

# **OUTSTANDING HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION AWARDS**

For Contracts ≤ \$25 M

# **CONCRETE PAVING CATEGORY**

# **General Project Information:**

ID(s):	4650-08-71
Title:	City of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street
	Lawe Street – IH 41
	STH 55
County:	Outagamie
Region:	Northeast

(as shown on the Title Sheet of the plan)

Contractor Representatives:

	Prime Contractor	Concrete Paving Contractor*
Representing	Vinton Construction Company,	
	Inc.	
Name	Josh Brzezinski	
Phone/Cell Phone	(920) 973-8946	
Email	jbrzezinski@vintonwis.com	
Mailing Address	P.O. Box 137	
	1322 33rd Street,	
	Two Rivers, WI 54241	

\*(only if different from the Prime Contractor)

Construction Oversight Staff:

	Project	LPMC Project	Project	Project
	Engineer*	Manager**	Manager	Supervisor
Representing	WisDOT		WisDOT	WisDOT
Name	Jesse Hansen		Tim Rank	Chuck Karow
Phone/Cell	(920) 492-5630		(920) 360-2579	(920) 492-5997
Phone	(920) 362-6095			
Email	Jesse.hansen@		Tim.Rank@dot.	Charles.Karow@
	dot.wi.gov		wi.gov	dot.wi.gov
Mailing	944		944	944
Address	Vanderperren		Vanderperren	Vanderperren
	Way		Way	Way

2018

Green Bay, WIGreen Bay, WIGreen Bay, WI543045430454304
--

\*(indicated firm if consultant) \*\*(if applicable)

# **Project Description:**

Summarize the overall scope of the project in 300 words. Highlighting attributes that explain why this project should be selected for an Outstanding Highway Construction Award for Concrete Paving.

The reconstruction of STH 55 was needed to replace deteriorating pavement, accommodate existing and future traffic volumes, and address roadway safety needs on STH 55 (Delanglade Street) from Lawe Street through the IH 41 interchange in the City of Kaukauna.

The existing pavement on STH 55 was showing signs of distress and in need of replacement. The old two lane rural cross-section from County OO to IH 41 was out of character with the surrounding urban land uses and recent business, residential, and industrial growth has significantly increased traffic volumes on this section of STH 55. In addition, the intersections in the vicinity of the IH 41 interchange and CTH OO experience extensive delay during peak traffic hours.

The reconstruction of STH 55 would be a good selection for an Outstanding Construction Award because of the exceptional product that was delivered by WisDOT and Vinton Construction Company. The project was completed in three stages including a 90-day closure of the IH 41 interchange. Work included 1.2 miles of 4-lane undivided urban reconstruction with the addition of 4 multilane roundabouts, a signalized intersection, parallel ramp connections to IH 41, both on and off-street pedestrian accommodations, and the reconstruction of two railroad crossings. Vinton completed all work while maintaining access to the commercial and industrial properties within the work zone which generate a significant volume of heavy truck traffic. Despite some challenges, including 20-inch snowfall 1 week prior to scheduled mainline paving, unanticipated 3' roadbed EBS, Vinton completed construction on time and under budget with exceptional quality.

# **Project Schedule:**

	Start Date		Completion Date (Open to Traffic)	
	Scheduled	Actual	Scheduled	Actual
Entire Project	3/19/18	3/19/18	10/27/18	10/26/18
Concrete Paving	4/24/18	4/30/18	10/2/18	10/13/18

If the contract included interim completion dates, were the dates met?  $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No  $\square$ N/A

What role did the concrete paving operations have in meeting, or not meeting, the interim completion dates or the project completion date?

The project included two interim completion dates. The construction of stage 2 including the signalized intersection at Lawe Street needed to be complete prior to the 2018/2019 school year and the construction of Maloney Rd and Gertrude Street including a multilane roundabout needed to be completed during a 40-day closure. Maintaining access to businesses required building these areas in stages. For example, the roundabout at Maloney/Gertrude was built in halves to maintain heavy truck access to an adjacent Kwik Trip store at all times. This proved challenging due to 3-4' grade differences between existing and proposed, but in the end Vinton proposed a staging change and eliminated one phase of traffic switches to complete the paving and meet the interim completion date.

Was the contractor effective in planning and scheduling the concrete paving work throughout the project? Were the construction schedules provided accurate? Describe any special efforts or practices that the concrete paving contractor made to ensure the project schedule was met?

Scheduling of paving operations played a crucial role during this project. The commercial and industrial properties within the work zone generated significant volumes of heavy truck traffic, and Vinton was required to accommodate this traffic through the work zone at all times. The special provisions outlined specific requirement for each driveway detailing width restrictions and times of allowed impacts. Overall construction operations stayed on schedule with a reasonable amount of float between construction activities. Vinton communicated extensively with the businesses within the project limits to reduce the number of pavement gaps to both reduce time constraints and provide a superior ride quality.

## **Project Budget:**

Original Contract Amount	\$9,595,835.97
<u>4</u> Contract Modifications	-\$12,206.71
Final Contract Amount	\$9,583,629.26

Discuss significant changes to the contract that resulted in Contract Modifications.

Most changes to the contract were fairly minor. The contract modifications included the elimination of electrical components in the ramp gates, added miscellaneous storm and sanitary sewer items, added water main items including watermain pavement trench repairs and a credit of non-conforming wall block material.

## **Project Complexity:**

Project Attributes		
Project Length (mi)	1.157	
Work Zone Traffic Volume	200+VPD (High Volume of	
	Heavy Trucks)	
Project Geometry:		
Urban/Rural	Urban	
Number of Lanes	4	
Divided/Undivided	Undivided	
Number of Intersections	7	
Number of Interchanges	1	
Number of Bridge Approaches	0	
Number of Railroad Crossings	2	
Number of Utility Manholes	35	
Number of Driveways	38	
Number of Businesses	21	

Briefly discuss complexity of the traffic control and staging. Include the impacts the traffic control/staging had on the contractors paving operations. (Open to traffic, Staged construction, Closed Road, maintaining local access, restricted work hour, special events, etc.)

As previously mentioned, commercial and industrial properties within the work zone generated significant volumes of heavy truck traffic. Heavy vehicle traffic data from prior to construction showed multiple businesses receiving 40+ heavy vehicle trips per day. In addition, STH 55 was the only truck route to access a Kwik Trip store located at the Maloney/Gertrude intersection requiring north to south access thru a large portion of the project at all times. Vinton worked with businesses on and around the project to find alternative access like utilizing temporary driveways off sideroads to reduce the amount of traffic within the project limits. In addition, Vinton communicated the project schedule, in great detail, to businesses so they could plan deliveries accordingly or at times stage trucks and trailers off site to allow construction activities to proceed unimpeded.

## **Innovation: Cost Savings and Efficiency Improvements**

Describe innovative cost reduction measures that were implemented concerning concrete paving on this project and the resulting benefits. For example: incentives/disincentives, use of recycled materials, modifications in staging, Cost Reduction Incentives (CRI), partnering, etc.)

The project required staged construction of the multilane roundabout the Maloney / Gertrude intersection to accommodate truck access to a Kwik Trip store. To complete construction of this stage in a 40-day time frame the plan provided HES pavement to reduce cure time. Vinton Construction modified the staging plan to eliminate one phase of the staged construction and in the process saved enough time to eliminate the need of HES pavement. In the end Vinton's modification was both a cost savings to the department and an improvement in the quality and durability of pavement provided.

Describe any modifications to the concrete paving equipment, materials or the means and methods used by the contractor. Explain the affect that the modifications had on the project quality, safety, budget, or contractor's efficiency.

STH 55 is an OSOW route and the 4 multilane roundabouts were designed to accommodate permitted loads generated by the adjacent businesses in the Kaukauna Industrial park. For this reason, the geometrics of the roundabout approaches included a lot of varying width pavement. To limit hand pours in the entrance and exits to the multilane roundabouts Vinton paved over forms in variable width areas which at times exceeded lengths of 300'. By doing this Vinton saved time by eliminating hand pour phases, provided a more consistent product, and achieved a higher ride quality.

## **Pavement Type:**

□Jointed Plain	⊠Jointed Reinforced	□Continuously Reinforced
Pavement Thickness (in	nches): <u>8-9.5</u>	
Joint Spacing (feet):	15	
Were joints doweled?	⊠Yes □No	

## **Ride Quality:**

Was the ride quality measured using a:

Non-Contact Profiler:  $\square$ Yes  $\square$ No

Rolling Straight Edge: □Yes ⊠No

Please provide the following information for Ride Quality specification projects:

The Average Profile Index for the project: \_\_\_\_\_<u>103</u> inches/mile\_

Pavement Areas Requiring Corrective Action:

Localized Roughness: Number of locations having high points with deviations in excess of 0.4 inches in 25 feet: \_\_\_\_\_30\_\_\_\_

Excessive Segment IRI: Number of 500 ft sections requiring diamond grinding to reduce the initial profile index to 140 in/mi or less: \_\_\_0\_\_\_

Number of locations pavement was removed and replaced: \_\_\_\_0\_\_\_\_

Number of sq. yds. of pavement removed and replaced: <u>0 SY</u>

Ride Quality Pay Adjustment (Subsection 440.5.2 of the Standard Specifications)

Area of pavement eligible to receive a bonus/penalty: <u>0 SY</u>

Area of pavement receiving bonus	<u>0 SY</u>
----------------------------------	-------------

Average price adjustment: <u>0 % of contract unit price</u>

Area of pavement receiving penalty: <u>0\_SY</u>

Average price adjustment: <u>0 % of contract unit price</u>

Describe the pavement transition(s) to structures, structure approaches, RR Tracks or other obstacles. Was the method of evaluation defined in the Quality Control Plan? How smooth were the transitions? Was there localized roughness at the transitions?

The project included two railroad crossings and both crossings included asphaltic pavement transitions. The resulting PCC pavement segments near both crossings was shorter than the minimum length for profiling. To insure a smooth ride across the railroad the mainline PCC pavement was checked with a string line and adjusted accordingly. Vinton was willing to make any adjustments necessary to provide the best ride possible.

Describe ride quality in areas that are not required to be tested such as Roundabout Ramps Tapers, Acceleration and Deceleration Lanes.

As stated above the 4 multilane roundabouts had long entrance and exit tapers resulting in long stretches of pavement that were not required to be tested for ride quality. In addition to these areas, there was also 4 ramps and multiple short pavement sections on Maloney Rd, Gertrude St, Badger Ave, CTH OO, Lawe St, and Plank Rd. Overall the ride quality in non-profilograph areas was excellent. Entrances and exits to roundabouts ride smoothly with nice roll overs crossing the crowns. Also, as stated above, the ride quality was improved in some of these areas by Vinton's decision to utilize the paving machine over forms limiting the amount of hand work.

What were the key factors in achieving a smooth concrete pavement? (e.g. exceptional subbase quality, equipment, uniformity of mix, personnel, incentives, cooperation)

Much of the ride quality can be attributed to the attention to detail Vinton displayed on this project. Whether it was uniformity on the trimming process, the high frequency of equipment checks during paving, the effort displayed by set up crews while placing forms, or the experienced finishers working behind the paver the attention to detail in all stages of work resulted in a quality final product.

# **Quality Control:**

	Contract Required	Average Achieved
Thickness (inches)	8	8.34
	9	9.35
	9.5	9.80
Air Content (%)	6 +/- 1.5	6.00
	7 +/- 1.5	7.05

 Number of Corrective Grinds/Lane Mile:
 0

 Number of Random Cracks:
 9

Describe the procedures used to ensure quality and workability of the concrete pavement (e.g. frequency of test, special admixtures, mix design or other measures).

The contractor maintained a consistent concrete mix by closely monitoring the mix properties from production all the way to placement. Aggregate moisture along with concrete air content was checked throughout the day during production. Delivery and discharge times were monitored to ensure they were not only within specification, but consistent, so workability would not be affected. The contractor had QC personnel on-site at all times to monitor mix characteristics and performed many process control tests in addition to the required QC tests. This allowed the contractor to stay ahead

of any changes to the mix and make corrections before any mix properties trended into the warning limits.

Discuss the contractor's Quality Management Program the concrete pavement aggregate.

The contractor's quality management program was detailed and well organized. Aggregate testing for P-200 and moisture content was performed by Bay Area Testing. Gradation for concrete aggregates was performed during aggregate production. Review of test results showed both consistency and conformance with the plan specifications.

Discuss the contractor's Quality Management Program the placement of the concrete pavement.

Vinton Construction Company performed their own quality control testing for air content and strength. Vinton provided on-site QC personnel that were both professional and very experienced in the QMP process. During placement, the on-site paving foreman communicated closely with the on-site QC personnel to ensure the mix remained consistent and within project specifications.

Did moving averages for air content or aggregate gradations exceed the warning limits?

The moving averages for air content and aggregate gradations never exceeded the warning limits. Air content was tested at frequencies above that required by specification to ensure consistency. Aggregate gradations during production were monitored closely and showed consistent results that stayed within the warning limits.

Did individual tests for air content or aggregate gradation exceed contract limits?

One individual test for air content was above the specification limit for slip form pavement. Vinton made cylinders to evaluate the strength of the material that was out of specification. The affected material was found to have a 28-day strength above the specification limit for strength, so no material credit was assessed.

How well did the control testing and assurance testing agree?

The control and assurance testing showed good correlation and supported the overall trend of the use of quality material that was well within project specifications.

Was there any unacceptable concrete pavement that was subject to Section 106.5 Nonconforming Materials of the Standard Specifications?  $\Box$ Yes  $\boxtimes$ No If yes,

Amount accepted at Reduced Price:	SY
Payment Factor(s):	%
Amount removed and replaced:	SY

Was there any thickness deficient concrete pavement?  $\Box$  Yes  $\boxtimes$  No If yes,

Amount accepted at Reduced Price:	SY
Payment Factor(s):	%
Amount removed and replaced:	SY

Discuss the cooperation form the contractor's material representative throughout the project. Were all required material submittals/documentation submitted in a timely manner so they could be reviewed and approved prior to installation? Were Buy America Certifications provided in a timely manner?

The contractors dedicated material representative was always available and willing to communicate material documentation needs or concerns with subcontractors. Required documentation was submitted in a timely manner for a majority of items and when documentation was requested by the department the contractor's representative contacted involved parties and coordinated resolution of issues as needed.

#### **Contractor Performance:**

Describe the contractor's outstanding performance in completing the concrete paving operations. Include significant challenges and the contractor's role in resolving these challenges.

This project included multiple challenges like staged construction, complex geometrics, multiple railroad crossings, an expedited work schedule, and high volumes of heavy truck traffic through the work zone. The truck traffic was a challenge that effected all aspects of the construction process and required extensive coordination and planning. During placement of storm sewer, pipe runs were placed in halves to maintain access. Grading operations including 4' cuts required daily changes to access points as crews worked through industrial business driveway. Paving operations required extensive coordination as the special provisions detailed specific time and size requirement for the closure of each individual business driveway. Despite all challenges Vinton showed above average attention to detail and a pride in their work that resulted in an exceptional finished product.

Describe the contractor's involvement with additional stakeholders such as community members, business owners, municipal utilities, private utilities, and contractors to ensure successful concrete paving outcomes for the project. Attach letters of commendation from any of these groups, as appropriate.

Communication was a vital factor in the successful completion of this project. Through WisDOT staff, Vinton communicated daily with businesses located in and around the project limits. The accuracy of the schedule and clear descriptions of construction activities was crucial as businesses scheduled their truck trips accordingly. A few comments from local businesses include:

"We were just commenting the other day about what a wonderful job you did communicating and accommodating our trucks. It was very important to us and your hard work did not go unnoticed. Thank you very much!!!" - Eric Van Handel, V&S Midwest Carriers Corp.

"Your efforts of communications with affected businesses I thought was efficient and appreciated. The emails and the maps were really beneficial. Not only did it help our own personnel, it help coordinate truck shipments coming in." - Brett DuChateau, CR Meyer

"I think Josh (Vinton) and yourself did a real good job. I had very few concerns come my way from any of our employees (they aren't shy about voicing their opinion). And when I did bring an issue to your attention it was addressed in an efficient and effective manner. Your map snippets with the red, yellow and green sections were great. I used them more than once to help vendors know how to get here. I also know the last couple of months the weather has been awful for construction and I know how much effort it took to stay on schedule. The whole crew should receive a big "attaboy" for that. Thank you." – Jason Sturn, Team Industries, Inc.

Please attach the Report of Contractor's Performance evaluations for both the prime contractor and the subcontractor.

## **Construction and Project Complete Photos:**

Photos may be inserted into the above write-ups, to better illustrate the issue being discussed, or attached as an exhibit to the award submittal.

As part of the submittal include five (5) JPG images that highlight the achievements of the construction project.

## **List of Exhibits**

Exhibit A: Title Sheet (8.5" X 11")

Exhibit B: List of Contract Modifications (Summary from Project Tracking)

Exhibit C: Report of Contractors Performance (both Prime and Subcontractor)

Exhibit D: Construction Photos

Exhibit E: Completed Project Photos

# **Contact Information:**

Contact person for any questions or requests for additional information.

## **Award Recipient:**

Project Engineer: Jesse Hansen Project Manager (MCLP): *(if applicable)* Project Manager: Tim Rank Project Supervisor: Chuck Karow Prime Contractor: Josh Brzezinski Subcontractor: *(if applicable)* 

# EXHIBIT A TITLE SHEET

#### GRE DECEMBER 2017

# PROJECT ID: 4650-08-7

1	Titlo
2	Typical Sections and Details (Includes Erosion Control)
3	Estimote of Quantities
3	Miscallaneous Quantities
4	Right of Way Plat
5	Plan and Profile (Includes Erosion Control)
6	Standard Detail Drawings
7	Sign Plates CITY (
8	Structure Plans
9	Computer Earthwork Data
9	Cross Sections
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

#### TOTAL SHEETS = 770

ORDER OF SHEETS

# STATE OF WISCONSIN

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

PLAN OF PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT

# CITY OF KAUKAUNA, DELANGLADE STREET

LAWE STREET - IH 41

# **STH 55**

# **OUTAGAMIE COUNTY**



FILE NAME ; F:\TR\JOBS\E1859A08\CIVIL 3D 2012\SHEETSPLAN\46500871\_010101\_TI.DWG



,			it:	
	STATE PROJECT	FEDERAL PROJEC		
		PROJECT	CONTRACT	
	4650-08-71	WISC 2017623	1	
			Í	
		09-71		
T/	PROJECT 4650-	00-11		
		ORIGINAL PLANS PREPARED BY		
		URIGINAL PLANS PREPARED BI		
		I (D)MNI		
		WITH ARRIGATES		
	<u>2 N</u>	WHIN SCONS AND	1	
21	LN			
		MICHAELA	A	
		E-30025	U.S. I.	
		Appleton,	7	
		WI WI WI W	<b>F</b>	
		"ANONAL WINN		
		7/18/17		
	R-44-0025	//////		
		STATE OF WISCONSIN		
		DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPOR		
		PREPARED BY	610	
		SurveyorJT ENGINEERING, DesignerOMNNI ASSOCIATE:		
		Project ManagarTIM RANK		
		Regional Examiner		
		Regional SupervisorCHARLES KAR		
		APPROVED FOR THE DEPARTMENT		
	N ARE REFERENCED TO RDINATE SYSTEM (WCCS),	DATE: 7/18/17 (Signature)	<u> </u>	
1983	(1991 ADJUSTMENT)		E	



PROJECT NO: 4650-08-71	HWY: STH 55	COUNTY: OUTAGAMIE	PROJECT	OVERVIEW	AND INVASI	/E SPECIES
FILE NAME : F:\TR\JOBS\E1859A08\CIVIL 3D 2012\SHEETSPLAN\465	00871_020201_P0.DWG	PLOT DATE : 7/25/2017 11:20	AM PLO	F BY : OMNNI ASS	OCIATES, INC - AND	REW WESTBROOK

# EXHIBIT B CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS

		Cor	ntract Modif	ications for	Contract 20	171212001
Cmod#	CM Date	Field Manager Approved Date	Amount	Percent of Award Amt		Short Description
001	07/16/18	09/06/2018	\$9,911.06	0.10%	Approved	Add Reconstruct Manhole 24, Storm Sewer Pipe and fittings, Relocate Endwall, Revise Grade 2
002	07/16/18	09/06/2018	\$22,117.05	0.23%	Approved	Pavement Repair for City Water Main Trench, Manhole reconstruction Category
003	07/16/18		(\$44,234.82)	-0.46%	Draft	Modify method of measurement; adjust quantity, add misc items, nonconforming material cre
004	11/06/18	11/12/2018	\$0.00	0.00%	Approved	Contractor Approval - N/A for Tree, Shrubs, and Perennials Payment Processing

# EXHIBIT C REPORT OF CONTRACTORS PERFORMANCE



# **Report of Contractor's Performance**

#### Contract: 20171212001, City Kaukauna, Delanglade St; Lawe St - USH 41

<b>Report Da</b> March 19, 2		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE	
Contractor Compl	etion Da	te	e Road Name				<b>County</b> Outagamie	
November 02,			Delanglade Street			Highway STH 55		
<b>Contract Amount</b> \$9,627,864.08	Amo	unt Subcontracte \$281,489						
Type of Construction Lighting and signals	Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	~	DBE VBE	
Ente	ered By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.	
JJH, Jes	se J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/6/2018 2	2:18 PM	1	
Performance Factor (Whole Number) Importance Fa	ctor	(average) to 0 (totally in	nadequa	contractor's (subcontractor's) pe ate) to establish a 'Performance oriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or	
	Rating							
7 x 0.30	2.1	to detail		struction methods, materials, st every productive and ha				
X 0.20	1.0	erosion/environmental,	timely o mplete	ed their work in a timely			rimes schedule	
X 0.15	0.8	Supervision Consider: a traffic control, extra wor Supervision of the	rk (c. c.		f work, control of work fo	orce/subcor	tractors, safety,	
X 0.15	0.6	frequency of complaints	s, credit uld wo	ce Consider: public relations, co bility, integrity, willingness to wor ork on willingness to wor ontractors.	rk out problems, coordina	ation with o	ther contractors	
				sider: size, competence, attitude	)			
6 X 0.10	0.6	Workforce is almo	ost alv	vays adequate				
X 0.10	0.7			ider: type, number, operating co ways or beyond adequat				
<b>Overall Rating</b> (Sum the above 6 rati	5.8 ngs)	District Comments						
X		L		Х				
	roject Engir	Construction Engineer S	Signature)					



	<b>Report Dat</b> June 01, 20 <sup>.</sup>		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE County
Contrac	tor Comple	tion Da	ite	e Road Name			Outagamie	
	ctober 24, 2				Delanglade Street			Highway STH 55
Contract		Amo	unt Subcontracte	contracted Prime Contractor or Sub Being Rated				oplicable)
\$9,627,	864.08		\$92,488			BRICKLINE INC.		
Type of Co Pavement N		Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	<u> </u>	DBE VBE
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/6/2018 3	3:21 PM	1
(Whole	nce Factor Number) portance Fac		(average) to 0 (totally in	nadeo	e contractor's (subcontractor's) pe quate) to establish a 'Performance ropriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or
		Rating						
5	X 0.30	1.5	to detail		onstruction methods, materials, st well and contractor paid a		arance, wor	kmansnip, attention
6	X 0.20	1.2	erosion/environmental,	time	Consider: schedule, prompt start, e ly completion lways on the primes sched			nely
6	X 0.15	0.9	traffic control, extra wor	rk (c.	ability, competence, coordination o c. o.) er contractor, traveling put			-
6	X 0.15	0.9	frequency of complaints	s, cre	ance Consider: public relations, c dibility, integrity, willingness to wo blic and leaving access			
			Adequacy of Work Ford	ce Co	onsider: size, competence, attitude	9		
5	X 0.10	0.5	Workers always h	ad g	good attitude			
5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Equipmen Adequate equipm		nsider: type, number, operating co	ndition, suitability		
	II Rating ne above 6 ratin	5.5 gs)	District Comments					
X					Х			
	(Pro	oject Engin	Construction Engineer S	Signature)				



# **Report of Contractor's Performance**

#### Contract: 20171212001, City Kaukauna, Delanglade St; Lawe St - USH 41

Se	<b>Report Dat</b> ptember 03,		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE	
Contra	ctor Comple	tion Da	ite	e Road Name				<b>County</b> Outagamie	
	october 19, 2			Delanglade Street				Highway STH 55	
Contract \$9,627	: <b>Amount</b> ,864.08	Amo	unt Subcontracte \$25,281	d		r <b>or Sub Being Ra</b> EY CONSTRUCTIO			
<b>Type of Co</b> Pavement		Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul> <li>Prime Contract</li> <li>Subcontractor</li> </ul>	-	DBE VBE	
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.	
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/6/2018 3	3:26 PM	2	
(Whole	ance Factor Number) portance Fac	tor	(average) to 0 (totally in	nade	e contractor's (subcontractor's) pe quate) to establish a 'Performance ropriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or	
	-	Rating	o vorain rating						
5	X 0.30	1.5	to detail	f Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention					
_5	X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progre erosion/environmental, Limited work but p	time		execution, maintenance o	f work site,		
_5	X 0.15	0.8	Supervision Consider: a traffic control, extra wor Limited work but p	rk (c.	,	of work, control of work fo	prce/subcon	tractors, safety,	
_5	X 0.15	0.8		s, cre	iance Consider: public relations, c edibility, integrity, willingness to wo ormed well				
5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Work Forc Limited work but v		onsider: size, competence, attitude prepared workers	3			
_5	X 0.10	0.5			nsider: type, number, operating co prepared equipment	ndition, suitability			
	all Rating the above 6 ratin	5.0 gs)	District Comments						
X			<u> </u>		Х				
	(Pro	oject Engin	eer Signature)	Construction Engineer S	Signature)				



# **Report of Contractor's Performance**

#### Contract: 20171212001, City Kaukauna, Delanglade St; Lawe St - USH 41

	<b>Report Dat</b> June 22, 20		4650-0	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE				
Contra	ctor Comple	etion Da	ite	e Road Name			<b>County</b> Outagamie					
	August 09, 20		Delanglade Street					Highway STH 55				
	Amount	Amo	unt Subcontracte	d		r or Sub Being Ra						
\$9,627	,864.08		\$10,583		ELASTI	ZELL OF WISCON	SIN INC.					
Type of Co abandonm		Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	<u> </u>	DBE VBE				
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.				
	JJH, Jess	se J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/6/2018 3	3:26 PM	1				
(Whole	ance Factor Number) portance Fac	tor	(average) to 0 (totally i	nade	e contractor's (subcontractor's) pe quate) to establish a 'Performance propriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or				
	-	Rating										
5	X 0.30	1.5	Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention to detail Limited work but preformed well									
			Prosecution and Progr	ess (	Consider: schedule, prompt start, є	execution, maintenance c	of work site,					
5	X 0.20	1.0	erosion/environmental, Limited work but									
5	X 0.15	0.8	Supervision Consider: traffic control, extra wo Limited work but	rk (c		of work, control of work fo	orce/subcon	tractors, safety,				
_5	X 0.15	0.8		s, cr	iance Consider: public relations, c edibility, integrity, willingness to wo formed well							
			Adequacy of Work For	ce C	onsider: size, competence, attitude	9						
5	X 0.10	0.5	Limited work but	well	prepared workers							
			Adequacy of Equipment Consider: type, number, operating condition, suitability									
5	X 0.10	0.5	Limited work but	Limited work but well prepared equipment								
Over	all Pating	5.0	District Comments									
	all Rating the above 6 ratin	ngs)										
X			L		Х							
	(Pro	oject Engir	Construction Engineer S	Signature)								



<b>Report Da</b> March 19, 2		4650-08	3-71 : City O		District NE					
Contractor Compl	etion Da	nte	Road Name			ł	County			
September 07,			Delanglade Street				<b>Highway</b> STH 55			
Contract Amount	Amo	unt Subcontracte			r or Sub Being Ra					
\$9,627,864.00		\$8,378		HARD ROCK SA	WING & DRILLING	SPECIA	LIST CO.			
Type of Construction Sawing	Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul> <li>Prime Contract</li> <li>Subcontractor</li> </ul>		DBE VBE			
Ente	ered By		I	Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.			
JJH, Jes	se J Han	isen	JJH,	Jesse J Hansen	11/13/2018	8:33 AM	3			
Performance Factor (Whole Number)       Indicate your appraisal of the contractor's (subcontractor's) performance using a scale from 10 (outstanding (average) to 0 (totally inadequate) to establish a 'Performance Factor'. Give a brief explanation for ratings of 0 to 2 and otherwise as appropriate. Then apply the given 'Importance Factors' to establish each 'Rating'         Importance Factor Rating       Rating'										
		Quality of Work Consid	er: construction	n methods, materials, st	tructural adequacy, appea	arance, worl	kmanship, attention			
_5X 0.30	1.5	to detail Quality of the wor	k preformed	l was acceptable.						
X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progre erosion/environmental, Followed primes s	timely completi		execution, maintenance o	of work site,				
5 x 0.15	0.8	traffic control, extra wor	k (c. c. o.)	•	of work, control of work for rs understand work					
X 0.15	0.8	Cooperation/Control Co	s, credibility, inte	egrity, willingness to wo	ommunications, paperwo rk out problems, coordina					
		Adequacy of Work Ford	ce Consider: siz	e, competence, attitud	e					
4 X 0.10	0.4	Workers attitude a	and knowled	lge to read a plan	could improve					
_5X 0.10	0.5		Adequacy of Equipment Consider: type, number, operating condition, suitability Adequate equipment for the work performed.							
Overall Rating (Sum the above 6 rati	4.9 ngs)	District Comments								
X		1		Х						
	oject Engir	neer Signature)	t Construction Engineer S	Signature)						



# **Report of Contractor's Performance**

#### Contract: 20171212001, City Kaukauna, Delanglade St; Lawe St - USH 41

	<b>Report Dat</b> March 26, 20		4650-06	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE	
								County	
	ctor Comple							Outagamie Highway	
	April 13, 20 <sup>-</sup>	18		Delanglade Street					
Contract	Amount	Amo	unt Subcontracte	d	Prime Contractor	r or Sub Being Ra	ted (if ap	oplicable)	
\$9,627,	864.08		\$24,346		INTER	STATE TREE LNDS	SCP CO		
	nstruction d Grubbing	Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	<u> </u>	DBE VBE	
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.	
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/6/2018 3	3:44 PM	1	
(Whole	ince Factor Number) portance Fac	tor	(average) to 0 (totally in	nade	e contractor's (subcontractor's) pe quate) to establish a 'Performance ropriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or	
	-	Rating							
5	X 0.30	1.5	Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention to detail						
			Quality of work wa	as p	preformed acceptable				
5	X 0.20	1.0	erosion/environmental,	time	Consider: schedule, prompt start, e ly completion in a timely manner	xecution, maintenance c	of work site,		
4	X 0.15	0.6	traffic control, extra wo	rk (c	ability, competence, coordination c c. o.) rk together on extra work	f work, control of work fo	orce/subcon	tractors, safety,	
5	X 0.15	0.8	frequency of complaint	s, cr	iance Consider: public relations, c edibility, integrity, willingness to wo nunication with project staf	rk out problems, coordina	ation with o	ther contractors	
			Adequacy of Work For	ce C	onsider: size, competence, attitude	)			
5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequate workers	s fo	r work preformed.				
			Adequacy of Equipmer	nt Co	nsider: type, number, operating co	ndition, suitability			
6	X 0.10	0.6	Well prepared wit	h p	roper equipment				
Over	all Rating	5.0	District Comments						
	he above 6 ratin	igs)							
X					X				
	(Pro	oject Engin	Construction Engineer S	Signature)					



# **Report of Contractor's Performance**

#### Contract: 20171212001, City Kaukauna, Delanglade St; Lawe St - USH 41

<b>Report Da</b> April 16, 20		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE
Contractor Comp	letion Da	ite	e Road Name			(	<b>County</b> Dutagamie
November 02			Delanglade Street				
Contract Amount	Amo	unt Subcontracte	d Prime C	• •	oplicable)		
\$9,627,864.08		\$1,010,135		MARTE		DN, INC.	
Type of Construction Ancillary Concrete	Perforn	ned by this Firm			<ul> <li>Prime Contract</li> <li>Subcontractor</li> </ul>	<u> </u>	DBE VBE
Ent	ered By		Revised	I By	Revision	Date	Revision No.
JJH, Jes	se J Han	sen	JJH, Jesse J	Hansen	11/6/2018 3	3:51 PM	2
Performance Factor (Whole Number) Importance Fa	ictor	(average) to 0 (totally in	adequate) to establish a	Performance	erformance using a scale e Factor'. Give a brief exp uportance Factors' to esta	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or
	Rating						
<u>5</u> x 0.30	1.5	to detail			tructural adequacy, appea ns when necessary		kmanship, attention
<u>5</u> x 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progre erosion/environmental, Followed prime's s	timely completion	rompt start, e	execution, maintenance o	f work site,	
X 0.15	0.8	traffic control, extra wor			of work, control of work fo I work.	prce/subcon	tractors, safety,
_5X 0.15	0.8	frequency of complaints	, credibility, integrity, willing	ngness to wo	ommunications, paperwo rk out problems, coordina oblems with project	ation with o	ther contractors
		Adequacy of Work Forc	e Consider: size, compet	ence, attitud	e		
5 × 0.10	0.5	Provided adequate	e work force				
_5 X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Equipment Provided adequate	t Consider: type, number, e equipment	operating co	ondition, suitability		
<b>Overall Rating</b> (Sum the above 6 rat	<u>5.0</u> ings)	District Comments					
X		<u> </u>	X				
(P	roject Engir	neer Signature)	t Construction Engineer S	Signature)			



	Report Dat arch 12, 20		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE	
Contract	or Comple	ation Da	ite	Road Name				County	
	ober 26, 2			Delanglade Street				Highway STH 55	
<b>Contract A</b> \$9,627,86		Amo	unt Subcontracte \$90,887	d		r <b>or Sub Being Ra</b> EGA RENTALS, IN		plicable)	
Type of Cons Traffic Contro		Perforn	ned by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>		)BE VBE	
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.	
	JJH, Jess	se J Han	isen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/13/2018	8:34 AM	5	
Performand (Whole N Impo		tor	(average) to 0 (totally in	nadeo	e contractor's (subcontractor's) pe quate) to establish a 'Performance ropriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or	
		Rating							
6	X 0.30	1.8	to detail		onstruction methods, materials, st ook extra effort to make si				
5	X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progre erosion/environmental, Followed prime's	time		execution, maintenance c	f work site,		
_5	X 0.15	0.8	Supervision Consider: traffic control, extra wor Responsive to fie	rk (c.	,	of work, control of work fo	orce/subcon	tractors, safety,	
5	X 0.15	0.8		s, cre	ance Consider: public relations, c dibility, integrity, willingness to wo SUES.				
			Adequacy of Work Ford	ce Co	onsider: size, competence, attitude	2			
5	X 0.10	0.5			· · ·				
5	X 0.10	0.5			nsider: type, number, operating co for the work performed.	ndition, suitability			
<b>Overall</b> (Sum the	Rating above 6 ratir	<u>5.3</u>	District Comments						
X			<u> </u>		Х				
	(Pro	oject Engir	neer Signature)		Construction Engineer S	Signature)			



	<b>Report Dat</b> May 28, 201		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street				District NE County		
Contrac	ctor Comple	tion Da	ite		Road Name		Outagamie			
	ctober 26, 2				Delanglade Street			Highway STH 55		
Contract	Amount	Amo	unt Subcontracte	d	Prime Contracto	r or Sub Being Ra	ted (if ap	plicable)		
\$9,627,	864.08		\$390,532		NOR	THEAST ASPHALT	, INC			
<b>Type of Co</b> HMA Pavin		Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	<u> </u>	)BE VBE		
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.		
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/12/2018	2:07 PM	1		
(Whole	nce Factor Number) portance Fac	tor	(average) to 0 (totally in	nadeq	e contractor's (subcontractor's) pe juate) to establish a 'Performance opriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or		
		Rating								
6	X 0.30	1.8	1.8       Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workma to detail         Work quality of work was very good, materials very consistent.							
_5	X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progress Consider: schedule, prompt start, execution, maintenance of work site, erosion/environmental, timely completion Followed schedule well and worked well to notify staff of any schedule changes.							
6	X 0.15	0.9	traffic control, extra wor	rk (c. (	bility, competence, coordination o c. o.) always looking ahead and			tractors, safety,		
_5	X 0.15	0.8	frequency of complaints	s, cree	ance Consider: public relations, c dibility, integrity, willingness to wo to work together on prob	rk out problems, coordina	ation with of	her contractors		
			Adequacy of Work Force Consider: size, competence, attitude							
5	X 0.10	0.5	Workforce had go	od a	attitude					
_5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Equipment Consider: type, number, operating condition, suitability Equipment was adequate							
	III Rating he above 6 ratin	5.5 gs)	District Comments							
X					Х					
(Project Engineer Signature) (District Construction Engineer Signature)										



	Report Dat June 25, 20		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street			District NE County				
Contra	ctor Comple	etion Da	ite		Road Name			-			
No	ovember 02,	2018		Delanglade Street				<b>Highway</b> STH 55			
	t <b>Amount</b> ,864.00	Amo	unt Subcontracte \$46,704	d		<b>r or Sub Being Ra</b> DRTHWAY FENCE	• •	plicable)			
<b>Type of Co</b> Fencing	onstruction	Perform	ned by this Firm	1		<ul> <li>Prime Contract</li> <li>Subcontractor</li> </ul>	~	DBE VBE			
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.			
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/13/2018	8:37 AM	3			
(Whole	ance Factor Number) portance Fac	tor Rating	Indicate your appraisal of the contractor's (subcontractor's) performance using a scale from 10 (outstanding) to 5 (average) to 0 (totally inadequate) to establish a 'Performance Factor'. Give a brief explanation for ratings of 8 to 10 or 0 to 2 and otherwise as appropriate. Then apply the given 'Importance Factors' to establish each 'Rating' and the 'Overall Rating'								
				Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention							
_5	X 0.30	1.5	to detail Very good workma	ansl	hip						
_5	X 0.20	1.0	erosion/environmental,	Prosecution and Progress Consider: schedule, prompt start, execution, maintenance of work site, erosion/environmental, timely completion Contractor completed work in the anticipated schedule.							
_5	X 0.15	0.8	traffic control, extra wor	rk (c.	ability, competence, coordination o c. o.) ut no project issues.	of work, control of work fo	prce/subcon	tractors, safety,			
5	X 0.15	0.8	frequency of complaints	s, cre	ance Consider: public relations, c dibility, integrity, willingness to wo project staff on potential is	rk out problems, coordin	ation with ot	her contractors			
			Adequacy of Work Ford	ce Co	onsider: size, competence, attitude	e					
5	X 0.10	0.5	Workforce was ac	dequ	uate for the time provided.						
5	X 0.10	0.5			nsider: type, number, operating co opriate and in good conditi	-					
	all Rating the above 6 ratir	5.0 ngs)	District Comments								
X			L		Х						
(Project Engineer Signature) (District Construction Engineer Signature)											



# **Report of Contractor's Performance**

#### Contract: 20171212001, City Kaukauna, Delanglade St; Lawe St - USH 41

	<b>Report Dat</b> April 02, 20 <sup>-</sup>		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street			District NE County	
Contrac	tor Comple	etion Da	ite	Road Name			Outagamie	
	ugust 03, 20				Delanglade Street			Highway STH 55
Contract	Amount	Amo	unt Subcontracte	d	Prime Contracto	r or Sub Being Ra	ted (if ap	oplicable)
\$9,627,8	364.08		\$4,565		PAVEM	ENT MAINTENAN	CE INC.	
<b>Type of Co</b> Pavement F		Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	<u> </u>	)BE VBE
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/6/2018 3	3:28 PM	1
(Whole	nce Factor Number) portance Fac	tor	Indicate your appraisal of the contractor's (subcontractor's) performance using a scale from 10 (outstanding) to 5 (average) to 0 (totally inadequate) to establish a 'Performance Factor'. Give a brief explanation for ratings of 8 to 10 or 0 to 2 and otherwise as appropriate. Then apply the given 'Importance Factors' to establish each 'Rating' and the 'Overall Rating'					
		Rating						
						ructural adequacy, appea	arance, wor	kmanship, attention
5	X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progre erosion/environmental, Limited work but p	time		execution, maintenance o	f work site,	
	X 0.15	0.8	traffic control, extra wor	rk (c.	ability, competence, coordination c c. o.) ormed well, working aroun			-
5	X 0.15	0.8		s, cre	iance Consider: public relations, c edibility, integrity, willingness to wo ormed well			
			Adequacy of Work Force Consider: size, competence, attitude					
5	X 0.10	0.5	Limited work but v	vell	prepared workers			
_5	X 0.10	0.5			nsider: type, number, operating co prepared equipment	ndition, suitability		
	II Rating he above 6 ratin	5.0 ngs)	District Comments					
X			L		Х			
(Project Engineer Signature) (District Construction Engineer S								



	<b>Report Dat</b> May 07, 201		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street			District NE County			
Contra	ctor Comple	etion Da	ite	e Road Name Delanglade Street				County		
C	October 26, 2	018						<b>Highway</b> STH 55		
Contract \$9,627	t <b>Amount</b> ,864.00	Amo	unt Subcontracte \$15,868	d		r <b>or Sub Being Ra</b> PEEDY CLEAN IN		plicable)		
Type of Co Sewer Tele		Perform	ned by this Firm			<ul> <li>Prime Contract</li> <li>Subcontractor</li> </ul>	-	)BE VBE		
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.		
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/13/2018	8:38 AM	3		
(Whole	ance Factor Number) portance Fac	tor Rating	(average) to 0 (totally in	nadequa	contractor's (subcontractor's) pe ate) to establish a 'Performance rriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or		
		J		Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention						
_5	X 0.30	1.5	to detail Verbal notification of potential issues to WisDOT staff would have been helpful.							
5	X 0.20	1.0	erosion/environmental,	timely o	isider: schedule, prompt start, e completion • paving in a timely mani		f work site,			
_5	X 0.15	0.8	Supervision Consider: a traffic control, extra wor Completed work ir	rk (c. c.		of work, control of work fo	prce/subcon	tractors, safety,		
5	X 0.15	0.8	frequency of complaints	s, credik	ce Consider: public relations, co pility, integrity, willingness to wo ed in a timely manner					
			Adequacy of Work Force Consider: size, competence, attitude							
5	X 0.10	0.5			iate for the work comple					
			Adequacy of Equipmen	t Consi	der: type, number, operating co	ndition, suitability				
5	X 0.10	0.5	Equipment was ap							
	all Rating the above 6 ratin	5.0 gs)	District Comments							
X			<u> </u>		Х					
	(Project Engineer Signature) (District Construction Engineer Signature)									



	<b>Report Dat</b> March 12, 20		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street			District NE		
Contra	ctor Comple	tion Da	ite	Road Name				County	
	October 12, 2				Delanglade Street			<b>Highway</b> STH 55	
	t <b>Amount</b> ,864.00	Amo	unt Subcontracte \$54,939	d		r <b>or Sub Being Ra</b> SIONAL LAND SUF	• •	• •	
Type of Co Construction		Perform	ned by this Firm	1		<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	-	)BE VBE	
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.	
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/12/2018	4:30 PM	3	
(Whole	ance Factor Number) portance Fac	tor Rating	Indicate your appraisal of the contractor's (subcontractor's) performance using a scale from 10 (outstanding) to 5 (average) to 0 (totally inadequate) to establish a 'Performance Factor'. Give a brief explanation for ratings of 8 to 10 or 0 to 2 and otherwise as appropriate. Then apply the given 'Importance Factors' to establish each 'Rating' and the 'Overall Rating'						
				Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workmanship, attention					
8	X 0.30	2.4	<sup>to detail</sup> Quality of work is very good and good attention to detail.						
_5	X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progre erosion/environmental, Work was comple	timely	·	execution, maintenance c	of work site,		
7	X 0.15	1.1	traffic control, extra wor	rk (c. c	bility, competence, coordination o c. o.) s available to address any				
6	X 0.15	0.9	frequency of complaints	s, crec	ince Consider: public relations, c libility, integrity, willingness to wo in a timely and was willing	rk out problems, coordina	ation with ot		
			Adequacy of Work Ford	ce Cor	nsider: size, competence, attitude	9			
5	X 0.10	0.5	Workforce was ad	dequa	ate				
5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Equipmen Equipment was ac		sider: type, number, operating co late	ndition, suitability			
	<b>all Rating</b> the above 6 ratin	6.4 gs)	District Comments						
X			<u>l</u>		Х				
(Project Engineer Signature) (District Construction Engineer Sign									



	<b>Report Dat</b> /arch 19, 20		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street			District NE				
Contrac	tor Comple	tion Da	te		Road Name		-	County			
	vember 02, 3			Delanglade Street				Highway STH 55			
<b>Contract</b> \$9,627,8		Amo	unt Subcontracte	d		<b>r or Sub Being Ra</b> CONSTRUCTION (					
			ned by this Firm ng, and Concrete I	Pav	ing	<ul> <li>Prime Contract</li> <li>Subcontractor</li> </ul>	<u> </u>	DBE VBE			
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	Revision No.			
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	sen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/13/2018	9:00 AM	5			
(Whole	nce Factor Number) portance Fac	tor Rating	Indicate your appraisal of the contractor's (subcontractor's) performance using a scale from 10 (outstanding) to 5 (average) to 0 (totally inadequate) to establish a 'Performance Factor'. Give a brief explanation for ratings of 8 to 10 or 0 to 2 and otherwise as appropriate. Then apply the given 'Importance Factors' to establish each 'Rating' and the 'Overall Rating'								
				ler: c	onstruction methods, materials, st	tructural adequacy, appea	arance, wor	kmanship, attention			
88	X 0.30	2.4	<sup>o detail</sup> The contractor overall had good attention to detail followed with great consistent materials and methods.								
7	X 0.20	1.4	erosion/environmental,	Prosecution and Progress Consider: schedule, prompt start, execution, maintenance of work site, erosion/environmental, timely completion Contractor did a very good job scheduling work and following the CPM schedule in orde							
7	X 0.15	1.1	traffic control, extra wor	rk (c. COC	rdinated work well, took e						
_7	X 0.15	1.1	Cooperation/Control Co frequency of complaints	ompli s, cre	ance Consider: public relations, c edibility, integrity, willingness to wo ell with public to keep then	rk out problems, coordina	ation with o	ther contractors			
			Adequacy of Work Force Consider: size, competence, attitude								
6	X 0.10	0.6	Contractor self-pro		med a lot of the work ther than adequate.	nselves on an acce	elerated s	schedule.			
					nsider: type, number, operating co	ondition, suitability					
5	X 0.10	0.5	Equipment was a	deq	uate						
	II Rating ne above 6 ratin	7.0 gs)	District Comments								
X			<u>L</u>		Х						
	(Pro	ject Engir	eer Signature)	Construction Engineer S	Signature)						



N	Report Dat 1arch 19, 20		4650-08	<b>Project</b> 4650-08-71 : City Of Kaukauna, Delanglade Street			District NE				
Contrac	tor Comple	tion Da	nte	e Road Name			ł	County			
	vember 02,				Delanglade Street			Highway STH 55			
<b>Contract</b> \$9,627,8		Amo	unt Subcontracte \$776,218	d		r or Sub Being Ra AND RESTORATIO		oplicable)			
		Porforn	ned by this Firm		WE31-2						
Signing and			ieu by this Firm			<ul><li>Prime Contract</li><li>Subcontractor</li></ul>	0	)BE VBE			
	Ente	red By			Revised By	Revision	Date	<b>Revision No.</b>			
	JJH, Jess	e J Han	isen		JJH, Jesse J Hansen	11/13/2018	9:09 AM	3			
(Whole	nce Factor Number) portance Fac	tor	(average) to 0 (totally in	nade	e contractor's (subcontractor's) pe quate) to establish a 'Performance ropriate. Then apply the given 'Im	Factor'. Give a brief exp	lanation for	ratings of 8 to 10 or			
		Rating									
_5	X 0.30	1.5	.5 Quality of Work Consider: construction methods, materials, structural adequacy, appearance, workma to detail Attention to detail, particularly sign structure based could be improved. Qualit with restoration was very good								
5	X 0.20	1.0	Prosecution and Progree erosion/environmental,	Prosecution and Progress Consider: schedule, prompt start, execution, maintenance of work site, erosion/environmental, timely completion Getting onsite to completed restoration 5 days after top soiling was difficult but timing for							
5	X 0.15	0.8	Supervision Consider: traffic control, extra wor	availa rk (c.	ability, competence, coordination of			tractors, safety,			
_4	X 0.15	0.6	frequency of complaint	s, cre k (N	ance Consider: public relations, c edibility, integrity, willingness to wo laterials) in timely prior to arials credit	rk out problems, coordina	ation with of	her contractors			
					onsider: size, competence, attitude	9					
5	X 0.10	0.5	Workforce was ac	dequ	uate						
_5	X 0.10	0.5	Adequacy of Equipmer Equipment was a		nsider: type, number, operating co uate	ndition, suitability					
	II Rating he above 6 ratin	4.9 gs)	District Comments								
X			<u> </u>		Х						
	(Pro	oject Engir	neer Signature)	Construction Engineer S	Signature)						

# EXHIBIT D CONSTRUCTION PHOTOS

















# EXHIBIT E COMPLETED PROJECT PHOTOS









- Maral

