Pavement Design Report

Date: July 19, 2016

- To: Ryan McKane, P.E. NW Region Local Program Management Consultant
- From: Aaron Palmer, P.E. Westbrook Associated Engineers, Inc.

Subject: Pavement Design Report (PDR) I.D. 8357-01-72 T Russell, Little Sand Bay Road Old CTH K – Termini Local Street Bayfield County



Executive Summary:

Recondition Little Sand Bay Road from Sta. 100+16.08 to Sta. 155+33.29 with:

2.5" of 4 LT 58-34 S HMA Pavement, over 2.0" of Base Aggregate Dense 1 ¼-Inch, over 10.0" of Pulverized and Relayed Surface, over Remaining existing material.

- Widen Shoulders from Sta. 155+33.29 to Sta. 238+94.47 with: 2.5" of 4 LT 58-34 S HMA Pavement, over 10.0" of Base Aggregate Dense 1 ¼-Inch.
 - Use 36,000 ESALs on cover sheet.

Location:

The project is located in Section 04, T51N, R04W and Section 32 and 33, T52N, Town of Russell, Bayfield County. The project limits extend from Station 100+16.08 which is approximately 16.08' north of the intersection of Little Sand Bay Road and Old CTH K to Station 238+94.47 at the Termini of Little Sand Bay Road. The project is approximately 2.618 miles in length. Little Sand Bay Road is classified as a minor collector. See Exhibit A for the Project Location Map.

Proposed Improvement:

The proposed improvement will rehabilitate Little Sand Bay Road from the intersection of Old CTH K, Sta. 100+16.08, to the intersection of Ridge Road, Sta. 155+33.29, and widen the shoulders from the intersection of Ridge Road to Termini, Sta. 238+94.47.

Approved: Ryan & Mikan Date: 10/27/110

Ryan McKane, PE NW Region LPMC Project Manager From Old CTH K to Ridge Road, Little Sand Bay Road's existing pavement structure will be pulverized to its full depth. A 2-inch layer of base aggregate will be placed on top of the pulverized material, followed by a 2 1/2-inch asphaltic surface layer. The proposed typical section will consist of 11-foot driving lanes, 3-foot paved shoulders and 0.5-foot gravel shoulders. From Ridge Road to Termini, the existing asphaltic driving surface will remain and the shoulders will be widened. The shoulders will consist of a 3-foot paved shoulder and 0.5-foot gravel shoulder.

Construction is scheduled for 2017.

Soils:

No subsurface investigation was performed for this project and no soils reports were provided. In order to determine an appropriate Soil Support Value (SSV) and Design Group Index (DGI) the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Web Soil Survey tool was utilized. The results of the Web Soil Survey were compared to past bridge projects soils reports located in Bayfield County and the soil summary provided in the Wetland Delineation Report. From these three sources the soil type was determined to be a sandy clay mixture with the following pavement design parameters:

Soil Support Value	4.5
Design Group Index	10
K Modulus	150 PCI

Exhibits:

A – Project Location Map

B – WisPAVE Pavement Design Worksheets

C – Web Soil Survey Results

EXHIBIT A

PROJECT LOCATION MAP



EXHIBIT B

WisPAVE Pavement Design Worksheets

Edit Pavement Design General Information

r

* Pro	oject ID:	9357-01-72			* Designer's Name:	
*Design Name: Rehabilitation				* Design Date:	Erik Meyer 07/08/2016	
Actual 11 Galagi			" ttle Sand Bay Road		* Type:	Local V
* Pro	oject Termini:	Old CTH K - T			* Status:	Draft V
*Highway Name: Town Road				* Design Source:	NisPave V	
* Reg	gion:	NW •				MIDLEVE .
* County:		Bayfield •	Select 🔹			
Com	ments:					
Bac	-k		Last Updated date an	d Time: 07/19/2016 11:14	39 AM	Save As New Next
Project ID: Highway:		01-72 Road	Design Name: Project Termini:	Design Details Rehabilitation Old CTH K - Termini Erik Meyer	Design Date County:	: 07/08/2016 Bayfield
			Soil F	arameters		
	ſ	1Desiles C	h de la com			
		*Design Group			10	•
		*Subgrade Imp			🔾 Yes 🔍 N	10
		*Soil Support \			4.5	
		*Modulus of St	ubgrade Reaction(k):		200	
	L	Back			Next	
			Pave	ment Design Details		
	oject ID:	8357-01-72	Design Name:		Design Da	ate: 07/08/2016
Hi	ghway:	Town Road	Project Termin		ini County:	Bayfield
L		<u></u>	Designer:	Erik Meyer		
			Tr	raffic Parameters		
*Cc	onstruction Yes	ar: 201	7	*Design Year:		2037
*Cc	onstruction Yes			Design Year AADT		380
*Di	rectional Facto			*Lane Distribution F		1.0 •
			Truck	% of AAD	r]	
			Classification			
			2D	9.7		
			360	0.0		
			28-1,-2	0.0		
			38-2	0.0		
			2-S1-2	0.0		
			Total % Truck Traff	ic 8.7		

			ent Design Details	100 J		
Projec Highw		Design Name: Project Termini: Designer:	Rehabilitation Old CTH K - Termini Erik Meyer	Design Date: County:	07/08/2016 Bayfield	
		HMA F	Pavement Design			
Truck Type	% of AADT	DLT	# of Trucks	ESAL Loa	d Factor	ESALs
2D	8.7	185	16	0.	3	6
3SU	0.0	185	0	0.	8	0
28-12	0.0	185	0	0.	5	0
35-2	0.0	185	0	0.	9	0
2-\$1-2	0.0	185	0	2.	0	0
	Soil Parame DGI: Subgrade Im SSV: Design Calcu	provement Flag selected: 1	10 No £.5			
	Calculated R	equired SN:	2.22			
	Back			Nex	τ	
		Pavement	Design Details]
Project ID:	8357-01-72		Rehabilitation	Design Date:	07/08/2016	

Title: Rehabilitation

4

Г

0.0 4.4
2.5 1.1
2.0 0.2
10.0 1.0
÷

Note 1. If the structural design includes a granular subbase, then the layer can only contribute a maximum of 10% of the required SN (see FDM 14-10-5.8), regardless of the material's strength coefficient or the thickness of the layer.

EXHIBIT C

÷

.

Web Soil Survey Results



National Cooperative Soil Survey

Conservation Service

Page 1 of 5



Unified Soil Classification (Surface)—Bayfield County, Wisconsin (Little Sand Bay Road) 7/13/2016 Page 2 of 5

Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Unified Soil Classification (Surface)—Bayfield County, Wisconsin (Little Sand Bay Road)



Natural Resources Conservation Service

NOSDA

Unified Soil Classification (Surface)

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
7C	Beaches, 2 to 12 percen slopes	t	2.1	0.6%
92F	Udorthents, ravines and escarpments, 25 to 60 percent slopes		10.0	2.9%
203C	Wakefield fine sandy loam, 6 to 18 percent slopes, stony		10.6	3.0%
479A	Lerch-Herbster complex, 0 to 3 percent slopes	PT	10.5	3.0%
480B	Portwing-Herbster complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes		2.4	0.7%
481C	Cornucopia silt loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	CL-ML	7.6	2.2%
481E	Cornucopia silt loam, 15 to 45 percent slopes	CL-ML	20.8	6.0%
526A	Flink sand, 0 to 3 percent slopes	PT	6.3	1.8%
580B	Sanborg-Badriver complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes	CL	24.6	7.1%
705B	Cublake-Croswell- Ashwabay complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes	SP-SM	14.8	4.2%
713B	Kellogg-Allendale- Ashwabay complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes	PT	87.8	25.2%
713C	Kellogg-Allendale- Ashwabay complex, 6 to 15 percent slopes	PT	88.4	25.4%
756B	Superior-Sedgwick complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes	SC-SM	11.5	3.3%
'56C	Superior-Sedgwick complex, 6 to 15 percent slopes	SC-SM	25.0	7.2%
13E	Manistee-Kellogg- Ashwabay complex, 15 to 45 percent slopes	SM	2.8	0.8%
423A	Rifle peat, 0 to 1 percent slopes		0.5	0.1%

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
3608B	Deerton-Brownstone complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, very stony	PT	5.6	1.6%
3608C	Deerton-Brownstone complex, 6 to 15 percent slopes, very stony	PT	12.4	3.6%
3826B	Allendale-Wakeley- Kinross complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes		2.7	0.8%
Subtotals for Soil Survey Area			346.5	99.6%
Totals for Area of Interest			348.0	100.0%

Description

The Unified soil classification system classifies mineral and organic mineral soils for engineering purposes on the basis of particle-size characteristics, liquid limit, and plasticity index. It identifies three major soil divisions: (i) coarse-grained soils having less than 50 percent, by weight, particles smaller than 0.074 mm in diameter; (ii) fine-grained soils having 50 percent or more, by weight, particles smaller than 0.074 mm in diameter; and (iii) highly organic soils that demonstrate certain organic characteristics. These divisions are further subdivided into a total of 15 basic soil groups. The major soil divisions and basic soil groups are determined on the basis of estimated or measured values for grain-size distribution and Atterberg limits. ASTM D 2487 shows the criteria chart used for classifying soil in the Unified system and the 15 basic soil groups of the system and the plasticity chart for the Unified system.

The various groupings of this classification correlate in a general way with the engineering behavior of soils. This correlation provides a useful first step in any field or laboratory investigation for engineering purposes. It can serve to make some general interpretations relating to probable performance of the soil for engineering uses.

For each soil horizon in the database one or more Unified soil classifications may be listed. One is marked as the representative or most commonly occurring. The representative classification is shown here for the surface layer of the soil.

Rating Options

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Lower Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Surface Layer (Not applicable)