and Northeast Re	aional S	unnleme	nt Versior	2.0 to include the NE	RCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,			
Version 8.0,		Juicentia		gioriai O	uppleme						
,											
Unable to dig	g due to refusal at su	rface									
Project/Site: 1	560-02-01			(City/County: Sa	awyer			Sampling Da	ate: <u>C</u>	06/15/2017
-------------------	------------------------	------------------------	-----------	------------------------	-------------------	---------------	----------------------	-----------	----------------	---------------	---------------
Applicant/Owner	er: WisDOT						State:	WI	Sampling	Point:	Wet 7
Investigator(s):	Dave Runquist			Sectior	n, Tow	nship, Range:	T41N R	₹9W S14			
Landform (hillsic	de, terrace, etc.):	: Toeslope		Local re	elief (concave, c	;onve>	, none): <u>Conc</u>	ave		Slope	%: <u>0-1</u>
Subregion (LRR	≀ or MLRA): <u>L</u> R	RR K, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'37.30"N	L	ong:	91°27'44.71"W	/	Datu	m: <u>v</u>	VCCS-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Na	ame: 407A See	elyeville and Markey	/ Soils				NWI class	ification	n: T5/S3K		
Are climatic / hy	/drologic conditic	ons on the site typica	al for th	his time of year?	Yes	Х	No	(If no,	explain in Rer	narks.	.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	{	significantly disturbe	d? Are "	'Norm	al Circumstand	es" pres	sent? Yes	X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	I	naturally problemation	c? (If ne	eded	, explain any a	nswers i	in Remarks.)		
SUMMARY	OF FINDING	S – Attach site	map	showing samp	ling point lo	ocati	ons, transe	ects, in	nportant fe	atur	es, etc.
Hydrophytic Ve	egetation Presen	nt? Yes		No	Is the Sample			-			

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes X	No X No	within a Wetland? Yes No X If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	ires here or in a s	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requi	red; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
X Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Ro	ots (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)			
Iron Deposits (B5)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B	Microtopographic Relief (D4)			
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (I	38)	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 16			
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0			
	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No		
(includes capillary fringe)				
(includes capillary fringe)				
(includes capillary fringe)				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo				

Sampling Point: Wet 7

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:100.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 75 x 1 = 75
1. Salix petiolaris	75	Yes	FACW	FACW species 105 x 2 = 210
2. Spiraea alba	5	No	FACW	FAC species 1 x 3 = 3
3				FACU species 0 x 4 = 0
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5				Column Totals: 181 (A) 288 (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.59
7				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
	80	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex lacustris	40	Yes	OBL	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Carex stricta	30	Yes	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Salix petiolaris	20	No	FACW	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Spiraea alba	5	No	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5. Equisetum arvense	1	No	FAC	The disease of budging and unational budgets are exact.
6. Utricularia intermedia	5	No	OBL	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8.				Tree Weedersterne 2 in (7.0 err.) er mere in
9.				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10.				Continue Alexandre loss than 2 in DDU
11.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	101	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:30') 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
2				Hydrophytic
4				Vegetation Present? Yes X No
4		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa				
Terrande proto numbers here of on a sepa				

Depth	cription: (Describe f Matrix	to the de	-	x Featur				or mulcators	-)	
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Remarks	
	·			·						
	·			·						
				·						
	·			·						
	·			·			·			
	·			·						
				·						
	·									
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Depl	etion RM	-Reduced Matrix	MS-Mas	ked Sand	Grains	² Location:	PL=Pore Linir	na M-Matrix	
Hydric Soil					itea Gaile			for Problema	-	
Histosol			Polyvalue Bel	ow Surfa	(82) (luck (A10) (LF	-	
	pipedon (A2)		MLRA 149E		(00) (I			Prairie Redox		
				,		MIDA				
	listic (A3)		Thin Dark Sur					lucky Peat or		
	en Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma			-		lue Below Sur		
	d Layers (A5)	(Loamy Mucky			κκ, L)		ark Surface (S		
	d Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Loamy Gleyed		(F2)			anganese Mas		
	ark Surface (A12)		Depleted Matr					ont Floodplain		
	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S					arent Material		
	Gleyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark					hallow Dark S		
	Redox (S5)		Redox Depres		8)			Spodic (TA6)		4, 145, 149B)
	d Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LF				Other (Explain in Re	marks)	
Dark Su	urface (S7)		Red Parent M	aterial (F	⁻ 21) (MLF	RA 145)				
³ Indicators c	of hydrophytic vegetat	ion and w	etland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, ur	nless dist	urbed or problematic			
Restrictive	Layer (if observed):									
Type:										
Depth (i	inches):						Hydric Soil Pres	ent?	Yes	No <u>X</u>
	/						,			
Remarks:	neet is revised from No	ortheontr	al and Northoast Pr	aional S	unnlomo	at Vareia	n 2.0 to include the N	IPCS Field In	dicators of U	ludric Soils
Version 8.0,		ornicentia		gional S	uppiemei					yune sons,
	20101									
Unable to di	g soil pit due to stand	ing water	. Too wet for soil p	robe san	nple.					
1										

Project/Site: 1560-0	2-01		City/	County: Sawyer		S	ampling Date:	06/19/2017
Applicant/Owner:	WisDOT				State:	WI	Sampling Point	: Up 7
Investigator(s): Dave	Runquist			Section, Tov	vnship, Range: <u>T</u>	41N R9W	/ S14	
Landform (hillside, ter	race, etc.):	Shoulder	Local relief	(concave, conve	x, none): <u>Convex</u>	(Slope	%: <u>0-1</u>
Subregion (LRR or MI	RA): LRR	K, MLRA 90A L	at: 46°01'36.30"N	Long:	91°27'45.50"W		Datum:	WCCS-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name:	407A Seelye	ville and Markey s	oils		NWI classifi	ication: N	None	
Are climatic / hydrolog	gic conditions	on the site typical	or this time of year?	Yes X	No	(If no, exp	plain in Remarks	s.)
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed?	Are "Norm	al Circumstance	es" presen	t? Yes X	No
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problematic?	(If needed	, explain any ans	swers in R	Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF F	INDINGS -	Attach site m	ap showing sampling	g point locati	ions, transec	cts, imp	ortant featu	res, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes	No X	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes NoX If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No X	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes	No X	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedu	res here or in a	separate report.)	

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is requir	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)			
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Iron Deposits (B5)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	Microtopographic Relief (D4)				
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)				
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches): Wetla	and Hydrology Present? Yes No X			
(includes capillary fringe)					
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	if available:			
Remarks:					

Sampling Point: Up 7

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species <u>5</u> x 1 = <u>5</u>
1				FACW species <u>5</u> x 2 = <u>10</u>
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3				FACU species 90 x 4 = 360
4				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 100 (A) 375 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.75$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Poa pratensis	85	Yes	FACU	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
2. Carex lacustris	5	No	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3. Lotus corniculatus	5	No	FACU	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4. Phalaris arundinacea	5	No	FACW	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5.				
6.				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7.				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10 11				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	100	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:30') 1.				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2.				
3.				Hydrophytic
4.				Vegetation Present? Yes No X
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe f	to the dep	th needed to doo	cument t	he indica	tor or co	onfirm the absence	of indicat	ors.)	
Depth	Matrix		Rede	ox Featur	es					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture		Rema	ırks
		······								
		······								
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depl	etion, RM=	Reduced Matrix,	MS=Mas	ked Sanc	Grains.	² Location: I	PL=Pore L	_ining, M=Ma	atrix.
Hydric Soil	ndicators:						Indicators	for Proble	ematic Hydr	ric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1)		Polyvalue Bel	low Surfa	ce (S8) (I	_RR R,	2 cm M	uck (A10)	(LRR K, L,	MLRA 149B)
Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)	-	MLRA 149	B)			Coast F	Prairie Rec	dox (A16) (Ll	RR K, L, R)
Black His			Thin Dark Su	rface (S9) (LRR R,	MLRA 1				3) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4)	-	High Chroma					-) (LRR K, L)
	Layers (A5)	-	Loamy Mucky			-			e (S9) (LRR	
	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Loamy Gleye			. ,				2) (LRR K, L, R)
	rk Surface (A12)		Depleted Mat		,			-		19) (MLRA 149B)
	lucky Mineral (S1)	_	Redox Dark S		-6)					utside MLRA 145)
	leyed Matrix (S4)	-	Depleted Darl	•	,				rk Surface (F	-
	edox (S5)	-	Redox Depres		. ,					, 44A, 145, 149B)
	Matrix (S6)	_	Marl (F10) (LI	•	- /				Remarks)	, , , ,
	face (S7)	-	Red Parent M		21) (MLF	(A 145)			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		-			, (.					
³ Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetati	ion and we	tland hydrology m	nust be n	resent ur	less dist	urbed or problematic.			
	_ayer (if observed):		land hydrology h							
Type:										
·· -										
Depth (ir	nches):						Hydric Soil Prese	ent?	Yes	<u>No X</u>
Remarks:										
		orthcentral	and Northeast Re	egional S	upplemer	nt Versior	n 2.0 to include the N	RCS Field	Indicators of	of Hydric Soils,
Version 8.0,	2016.									
Linable to dia	due to refusal at su	rface								
	g uue to reiusai at sui	lace								

Project/Site: 1560-02-0	J1			(City/County: Sawy	/er			Samp	ling Dat	te: _	06/15	5/2017
Applicant/Owner: M	VisDOT						State:	WI	Sam	npling F	oint:	<u>v</u>	Vet 8
Investigator(s): Dave R	unquist				Section, T	Fowr	nship, Range:	۲41N R	9W S14	4			
Landform (hillside, terrad	ce, etc.):	Toeslope		Local re	lief (concave, conv	vex,	none): <u>Conca</u>	ve		s	lope	e %:	0-1
Subregion (LRR or MLR	.A): <u>LRR I</u>	K, MLRA 90A	Lat:	46°01'36.32"N	Long	g: g	91°27'45.68"W			Datun	n: <u>'</u>	wccs	S-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name: 407A Seeleyville and Markey soils NWI classification: None													
Are climatic / hydrologic	conditions	on the site typica	al for (this time of year?	Yes <u>X</u>	<	No	(If no, o	explain	in Rem	narks	s.)	
Are Vegetation,	Soil	, or Hydrology		significantly disturbe	d? Are "No	orma	I Circumstance	s" pres	ent?	Yes	Х	No	
Are Vegetation,	Soil	, or Hydrology		naturally problemation	c? (If need	led,	explain any an	swers ir	n Rema	ırks.)			
SUMMARY OF FIN	IDINGS -	- Attach site	map	showing samp	ling point loca	atic	ons, transed	cts, im	nporta	ant fea	atur	es,	etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation	Present?	Yes	x	No	Is the Sampled	Are	a						_
Hydric Soil Present?		Yes	Х	No	within a Wetlan	nd?	Yes	Х	No				
Wetland Hydrology Pre	sent?	Yes	Х	No	If yes, optional W	Vetla	and Site ID:						
Remarks: (Explain alte	ernative prov	cedures here or i	in a s	eparate report.)									
													ļ

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is require	red; check all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)			
Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Drainage Patterns (B10)			
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)			
X Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)		Pry-Season Water Table (C2)			
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)			
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
Drift Deposits (B3)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	X Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Iron Deposits (B5)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7	Microtopographic Relief (D4)					
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):					
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 13					
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 11	Wetlan	d Hydrology Present? Yes X No			
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	pections), if	available:			
Remarks:						

Sampling Point: Wet 8

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. 2.				Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
3 4				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
5 6				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
7				Prevalence Index worksheet:
		=Total Cover		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')				OBL species 110 x 1 = 110
1				FACW species 0 x 2 = 0
2				FAC species 0 x 3 = 0
3.				FACU species 10 x 4 = 40
4.				UPL species 0 x 5 = 0
5.				Column Totals: 120 (A) 150 (B)
6.				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.25$
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
		=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1. Carex stricta	80	Yes	OBL	X 3 - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
	20	No	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
2. Carex lacustris				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
3. Cirsium arvense	10	No	FACU	
4. Symphyotrichum puniceum	10	No	OBL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6.				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
7				Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
8				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in
9				diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
10				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH
11				and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
12	120	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')				
1				Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
2				Hydrophytic
3				Vegetation
4				Present? Yes <u>X</u> No
		=Total Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a sepa	rate sheet.)			

SOIL

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to doc	ument t	he indica	ator or ce	onfirm the absence o	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix			ox Featur				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-7	10yr 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	
7-13	10yr 2/2	93	7.5yr 4/6	3	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
		. <u> </u>	7.5yr 5/8	2	С	М		Prominent redox concentrations
			5yr 4/6	2	С	М		Prominent redox concentrations
13-23	10yr 2/1	80	7.5yr 5/8	3	С	М	Loamy/Clayey	Prominent redox concentrations
			10yr 5/2	15	D	М		
		. <u> </u>	7.5yr 5/6	2	С	М		Prominent redox concentrations
23-25	10yr 2/1	100					Sandy	
							· .	
¹ Tvpe: C=Co	ncentration, D=Depl	letion. RM	Reduced Matrix.	MS=Mas	ked San	d Grains.	² Location: P	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I			-11000000		100 00			or Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo	ow Surfa	ace (S8) (LRR R,		uck (A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	ipedon (A2)		 MLRA 149B		• • •			rairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His			Thin Dark Surf	,) (LRR R	, MLRA '		ucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4)		High Chroma S					ie Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
	Layers (A5)		Loamy Mucky			-		rk Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark Surface	- (A11)	Loamy Gleyed			•, ,		nganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	rk Surface (A12)	,,,	Depleted Matri		. –,			nt Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	ucky Mineral (S1)		X Redox Dark Su	` '	F6)			rent Material (F21) (outside MLRA 145)
	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark					allow Dark Surface (F22)
	edox (S5)		Redox Depress					podic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
	. ,							
	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LR	. ,		~ 4 45)		xplain in Remarks)
Dark Sur	face (S7)		Red Parent Ma	ateriai (r	·21) (NILr	(A 145)		
			etland hydrology m	ust be p	resent, ur	nless dist	turbed or problematic.	
	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (in	ches):						Hydric Soil Preser	nt? Yes <u>X</u> No
Remarks: This data she Version 8.0, 2		orthcentra	I and Northeast Re	gional S	uppleme	nt Versio	n 2.0 to include the NR	RCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
	-010.							

Project/Site: 1560-02-01	City/County: Sawyer	Sampling Date: 06/15/2017
Applicant/Owner: WisDOT	State: WI	Sampling Point: Wet 9
Investigator(s): Dave Runquist	Section, Township, Range: T41N R	9W S14
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Toeslope	Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave	Slope %: 0-1
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR K, MLRA 90A	Lat: 46°01'36.34"N Long: 91°27'48.40"W	Datum: WCCS-Sawyer
Soil Map Unit Name: 407A Seelyeville and Marke	y soils NWI classification:	: T5/S3K
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typic	cal for this time of year? Yes X No (If no,	explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" pres	sent? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology	naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in	n Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site	map showing sampling point locations, transects, in	nportant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes	X No Is the Sampled Area	No X

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes Yes X	No X No	within a Wetland? Yes If yes, optional Wetland Site ID:	No_X
Remarks: (Explain alternative proced	ures here or in a s	eparate report.)		

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required	d; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
X Surface Water (A1)	Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
X High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Fauna (B13)	X Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Saturation (A3)	Marl Deposits (B15)	Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roc	ts (C3) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Drift Deposits (B3)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	(C6) X Geomorphic Position (D2)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	X Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8))	X FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 8.5	
Water Table Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0	
		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No
Saturation Present? Yes X	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe)	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	
Saturation Present? Yes X (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	No Depth (inches): 0	

Sampling Point: Wet 9

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Larix laricina	40	Yes	FACW	Number of Dominant Species		
2. Populus tremuloides	5	No	FAC	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	4	(A)
3. Acer rubrum	15	Yes	FAC	Total Number of Dominant		-
. Betula papyrifera	5	No	FACU	Species Across All Strata:	5	(B)
5.				Percent of Dominant Species		-
S				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:	80.0%	(A/E
7.				Prevalence Index worksheet:		_
	65	=Total Cover		Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			OBL species 15 x	1 = 15	
1. Ilex verticillata	80	Yes	FACW	FACW species 130 x	2 = 260	
2. Corylus cornuta	5	No	FACU	FAC species 70 x	3 = 210	
3. Alnus incana	5	No	FACW	FACU species 45 x	4 = 180	
4.				UPL species 0 x	5 = 0	
5.				Column Totals: 260 (A	A) 665	(E
<u>а</u> .				Prevalence Index = B/A =	2.56	
7.				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicat	ors:	
	90	=Total Cover		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophyti	ic Vegetation	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')				X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%	-	
Osmundastrum cinnamomeum	5	No	FACW	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹		
2. Calamagrostis canadensis	10	No	OBL	4 - Morphological Adaptation	s ¹ (Provide sup	pporti
3. Carex stipata	5	No	OBL	data in Remarks or on a se	eparate sheet))
4. Cornus canadensis	40	Yes	FAC	Problematic Hydrophytic Veg	getation ¹ (Expla	ain)
5. Carex tenera	5	No	FAC			
6. Maianthemum canadense	15	No	FACU	¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetla be present, unless disturbed or p		must
7. Acer rubrum	5	No	FAC	Definitions of Vegetation Strata		
3. Vaccinium angustifolium	20	Yes	FACU	Trace March plants 2 in (7.0 or		
).				Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm diameter at breast height (DBH),	,	heigh
10.				Canling/shauh - W/sody algebra		-
11.				Sapling/shrub – Woody plants le and greater than or equal to 3.28		лоп
12.						ordior
	105	=Total Cover		Herb – All herbaceous (non-wood of size, and woody plants less that		ardies
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 30')					00.4
1	- ′			Woody vines – All woody vines g height.	greater than 3.	28 π
2.						
3.				Hydrophytic Verstation		
				Vegetation Present? Yes X	No	
4.		=Total Cover				

Profile Desc Depth	ription: (Describe	to the dep		ument t		tor or co	onfirm the absence of ir	ndicators.)
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-3	10yr 5/2	100					Sandy	
3-6	10yr 2/2	100					Sandy	
	1091 272	100					Canay	
		<u> </u>						
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Depl	letion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, N	MS=Mas	ked Sand	Grains.	² Location: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for I	Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol			Polyvalue Belo		ce (S8) (I	.RR R,		(A10) (LRR K, L, MLRA 149B)
	ipedon (A2)		MLRA 149E	,				ie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
Black His			Thin Dark Sur					y Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
	n Sulfide (A4) I Layers (A5)		High Chroma Loamy Mucky			-		Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L) Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
	Below Dark Surface	(A11) م	Loamy Gleyed			Υ Ν, Ε)		nese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
	rk Surface (A12)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Depleted Matr)			iloodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 149B)
	ucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Dark S		-6)			Material (F21) (outside MLRA 145)
	leyed Matrix (S4)		Depleted Dark					w Dark Surface (F22)
Sandy R	edox (S5)		Redox Depres	sions (F	8)		Mesic Spoo	lic (TA6) (MLRA 144A, 145, 149B)
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Marl (F10) (LF	R K, L)			Other (Expl	ain in Remarks)
Dark Sur	face (S7)		Red Parent Ma	aterial (F	21) (MLF	A 145)		
3								
	aver (if observed):		etland hydrology m	ust be pi	resent, ur	iless dist	urbed or problematic.	
Type:	ayer (if observed):							
	ahaa);						Hydric Soil Present?	
Depth (in	icnes).						Hydric Soll Present?	Yes <u>No X</u>
Remarks:	ot is revised from N	ortheoptro	l and Northaast Pa	aional S	upplomor	t Vorcio	a 2.0 to include the NPCS	Field Indicators of Hydric Soils,
Version 8.0, 2		onncenna	i anu normeast re	yiunai S	uppiemei			s ried indicators of right Solis,
S6" too wet	Sample obtained wit	h soil prol	e Unable to view	soil prof	ilo with se	nil nit		
>0 100 wei.	Sample Obtained wit			3011 0101		ni pit.		

Appendix C

Photos



















FILE NAME : N:\PDS\C3D\15600201\SHEETSPLAN\15600201_TS.DWG PROJECTSHEETDATA - TS-1

PLOT DATE : 10/11/2017 4:05 PM PLOT BY : BUKOVITZ, CAITLIN LEPLOT NAME :

	SHEET	Е	
		┍_┥	
VARIABLE			
VADI			
▶			
VARIABLE			
I			
-			
			2
			2



FILE NAME :N:\PDS\C3D\15600201\SHEETSPLAN\15600201_TS.DWG PROJECTSHEETDATA - TS-2

PLOT DATE : 10/11/2017 4:05 PM PLOT BY : BUKOVITZ, CAITLIN LEPLOT NAME :

	VARIABLE	
	- IDLL	
CRETE AGGREGATE)		

6:1	VARIABLE
D CONCRETE AGGREGATE)	

S	Н	Ε	Ε	Т

2

|--|

Ε



Ε

2


































FILE NAME : N:\PDS\C3D\15600201\SHEETSPLAN\15600201_PP.DWG LAYOUT NAME - P&P-17

PLOT DATE : 10/9/2017 4:16 PM





FILE NAME : N:\PDS\C3D\15600201\SHEETSPLAN\15600201_PP.DWG LAYOUT NAME - P&P-19

WISDOT/CADDS SHEET 44

PLOT DATE : 10/9/2017 4:17 PM















PLOT BY : JENSEN, TRAVIS G PLOT NAME : PLOT DATE : 10/9/2017 4:18 PM







PLOT DATE : 10/10/2017 11:18 AM PLOT BY : JENSEN, TRAVIS G