# DESIGN REVIEW COMMENTS

[ ]  30% Design [ ]  60% Design – Pre-DSR [ ]  90% Design – Pre-PS&E

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date: | 02-27-2014 |  |  |  |  |
| Reviewer: |       |  |  |  |  |
| Project: | 1114-09-21STH 26Waupun - North County LineCattaragus Dr - CTH FFFond du Lac County |  |  |  |  |
| Designer: | RA Smith |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reviewer: Andy M. DeVos | Designer:       |
| Issue No. | Issue Location(a) | Reviewer Comments | Response(b) | Designer Response Narrative | Mark-up Done |
| 1 | 4.01, 4.03, 4.04 4.05, 4.06, 4.07, 4.08, 4.09, 4.10 | Remove We energies easement if it is not in a taking area |       |       |       |
| 2 | 4.03, 4.04, 4.06, 4.08, 4.09 | Verify UTL# 103 is compensable |       |       |       |
| 3 | 4.06 | Verify UTL# 105 is compensable |       |       |       |
| 4 | 4.11 | Verify UTL# 101 is compensable |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |
|      |       |       |       |       |       |

1. Issue Location = sheet number/type, road name, and/or station
2. Response = Agree, Partially Agree, Disagree, or Requires Further Study