State of Wisconsin

Date: October 4, 2019

To: Michael Grage, PE WisDOT DTSD NC Region, Local Program Project Manager

From: Jodie Olson, City Administrator City of Berlin, Local Public Agency

Subject:

MODERNIZATION AND REHABILITATION DESIGN STUDY REPORT

Project I.D. (design) 6995-09-01 C Berlin Ripon Road South Church Street to City Limits

Local Street Green Lake County

Having considered the economic and social effects of this project, its impact on the environment, and its consistency with the goals of community planning, we request your approval of the attached design study report.

Recommended:

<u>10/14/1</u>9 Date

Jódie Olson, City Administrator City of Berlin

Concur:

Michael Grage, PE

WisDOT DTSD NC Region, Local Program Project Manager

MODERNIZATION AND REHABILITATION DESIGN STUDY REPORT

Project I.D. (design) 6995-09-01 C Berlin, Ripon Road South Church Street to City Limits Local Street Green Lake County

CONSULTANT'S SEAL



Revised 10/4/2019

MODERNIZATION AND REHABILITATION DESIGN STUDY REPORT

1.0 Project Description and Need

1.1 Federal Oversight Project (Yes or No): No

1.2 Project Length and Termini

Project Length: 1.06 Miles, (0.40 mi urban + 0.66 mi rural)

Termini/Limits:

South Church Street (STH 49) to City Limits (Forest Ridge Road)

The section of Ripon Road to be rehabilitated lies entirely inside the limits of the city of Berlin, Section 10, T17N, R13E, Green Lake County.

This section of Ripon Road is a continuation of County Road F continuing through the city meeting STH 49 at Church Street.

See attachment A for project location map.

1.3 Existing Roadway Information

Roadway	Functional Class (Principal or Minor Arterial, Collector or Local)	Surrounding Development Type? Rural, Urban or Transitional	Corridors 2030 or Backbone (No or State Which)	NHS Route (Yes or No)	Long Truck Route (No or State Federal or State)	Access Control Tier	On Ped. Trans. Plan (Yes or No)	On Bike Trans. Plan (Yes or No)
Ripon Road	Collector	Urban & Rural	No	No	No	4	No	No

Comments: none

1.4 Need for Project

The purpose of this project is to address roadway deficiencies on Ripon Road from South Church Street to the City Limits and extend the service life of the road. This can be accomplished by addressing the following needs:

- Unserviceable pavement structure
- High percentage truck traffic on roadway
- Pedestrian safety and accessibility
- Right of way corrections

Project Needs

Pavement Deficiencies and Safety needs

The existing pavement structure is 4-1/2 to 5 inches of asphalt over 10 to 13 inches of base course, with cross section transition areas showing 4" of asphalt over 8" of base course. Currently the roadway is in poor condition with lane rutting and potholes resulting in hazardous ponding of rainfall.

The PASER scale is a 1-10 rating system for road pavement condition that uses visual inspection to evaluate pavement surface conditions. On a scale from lowest to highest a rating of 0 indicates that the roadway pavement has failed while a rating of 10 indicates perfect pavement. Currently the pavement PASER rating for Ripon Road is 3 from South Church Street to CTH VV and 4 from CTH VV to the Berlin City Limits. The pavement is showing closely spaced longitudinal and transverse cracking, severe lane rutting, some alligator cracking, and numerous patched potholes.

The northerly cross street, June Street, has an 8" concrete pavement running east / west through the intersection. The pavement is uneven and rough from patches made for multiple watermain and sanitary sewer repairs in the 1990's and the installation of a relief storm sewer in 2011.

Traffic Needs

The WisDOT Traffic Forecast Report for this portion of Ripon Road indicates a year 2040 AADT of 2400 for the urban section, 3300 for the rural section with 25.2% trucks. Ripon Road has a functional classification of Major Collector with Design Class C3 based on Design ADT. This section of roadway is not a Wisconsin designated long truck route, not an oversize-overweight (OSOW) route, and is not part of the National Highway System (NHS).

Pedestrian Safety

Although the project corridor currently has pedestrian facilities that consist of concrete sidewalk with ramps on the north side of Ripon Road, the existing curb ramps do not meet current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards due to slopes and lack of Detectable Warning Fields.

Right of Way Needs

The proposed improvements will maintain the existing footprint of the current roadway. No work within the project scope will necessitate additional acquisition of Right of Way or construction easements.

2.0 Existing Facility Information

2.1 Posted Speed

Roadway or Roadway Segment	Posted Speed	Advisory Speed
Ripon Road Urban Section Sta 10+22 to Sta 30+47	25 MPH	None
Ripon Road Rural Section Sta 30+47 to Sta 64+88	35 MPH	None

2.2 Geometrics

2.2.1 Horizontal Alignment Features Outside Design Criteria

Horizontal Feature* (Curve, P.I. Deflection, etc.)	Location (Stationing)	Size* (Radius, P.I. Deflection, etc.)	Super- Elevation* (S.E.)	Speed Rating
Horiz Curve	PI Sta 11+00.81	Delta 35°28'48"	None	Posted
	Tight curve at Church St	Arc Length 68.98'	(curbed	25 MPH
	Intersection	Radius 111.40	section)	
PI Deflection	26+24.96	Delta 3°44'46"	None	Posted
	Deflection is in curbed urban section	Allowable for 30 MPH	(curbed section)	25 MPH
		Table 5.4 FDM-11-10	3601011)	

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

Comments:

Rural Section inside city limits 30+47 to 64+88, posted for 35 MPH, has no horizontal alignment features outside of desirable or minimum design standards.

2.2.2 Vertical Alignment Features/SSD* Outside Design Criteria

Vertical Feature (Curve, Vertical Grade Deflection, etc.)	Location (Stationing)	Sag or Crest	% Grades*	K Value/ Grade Deflection	Speed Rating	SSD** Met *(Yes or No) Length	DSD Met (Yes or No) Length
None							

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed ≥ 50 mph, **SSD = Stopping Sight Distance

<u>Comments:</u> No mainline vertical alignment features or SSD fall outside of desirable or minimum standards for posted speeds

2.2.3 Grades* and Vertical Clearances* Outside Design Criteria

Location (Stationing, Overpass Structures, etc.)	% Grade*	Vertical Clearance*
none		

*Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

Comments: No mainline grades and vertical clearance fall outside desirable or minimum design standards

2.3 Locations Side-Roads/ Intersections/ Interchanges Information/Geometrics

Roadway	Functional Class	Posted Speed (MPH)	Existing Traffic*** (AADT)	Approach Grades	Pedestrian Facilities (Yes or No)	Bicycle Facilities (Yes or No)
June St.	Local	25	>100	East -0.11% West +1.64%	Yes	No
S. Swetting St.	Local	25	<100	North +0.87% South +0.91%	Yes	No
S. Johnson St.	Local	25	260	North +1.25%	Yes	No
South St.	Local	25	<100	South +2.38%	No	No
Quarry St.	Local	25	930	North +0.57%	No	No
S. Industrial Rd.	Local	25	>100	North - 1.23%	No	No
Dartford St.	Local	25	<100	South +1.28	No	No
White Ridge Rd.	local	45	>100	North -2.26	No	No

2.3.1 Locations Side-Roads Design Information

*** If Existing Traffic volumes are not available, then state at a minimum whether AADT is assumed to be <100 or >100.

Comments: None

Intersecting Roadway	Intersect. Type	Intersect. Angle	Traffic Control	SSD** Met* (Y/N)/ Length	ISD** Met (Y/N)/ Length	DSD** Met (Y/N)/ Length	Vision Triangle (Y/N)	Corner Clearance to Driveways Present (Y/N)
June St.	Urban	37°	Minor Road Stop	Y/778'	N/420'	Y/778'	No	No
S. Swetting St.	Urban	56°	Minor Road Stop	Y/320'	N/420'	Y/320'	No	No
S. Johnson St.	Urban	56°	Minor Road Stop	Y/662'	N/420'	Y/662'	No	No
South St.	Urban	85°	Minor Road Stop	N/A	N/420'	N/A	No	No
Quarry St.	Rural	83°	Minor Road Stop	Y/948'	Y/560'	Y/948'	Yes	Yes
S. Industrial Rd	Rural	52°	Minor Road Stop	Y/1919'	Y/560'	Y/1919'	Yes	Yes
Dartford St.	Rural	79°	Minor Road Stop	Y/1919'	Y/560'	Y/1919'	Yes	Yes
White Ridge Rd	Rural	50°	Minor Road Stop	Y/444'	Y/560'	Y/444'	Yes	No

2.3.2 Intersections Geometrics Outside Design Criteria

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

** SSD=Stopping Sight Distance, ISD=Intersection Sight Distance, and DSD=Decision Sight Distance (See FDM 11-25-1).

Comments: none

Has intersection control evaluation (ICE) worksheet been coordinated (Yes or No)? No

2.3.3 Locations Interchanges Geometrics Outside of Design Criteria

Intersecting Roadways	Interchange Type	Ramp Types	Ramp Design Speed	Horizontal Curve on Ramp	Vertical Curve on Ramp	Ramp Grades	SSD** Met* (Y/N) Length	DSD** Met (Y/N) Length
none								

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

**SSD = Stopping Sight Distance, DSD = Decision Sight Distance (See FDM 11-25-1).

Comments: No Interchanges in Project

2.4 Segments Cross Section Geometrics Outside of Design Criteria

- Number of roadways 1
- Number of lanes 2
- Median width N/A
- Lane width* 12'
- Shoulder width* (Total and Paved or Curb and Gutter)

Sta 10+22 to 30+47 Urban Section - 8' paved parking lane plus 30" curb and gutter

Sta 30+37 to 64+88 Rural Section – 6' to 7' shoulder (3' paved + 3' to 4' aggregate)

- Bicycle facility type None
- Sidewalk and curb ramps Existing sidewalk ramps to not meet current accessibility standards. Sidewalks ramps will be removed and replaced to meet current accessibility standards. Detectable warning fields (with fabricated truncated dome pattern per current standard) are to be installed at Church St, June St., Swetting St and S. Johnson St. All sidewalk curb ramps in the project area will meet accessibility standards and include truncated dome detectable warning fields when the project is complete.
- Cross slope* 2.5%
- Super-elevation*

Sta 10+22 to 30+47 Urban Section - none

Sta 30+37 to 64+88 Rural Section - none

- Horizontal clearance*

Sta 10+22 to 30+47 Urban Section - 8' + 2.5' curb & gutter

Sta 30+37 to 64+88 Rural Section - 6' minimum shoulder (3' paved + 3' aggregate)

- Clear zone

Urban Section is curbed

Rural Section 16' from edge of travelway on fore slope

- Vertical clearance* No existing vertical Obstructions or Constraints
- Side-slopes/Ditch sections for Rural Section Existing 4:1 fore-slope

2.5	Pavement Structure/Condition

Roadway	Pavement Types and Thicknesses	Physical Description		
Ripon Road (urban section)	4.5" to 5" Asphalt Pavement	Both longitudinal and transverse cracking		
10+22 to 12+77	8" to 13" Aggregate Base Course	Severe potholes		
14+80 to 30+47	12" Sand / Pit Run Gravel	Numerous Patches		
Ripon Road (urban section)	8" PCC Pavement	Cracking and failing joints		
12+77 to 14+80	8" to 13" Aggregate Base Course	This section has extensive patches from san		
	12" Sand / Pit Run Gravel	sewer, storm sewer, and watermain replacements		
Ripon Road (rural section)	4.5" to 5" Asphalt Pavement	Both longitudinal and transverse cracking		
30+47 to 64+88	8" to 13" Aggregate Base Course	Severe potholes		
	12" Sand / Pit Run Gravel	Numerous Patches		

Comments: none

2.6 Right-of-Way

2.6.1 Encroachments

Location (Station and Distance Left or Right)	Encroachment Type
14+10.3 / 28.2' RT	242 June St House inside RW – corner encroaches 4.8'

2.6.2 Unique Right-of-Way Issues

			None		
2.7 Stru	ictures				

Existing Structure I.D. #	Feature Crossed	Structure Type	Sufficiency Rating	Clear Roadway Width*	Railing Type	Structurally Deficient or Functionally Obsolete*	Inventory Load Rating*
none							

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

Comments: No structures impacted by proposed improvement

2.8 Utilities

Utility Name	Type of Utility	General Location	Underground/ Overhead/Both
City of Berlin	San Sewer	In roadway from June St to South St - underground utility not impacted by project	Underground
		North of Roadway Sta 39+50 to S Industrial Drive intersection underground utility not impacted by project	
		Intersection of Quarry St & Ripon Rd – 2 MH castings to be replaced as part of this project	
		Intersection of S Industrial Dr & Ripon Road- 1 Manhole casting to be replaced as part of this project	
City of Berlin	Storm Sewer	In roadway at these intersections Church St, June St, Swetting St & Johnson St - underground utility not impacted by project	Underground
City of Berlin	Watermain	In roadway from Church St to Quarry St - underground utility not impacted by project	Underground
		North of and parallel to roadway Quarry St to S Industrial Park Rd - underground utility not impacted by project	
		Intersection of Quarry St & Ripon Rd – 1 Valve Box & 1 Valve MH casting to be replaced as part of this project	
		Intersection of S Industrial Dr & Ripon Road- 2 Valve Boxes to be	

		replaced as part of this project	
Century Link	Telephone	North side of roadway along length of project – overhead utility and poles not impacted by project	Overhead
Spectrum/Charter	Cable Television	North side of roadway along length of project – overhead utility and poles not impacted by project	Overhead
Alliant Energy	Electric	North side of roadway along length of project - – overhead utility and poles not impacted by project	Overhead
Alliant Energy	Natural Gas	North Side roadway from Church St to White Ridge Rd – underground utility not impacted by project South Side of Roadway from White Ridge Road to Forest Ridge Rd – underground utility not impacted by project	Underground

<u>Comments:</u> Utility coordination is ongoing. Due to the nature of the pulverizing / resurfacing no conflicts with existing utilities are anticipated.

2.9 Railroad Crossings

Location (Sta.)	Railroad Name	No. of Tracks	Function	Crossing Type
none				

Comments: No Railroad Crossing on project

2.10 Special Soils Conditions

None

2.11 Unique Project Features

None

3.0 Traffic Information

3.1 Traffic Volumes/Conditions

3.1.1 Traffic Forecast Report Attachment (see attachment D)

3.1.2 Highway Capacity Analysis

Location (Roadway Segment or Intersection)	Existing Level of Service	Design Year Level of Service Under Existing Roadway	Design Year Level of Service Under Proposed Roadway
N/A			

Comments: Highway Capacity Analysis not completed for this project.

3.2 Crash Analysis

3.2.1 Project Crash Information

	Nun	nber and Sev	erity of Cras	hes		
Roadway	Crash Rate (1) (Year)	Statewide Crash Rate (1) (Year)	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage	Total No. Crashes
Ripon Road	345 (2013-2017)	356 (2013-17avg)	0	0	1	3

(1) Crash rate based on 100 million vehicles miles traveled (100 MVMT)

<u>Comments:</u> Crash rate is based on AADT of 3000 vehicles on Ripon Road and a total corridor length of 1.06 miles. Statewide crash rate is based on Local Roads, Urban Streets group (rural city street). There were a total of 4 crashes analyzed within the project area. 3 of the 4 crashes were outside of our project work limits but were at the intersections where our work will be starting and stopping. The last incident was within the project area.

3.2.2 Significant Crash Locations or Patterns

		Nur	mber and	Severity of Cr	ashes	Crash Rate(2)	Possible Factors Contributing to Crashes
Location or Pattern	Year	Fatal	Injury	Property Damage	Total		
Ripon Road & S Church St Intersection	2013- 2017	0	0	2	2	0.37	One accident involved two vehicles where one of the vehicles failed to yield during a lane change on S Church St. The second accident involved an inattentive driver hitting a utility pole. Both accidents appear to be driver error and not related to roadway conditions or design layout. Project scope does not include any work in the intersection of Ripon Road and S Church St.
Ripon Road & S Street Intersection	2013- 2017	0	1	0	1	0.86	This accident involved an elderly lady that ran off the road and collided with the box culvert due to inattentive driving. There are no visible obstructions to the roadway and this is a straight section of road corridor; the accident appears to be driver error and not related to roadway conditions or design layout.
Ripon Road & White Ridge Intersection	2013- 2017	0	0	1	1	0.86	This accident involved a vehicle that failed to yield while making a left turn to a second vehicle going straight. The accident appears to be driver error and not related to roadway conditions or design layout. Project scope does not include any work in the intersection of Ripon Road and White Ridge Road.

(2) Crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV)

Comments: Crash rate based on AADT of 3000 vehicles on Ripon Road.

4.0 Proposed Design Criteria

4.1 Design Class

Roadway or Roadway Segment	Design Class
Ripon Road	Urban 2a

4.2 Design Speed*

Roadway or Roadway Segment	Design Speed*	Posted Speed
Ripon Road	30 mph	25 mph
Urban Section Sta 10+22 to Sta 30+47		
Ripon Road	40 mph	35 mph
Rural Section Sta 30+47 to Sta 64+88		

* Controlling Criteria for all Design Speeds

4.3 S-2/S-3 Design Justifications (DJs)

4.3.1 Controlling Criteria Design Justifications (DJs)

None

4.3.2 Non-Controlling Criteria Design Justifications (DJs)

None

4.4 Typical Cross Section(s) Alternative Features Considered

The project was evaluated using WisPAVE software in order to determine the appropriate paving structure requirements for the project. Several options were considered based on truck traffic counts to meet the structural requirements for the new roadway while still remaining within the existing footprint of the roadway.

The paving design for this work provides two alternates for the urban section and three alternates for the rural cross sections. These have been combined into three project design alternates. A detailed description of these alternates is shown below.

<u>Alternate No-Build</u> consists of continued use of the existing facility with no improvements other than routine maintenance. This alternate would have the least amount of environmental and traffic impacts but does not fulfill the purpose and need of the proposed action and therefore, was eliminated from further consideration. However, this alternative is being used as a baseline for comparison of impacts with other alternatives.

<u>Alternate #1</u> includes pulverizing both the urban and rural sections of the project excessively deep (15-1/2" in urban and 17" in rural), removing 6" of the pulverized material, and installing 6-1/4" of asphalt pavement.

This alternate also includes updating accessibility ramps to meet current ADA standards, satisfying our need for pedestrian safety and accessibility.

This option did obtain a structural number for both sections that is just above what is required by WisPAVE.

<u>Alternate #2</u> entails pulverizing the urban section 15-1/2" deep, removing 6" of the pulverized material, and installing 6-1/4" of asphalt pavement. For the rural section, the existing 5" of asphalt pavement would be milled off, 5" of the existing base course would be removed and replaced with 4" of recycled asphalt millings, and 6-

1/4" of asphalt pavement would be installed.

This alternate also includes updating accessibility ramps to meet current ADA standards, satisfying our need for pedestrian safety and accessibility.

This option, like the first, obtains a structural number for the pavement design that just meets that required by WisPAVE. However, both of these options run the risk of underground utility issues due to the deep pulverizing and possibility of multiple passes required to pulverize to these depths. Additionally, the aggregate base course could become contaminated with unwanted sub-grade material by pulverizing to these depths.

<u>Alternate #3 (Preferred Alternative)</u> includes base course stabilization which would maintain the existing centerline elevations, keep the project within the current footprint of the roadway, and meet the structural number required by WisPAVE. With this option, the existing 5" of asphalt pavement would be milled off in both section. Base course stabilization would then be utilized in both sections (5" in urban and 6" in rural), and 5" of asphalt pavement would be installed.

This option is comparable in cost to the other two options, but provides the highest pavement design structural number for each section. Additionally, Alternate 3 eliminates potential issues that could arise from pulverizing to the excessive depths that were proposed in Alternates 1 and 2. Alternate 3 also requires the least amount of excavation and/or grading that is required for removing pulverized material.

The project area located at the June Street Intersection will have 8" of existing concrete removed and 3" of base course added. This area will then have 5" of base course stabilization and 5" asphalt installed in conjunction with the rest of the urban area. It will also meet the same WisPAVE design as listed in the rest of the urban area of the project. This alternate also includes updating accessibility ramps to meet current ADA standards, satisfying our need for pedestrian safety and accessibility.

5.0 Proposed Design Improvement

5.1 Improvement Type(s)

Improvement is Rehabilitation by Pavement Replacement being done through STP-Rural project funding with a legislative subprogram code of 206- Local Transportation Facility Improvement.

5.2 Proposed Geometrics Information

5.2.1 Horizontal Alignment* Information

Existing alignment will be closely maintained with design speed standards of 30 mph in the urban area of the project and 40 mph in the rural area of the project. See attachment C for project plan sheets

5.2.2 Vertical Alignment/Stopping Sight Distance* Information

Existing Vertical Alignment will be closely maintained with design speed standards of 30 mph in the urban area of the project and 40 mph in the rural area of the project. See attachment C for project Plan Sheet

5.2.3 Grades* and Vertical Clearances* Information

Existing grades to be maintained and project has no vertical clearance constraints

5.3 Sideroads/Intersections/Interchanges Information

5.3.1 Side-Roads Information

Roadway Name	Functional Class	Design Speed (MPH)	Design Year Traffic (AADT)	Design Class	Approach Grades	Ped. Facilities (Y/N)	Bike Facilities (Y/N)
June St.	Local Street	25	>100	Local	East -0.11% West +1.64%	Yes	No
S. Swetting St.	Local Street	25	<100	Local	North +0.87% South +0.91%	Yes	No
S. Johnson St.	Local Street	25	260	Local	North +1.25%	Yes	No
South St.	Local Street	25	<100	Local	South +2.38%	No	No
Quarry St.	Local Street	25	930	Local	North +0.57%	No	No
S. Industrial Rd.	Local Street	25	>100	Local	North - 1.23%	No	No
Dartford St.	Local Street	25	<100	Local	South +1.28	No	No
White Ridge Rd.	Local Street	45	>100	Local	North -2.26	No	No

Comments: None

5.3.2 Intersections Information/Proposed Geometrics

Intersecting Roadway Names	Intersect. Type	Intersect. Angle	Traffic Control	SSD** Met* (Y/N)/ Length	ISD** Met (Y/N)/ Length	DSD** Met (Y/N)/ Length	Vision Triangles Proposed (Y/N)	Corner Clearance to Driveways Met (Y/N)
June St.	At Grade	37°	SR Stop	Y/778'	N/420'	Y/778'	No	No
S. Swetting St.	At Grade	56°	SR Stop	Y/320'	N/420'	Y/320'	No	No
S. Johnson St.	At Grade	56°	SR Stop	Y/662'	N/420'	Y/662'	No	No
South St.	At Grade	85°	SR Stop	N/A	N/420'	N/A	No	No
Quarry St.	At Grade	83°	SR Stop	Y/948'	Y/560'	Y/948'	Yes	Yes
S. Industrial Rd	At Grade	52°	SR Stop	Y/1919'	Y/560'	Y/1919'	Yes	Yes
Dartford St.	At Grade	79°	SR Stop	Y/1919'	Y/560'	Y/1919'	Yes	Yes
White Ridge Rd	At Grade	50°	SR Stop	Y/444'	Y/560'	Y/444'	Yes	No

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

** SSD = Stopping Sight Distance, ISD = Intersection Sight Distance, DSD = Decision Sight Distance (See FDM 11-25-1).

Comments: None

Name of Intersecting Roadways	Interchange Type	Ramp Type	Ramp Design Speed	Ramp Grades	SSD** Met* (Y/N)/ Length	DSD** Met (Y/N)/ Length	Vision Triangle (Yes or No)
none							

5.3.3 Interchanges Information/Proposed Geometrics – N/A to Project

*Controlling Criteria for Design Speed ≥ 50 mph

**SSD = Stopping Sight Distance, DSD = Decision Sight Distance (See FDM 11-25-1).

Comments: No Interchanges in Project

5.4 Roundabout(s) Information – N/A to Project

No Roundabouts in Project

5.5 Segments Proposed Cross Section/Pavement Structure Information

- Number of roadways 1
- Number of lanes 2
- Median width/type N/A
- Lane width*/type (Driving, Parking, Bike Lane, etc.) 12' driving lanes, 8' parking in urban section
- Shoulder width* (Total and Paved or Curb and Gutter)
- Sta 10+22 to 30+47 Urban Section 8' paved parking lane plus 30" curb and gutter\

Sta 30+37 to 64+88 Rural Section – 6' shoulder (3' paved + 3' aggregate)

- Bike facilities proposed None
- Pedestrian facilities/sidewalk proposed None (existing sidewalk and ramps to remain)

- Accessibility Improvements proposed - Existing sidewalk ramps do not meet current accessibility standards. Sidewalks ramps will be removed and replaced to meet current accessibility standards. Detectable warning fields (with fabricated truncated dome pattern per current standard) are to be installed at Church St, June St., Swetting St and S. Johnson St. All sidewalk curb ramps in the project area will meet accessibility standards and include truncated dome detectable warning fields when the project is complete.

- Cross slope* 2.5%
- Super-elevation*

Sta 10+22 to 30+47 Urban Section – none

- Sta 30+37 to 64+88 Rural Section none (Curve 33+69 to 36+06, R=1350' /SE not required for design speed)
- Horizontal clearance*

Sta 10+22 to 30+47 Urban Section - 8' + 2.5' curb & gutter (existing curb line to be maintained) Sta 30+37 to 64+88 Rural Section - 6' minimum shoulder (3' paved + 3' aggregate)

- Vertical clearance* No vertical obstructions or constraints to be addressed
- Pavement structure

Sta 10+22 to 30+47 Urban Section – 5" HMA Pavement on 5" Bituminous Base Course Stabilization Sta 30+37 to 64+88 Rural Section – 5" HMA Pavement on 6" Bituminous Base Course Stabilization

- Clear zone 16' on foreslope
- Side-slope/Ditch sections existing 4:1 fore-slope is to be maintained
 *Controlling Criteria for Design Speed ≥ 50 mph

5.6 Street Lighting Improvements – N/A to Project

Location	Туре	Break-away Requirements
none		

5.7 Structure Improvements Information – N/A to Project

5.7.1 Bridge Structures – N/A to Project

Structure I.D. #	Location	Structure Type	Length	Clear Width*	No. of Spans	Vertical Clearance*	Horizontal Clearance*
None							
	Proposed	Improvement:					

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

Comments: None

5.7.2 Box Culverts and Multiple Pipe Structures – N/A to Project

Structure I.D. #	Location	Туре	Length	No. Pipes
None				
	Proposed Improvement:			

Comments: None

5.7.3 Retaining Walls and Noise Barrier Structures – N/A to Project

Structure I.D. #	Location	Туре	Length	Height
None				
		Proposed Improveme	nt:	

Comments: None

5.7.4 Sign Bridge Structures – N/A to Project

Structure I.D. #	Location	Туре	Length	Clear Roadway Width	Vertical Clearance*	Horizontal Clearance*	Clear Zone Under
None							
	Proposed Improvement:						

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

Comments: None

5.7.5 Tunnel Structures - N/A to Project

Structure I.D.	Location	Type (Veh., Ped., Bicycle, etc.)	Length	Lighting Type	Vertical Clearance*	Horizontal Clearance*	
None							
	Safety Features			Coordination with Local Emergency Responders			
			Proposed	Improvement:			

* Controlling Criteria for Design Speed \geq 50 mph

Comments: None

5.7.6 Touchdown Points on Local Bridge Program Projects - N/A to Project

None

5.8 Permanent Traffic Control

Will permanent signs be installed (Yes or No)? Yes

Are non-standard sign layout details needed (Yes or No)? No

<u>Comments:</u> Permanent detour signs will be installed as part of the construction process and removed at project completion. See Transportation Management Plan (TMP) in attachment D.

5.9 Safety Enhancements/Mitigation Measures

Accessibility Concerns:

Presently curb ramps provided for pedestrian crossings at the Church St, June St, Swetting St and S. Johnson St intersections do not meet current accessibility standards.

The existing ramps will be removed and replaced to current accessibility standards including the installation of detectable warning fields per WisDOT standards.

5.10 Real Estate – N/A to Project

No Land or Easement Acquisition is required for this Project

5.10.1 Real Estate Acquisition – N/A to Project

Plat I.D.:

Relocations			Democrat	-	0 - materia di an
Туре	Number	Land (Acres)	Permanent Easements	Temporary Easements	Construction Permits
None					

Comments: None

5.11 Utilities - N/A to Project

Is Project Trans 220 Utility Project (Yes or No)? No

Describe any special design features to accommodate utilities:

None Required

Major Utility Agreements:

None Required

Comments: None

5.12 Railroads – N/A to Project

Describe improvements to Railroad Facilities:

No Railroad in Project

Railroad Agreements:

N/A

Comments: None

5.13 Financing and Scheduling

		Ту	pe of Fun	ding			Incentive/
Construction I.D.	Cost Estimate	% Fed.	% State	% Local	Proposed Timeframe for Construction	Ties to Other Work or Projects	Disincentive Clauses (Yes or No)
6995-09-71	\$1,025,900	80	0	20	2020	No	No
	With E&C						

Describe Incentive/Disincentive Clauses:

None

Non-participating Work:

None

Deferred Construction Work (Preventative Maintenance projects):

N/A

5.14 Unique or Non-Standard Features

5.14.1 Hazardous Waste

The Project Phase 1 Hazardous Materials Assessment Report indicates that a search of DATCP and WDNR hazardous materials inventory databases shows 5 sites along the project corridor which are either closed LUST or ERP locations. As construction disturbance is generally limited to the existing roadway base course and where spot sections of curbing are to be replaced, disturbance of groundwater, contaminated soils, or hazardous materials adjacent to the identified sites is not foreseen.

5.14.2 Environmental Commitments

Environmental Factor	Commitment (If none, include 'No special or supplemental commitments required.')	
General Economics	No special or supplemental conditions required	

Business	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Agriculture	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Community or Residential	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Indirect Effects	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Cumulative Effects	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Environmental Justice	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Historic Resources	No Historic Resources or Archaeological Sites affected – Phil Salkin, Archaeological Consulting Services letter dated 8/20/18 (Submitted with Section 106 Materials)				
Archaeological/Burial Sites	No Historic Resources or Archaeological Sites affected – Phil Salkin, Archaeological Consulting Services letter dated 8/20/18 (Submitted with Section 106 Materials)				
Tribal Coordination/Consultation	Tribal Consultation Letters prepared by KEG and sent by Dennis Mack Cedar Corp dated August 1, 2018				
Section 4(f) and 6(f) or Other Unique Areas	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Aesthetics	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Wetlands	No special or supplemental conditions required – Finding of no impacts is documented in letter by Jay Schiefelbein, WDNR NE Region Environmental Analysis & Review Specialist dated August 2, 2018. (See Appendix E)				
Rivers, Streams and Floodplains	No special or supplemental conditions required – Finding of no impacts is documented in letter by Jay Schiefelbein, WDNR NE Region Environmental Analysis & Review Specialist dated August 2, 2018. (See Appendix E)				
Lakes or other Open Water	No special or supplemental conditions required – Finding of no impacts is documented in letter by Jay Schiefelbein, WDNR NE Region Environmental Analysis & Review Specialist dated August 2, 2018. (See Appendix E)				
Groundwater, Wells and Springs	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Upland Wildlife and Habitat	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Coastal Zones	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Threatened and Endangered Species	No special or supplemental conditions required – Finding of no impacts is documented in letter by Jay Schiefelbein, WDNR NE Region Environmental Analysis & Review Specialist dated August 2, 2018. (See Appendix E)				
Air Quality	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Construction Stage Sound Quality	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Traffic Noise	No special or supplemental conditions required				
Hazardous Substances or Contamination	No special or supplemental conditions required – Phase 1 Hazmat Report by Basil Orechwa, Kunkel Engineering Group reported that disturbance of groundwater, contaminated soils, or hazardous materials on identified sites adjacent to the project is not foreseen.				

Storm Water	No special or supplemental conditions required – No WPDES Construction Site Storm Water General Permit coverage is required: Project area has less than 1 acre of soil disturbance.
	Project plans show required erosion control measures that shall be implemented by the project contractor. These include as pay items inlet protection for the City of Berlin storm sewer system in the urban area of the project and sections of silt fence adjacent to inventoried wetlands adjacent to the project limits. All project erosion control shall be in place prior to construction and maintained through final stabilization.
Erosion Control	Per requirements outlined in letter by Jay Schiefelbein, WDNR NE Region Environmental Analysis & Review Specialist dated August 2, 2018:
	Once the project contract has been awarded, the contractor will be required to outline their construction methods in the ECIP. An adequate ECIP for the project must be developed by the contractor and submitted to this office for review at least 14 days prior to the preconstruction conference. For projects regulated under the TCGP, submit the ECIP as an amendment to the ECP.
Other	No special or supplemental conditions required

5.14.3 Public Involvement

A public involvement meeting was held on August 8, 2019 at the Berlin City Hall Council Chambers in Berlin, WI. The goal of the meeting was to educate and involve the public as well as city officials and stakeholders in the decisions being made throughout the design process. Notification letters were sent out by the City of Berlin and the meeting was attended by a total of 9 people. Primary concerns that were brought to attention and discussed throughout the meeting were how semi-truck access will be maintained for local business on Industrial Park Road. Construction will have to be staged so that intersections are not blocked to the industrial park during construction. Other topics that were discussed included whether or not there would be any ditch grading as part of the project, if the asphalt pavement would be installed thicker at the June Street intersection, and a question about a traffic view obstruction from the dumpsters at a local gas station which the City of Berlin offered to address.

5.14.4 Value Engineering – N/A to Project

6.0 Synopsis

Reports, Documents and Coordination	Completion/ Approval Dates (xx/xx/xxxx)	Status of Coordination or Other Information as Needed
Concept Definition Report (CDR)		N/A to Project
Safety Certification Document (SCD)		N/A to Project
Bridge or Structure Certification Documentation (BOSCD) (if needed)		N/A to Project
Risk Assessment (RA) (if needed)		N/A to Project
Signed Pavement Design Report (PDR)	06/10/2019	
Public Involvement Plan (PIP)	06/10/2019	
Structure Survey Report (SSR) (if needed)		N/A to Project
Public Information Meeting(s) (PIM(s))	08/8/2019	
Signed State Municipal Agreement(s) (SMA(s)) (if needed)	05/15/2019	Revised SMA
Final Scope Certification (FSC)		N/A to Project
SHPO Coordination Acceptance (Section 106, etc.) (SHPO)	06/13/2019	Submitted to WisDOT with Consultant Letter
DNR Coordination Acceptance (401 Cert., etc.) (DNR)	08/02/2018	WDNR review letter, J. Schiefebein
Preliminary Plan Review Complete (PPRC)	06/07/2019	Submitted to WisDOT
Preliminary Structure Plan Review Complete (PSPRC) (if needed)		N/A to Project
Signed Environmental Document (ED) (Type: PCE)	09/11/2019	
Transportation Management Plan (TMP(s)) (Type: 2)	10/14/19	
Freight/OSOW Accommodations Coordination (FOAC)		N/A to Project
Roadside Hazard Analysis Sheet (RHA) (if needed)		N/A to Project
Drainage Design Report (DDR) (if needed)		N/A to Project
Status of Statutory Actions (if needed)		N/A to Project

Comments:

7.0 Attachments

- A Project Location/Overview Map
- B Existing Typical Cross Section(s)/ Finished/Proposed Typical Cross Section(s)
- C Preliminary Plan Sheet(s)
- D Traffic Forecast Report
- E Transportation Management Plan (TMP)

Attachment A

Project Location/Overview Map

Project ID 6995-09-01, 71 C Berlin, Ripon Road South Church Street to City Limits Local Street Green Lake County



Attachment B

Existing Typical Cross Section(s)/ Finished/Proposed Typical Cross Section(s)



2

		SHEET	
PLOT SCALE :	1 IN:10 FT		

WISDOT/CADDS SHEET 42

Ε



TOPSOIL, SEEDING, AND MULCH LIMITS VARY

INCLUDE 3" NEW BASE COURSE AND 2" OF EXISTING BASE COURSE

- EXISTING 30" PCC CURB AND GUTTER TO REMAIN (SPOT PATCHING UNDISTRIBUTED QUANTITIY)

Ε SHEET PLOT SCALE : 1 IN:10 FT WISDOT/CADDS SHEET 42

2

Attachment C

Preliminary Plan Sheet(s)



















	TALL GRAVEL DRIVE	WAY R	
	R/W 	# ~ g BM	+
P STM. <u>ASPH.</u> 33		PC 33+69.06	
W	W	H I I W ALO	
R/W BENCH MARKS DESCRIPTION PIKE IN UTILITY POLE	ELEV. 762.42		5
	VCL = 100.00 K = 289.39		764
			762
VPC STA 32+65.00 VPC EL 761.54	PI 5TA 33+15.00 VPI EL 761.90	VPT 5TA 33+65.00 VPT EL 762.43	760
VPC ST		VPT ST.	758
			756
			754
.59 61 83 81	.03		752 750
761.59 761.61 761.83 761.83		762.27 762.28 762.56 762.56	
33+0	0	33+50 SHEET	E
PLOT SCALE :	1 IN:40 FT	VISDOT/CADDS	








		\		×	÷					ELI 821.
		MATCH LINE STA. 58400			G			57		
5					×				× / ·	5.14
	836 834			2.08%					VPT EL 833.06	VPT STA 56+75
	832									00
	828 826 824									
	822	835.14	834.97	834.62	834.41	834.09	834.01	833.57	833.05	833.06
		WISDOT/CADI		⊧50 HEET		T	1 IN:40 F	57+0	PLOT SC	





	854					
	852					
	850	 				
	848					
	846					
-	844					
	842	 				
_	XUI					
	042					
	072					
1	840					
1						
•						
		+50	69-	+00	694	
		+50 HEET			694	

Attachment D

Traffic Forecast Report



Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Daily % Class Distribution for 04/07/2014 through 04/09/2014 (48 hours)

Site Names:	240473, , NC
County:	Green Lake
Funct. Class:	U Minor Arterial
Location:	CTH F RIPON RD NORTH OF QUARRY ST BERLIN

	Roadway	Neg DIR	Pos DIR
MC	1.05	1.00	1.09
CAR	49.99	52.35	48.31
PU	23.79	30.49	19.02
BUS	3.59	2.77	4.18
2D	18.00	8.34	24.89
SU 3	0.75	1.04	0.54
SU 4+	0.05	0.08	0.03
ST 4-	2.00	2.37	1.74
ST 5	0.77	1.56	0.20
ST 6 +	0.00	0.00	0.00
MT 5-	0.00	0.00	0.00
MT 6	0.00	0.00	0.00
MT 7+	0.00	0.00	0.00
Trucks	25.16	16.17	31.58
Combo Trucks	2.77	3.93	1.95
Classified	100.00	100.00	100.00
Volume	5,989	2,493	3,496

Seasonal Factor Group:	2
Daily Factor Group:	2
Axle Factor Group:	6
Growth Factor Group:	NA

Attachment E

Transportation Management Plan (TMP)

This is a request for approval of the Transportation Management Plan (TMP) for the project detailed below. Impacts resulting from project activities meet the current work zone policies of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation.

Section 1A - Project Information:

ТтрТуре:	1
Region:	NC
Local Program:	Yes
Local Program Type:	Roadway - Full Closure Detour
Created Comment:	Roadway closed to through traffic with detour and single lane access to local tra
Federal Oversight:	No
Design ID:	6995-09-01
Project Title:	C BERLIN, RIPON ROAD
County:	GREEN LAKE
Highway:	Other - Local Road
Construction Year:	2020
Mainline AADT:	3000
Crossroad AADT:	
Construction ID(s):	6995-09-71
Project Type:	PAVEMENT REPLACEMENT
Project Limits:	SOUTH CHURCH STREET TO CITY LIMITS
Project Length:	1.04
Project Duration:	150
Engineer's Estimate:	less than \$1 Million
PS&E Date:	2019-11-01 00:00:00.0
LET Date:	2020-03-10 00:00:00.0
NHS Route:	No

Section 1B - Project Impacts:

Anticipated Begin:	2020-04-01
Anticipated End:	2020-11-01
OSOW Route:	No
OSOW Type:	

Section 1C - Location:

Begin County:	GREEN LAKE
End County:	GREEN LAKE
Roadway Name:	Ripon Road
Begin Landmark (LR):	South Church Street
End Landmark (LR):	City Limits

Section 2-Project Description

Brief description of work activities:

Mill existing 5" asphalt pavement, install bituminous base stabilization, 5" to 6" depth, and resurface with 5" HMA Pavment. Reshape shoulders to finish roadway in rural area of project. Upgrade existing sidewalk ramps to meet current accessibility standards.

Section 3

Within the project limits are there:

Pedestrians:	Yes
Bicyclists:	Yes
Transit Service:	No
Railroads:	No
Airports:	No
Commercial waterway:	No
Controlled intersections:	No
Dynamic message boards:	No

What are the current traffic conditions	s:
Posted speed(mph):	35
Normal travel time(min):	
Current capacity(vphpl):	
Truck %:	25
Queueing present:	No
Queueing when:	

Section 4

List of chosen strategies:

Strategy	Justification/Comment	Cost
Flagging Operation/One-lane, Two-Way Operation		\$0
Detour Route		\$0

Cost of chosen strategies (sum of strategy costs): \$0

Section 5

Describe how access to traffic generators (businesses, schools, etc.) and everyday services will be maintained:

Describe how impacts to bicycle riders will be mitigated/coordinated:

Are there anticipated traffic impacts from the proposed project on other road/routes in the region/corridor?

Does the project affect other regions/states?

List holidays or major special events that occur during the project:

Holiday/Special Event	Begin Date	End Date
-----------------------	------------	----------

How will traffic disruptions be minimized during listed events and holidays?

Section 6 - Traffic Analysis

Section 6+ - Traffic Analysis

What is the anticipated travel delay during the project for each impacted roadway?

#	Location Description	WZ Capacity (vphpl)	Delay (min)	Queue (min)	Delay Cause
---	----------------------	---------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

How was the work zone capacity determined?

Section 6+ - Detour Route

Detour Information

	Detour Route	Normal Travel Time (min)	Detour Travel Time (min)	Detour Distance (mi)
--	--------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------

Section 7 - Public Information Strategies

Choose strategies that will be used to mitigate the impacts to the public:

omments

Section 8 - Incident Management Strategies

List of chosen strategies:

Strategy	Comments	Cost			
Cost of chosen strategies (sum of strategy costs): \$					

Section 9 - Staging Plans

Briefly describe the staging planned for maintaining traffic:

The intent is for the roadway to be open to local traffic and detoured for thru traffic. Access to local traffic will be maintained by flagging operations and construction signs. A minimum one lane of traffic will remain open during all working hours and 2 lanes of traffic shall be open during all non-working hours.

Describe how pedestrians will be accommodated during construction:

Sidewalks paralleling the project will remain open with intermittent closures for improvements to accessibly ramps at intersections

Vehicle Size Restrictions:



Attachments:

Attachments for TMP ID 7209 are listed below:

[F] Section_3

[f] 18_03_12_TFReport_CTH F_69950901.pdf

[F] Section_7

[F] Section_2

[f] Memo-Traffic Impact Analysis.doc

* [F] represents folder and [f] represents file.